SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER
BOARD ROOM
600 SE 3RD AVENUE
FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

THURSDAY, JUNE 2, 2022 11:03 A.M. - 2:10 P.M.

Court Reporter:
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
633 SE 3rd Avenue, Suite 200
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 2 1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: 2 MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN, CHAIR MR. ANDREW MEDVIN, VICE CHAIR 3 MS. REBECCA DAHL MS. MARY FERTIG 4 DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH MS. PHYLLIS SHAW

5 MS. JACLYN STRAUSS

6

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF:

7

- MR. JORIS JABOUIN, Chief Auditor
- 8 MS. ALI ARCESE, Audit Director
 - MS. ANN CONWAY, Manager, Internal Funds Audits
- 9 MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Manager, Information Technology Audits
- 10 MS. MEREDITH ARLOTTA, Manager, Internal Funds Audits MS. ELENA PRITYKINA, Auditor III
- 11 MR. ERIC SEIFER, Auditor III
 - MS. MICHELE MARQUARDT, Executive Secretary
- 12 MS. WANDA RADCLIFF, Clerk Spec B Confidential

13

DISTRICT STAFF:

14

- MS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations, 15 Office of the Deputy Superintendent, Operations
- MR. ALAN STRAUSS, Chief Human Resources & Equity Officer, Office of the Chief Human Resources 16
- Officer
- 17 MS. VEDA HUDGE, Task Assigned Chief Student Support Initiatives & Recovery Officer, Student Support 18 Initiatives & Recovery Office
- MR. SAM BAYS, Task Assigned Executive Director Capital 19 Program, Office of Chief Facilities Construction Management
- 20 MS. SAEMONE HOLLINGSWORTH, Executive Director, ESE & Support Services
- 21 MS. SHELLEY MELONI, Director Pre-Construction
- MS. TERESA HALL, Director Support Services, Support 22 Services
- MR. DANIEL SHAPIRO, Task Assigned Director School 23 Counseling and Brace Advisement, School Counseling & Brace Advisement
- 24 MS. MARISA KINNEY, Director Student Services, Student Services

25

```
Page 3
  MS. SANDY LYONS, Budget Analyst IV, Chief Student
 1
       Support Initiatives & Recovery Officer, Student
 2
       Support Initiatives & Recovery Office
 3
   INVITED GUESTS:
 4
  MR. SHAUN DAVIS, Partner, S. Davis & Associates, P.A.
 5 MS. ANNETTE LEWIS, S. Davis & Associates, P.A.
  MR. MATTHEW BLONDELL, Business Risk Consulting, RSM
 6 MR. CHRIS GUMS, Risk Advisory Services, RSM
  MS. KATHLEEN LANGAN, AECOM
 7 MS. ASHLEY CARPENTER, Atkins
  MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting
 8
 9
  GUESTS:
10 MR. PIERRE NORMIL, Trimerge Consulting
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:
2	
3	MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. Good morning,
4	everybody. We have a quorum. It is the June 2nd
5	Audit Committee Meeting.
6	If we can rise for the Pledge and then before
7	we do the roll call I just want to have a moment
8	of silence. So
9	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
10	recited.)
11	MR. MAYERSOHN: And if we can just take a
12	moment of silent reflection for those families
13	and communities that have been affected by the
14	onslaught of mass shootings and gun violence over
15	the last several weeks.
16	(Whereupon, a moment of silence was
17	observed.)
18	MR. MAYERSOHN: Thank you. Roll call?
19	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. Good morning. I
20	just good morning. I just want to check to
21	see, any final calls for public speakers?
22	Thank you.
23	Ms. Rebecca Dahl?
24	MS. DAHL: Here.
25	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Anthony De Meo is excused.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MS. CONWAY: Ann Conway, Office of the Chief

the Chief Auditor.

24

25

1	~ 7''
	Auditor
_	AUGICOL

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

2 MR. MAYERSOHN: In the back?

MR. NORMIL: I am Pierre Normil from Trimerge Consulting Group.

MR. SEIFER: Eric Seifer, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Do I have a motion to approve the agenda for the June 2nd, 2022 Audit Committee Meeting?

MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, motion.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motioned by Ms. Shaw.

MS. STRAUSS: Second.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Second by Ms. Strauss. Any discussion?

(No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: Seeing none, all those in favor signify by saying, aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.

Chief Auditor Administrative Matters.

MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the

district's annual training program for school

board established advisory committees, as of

yesterday all of our members have completed it.

One thing to keep in mind is the end of the fiscal year and in July we'll need the members to re-complete that again. My understanding is that after it's taken the first time, the subsequent trainings are much faster. We will send you an email over the summer with a reminder and with a link again.

And then with respect to the Acknowledgment for School Board Advisory Committee Member Responsibilities forms, we do have all of them. We are pending Ms. Ighodaro's, who will attend today, and, hopefully, she'll be able to have it with her, but we have an extra form for her to sign.

So we anticipate that both of those requirements we'll be able to meet before -- before year end.

And then with respect to the audit committee timeframes, and we are aware that Mr. Mayersohn will depart at 11:30. Deputy Superintendent Judith Marte will attend the meeting. She's on her way, but she does need to depart at 12:50. We know that Ms. Strauss needs to step out for a moment at noon.

We currently have the required quorum at this time but we will go below quorum at any point if any of the members were to step out. So we'll just keep that in mind.

And that concludes the administrative matters.

Actually, I have one more comment. I apologize. With respect to the questions on the Florida Volunteer Protection Act, I did provide some documents from Deputy General Counsel Robert Vignola. He's not -- he's not in today. He did provide me with a detailed breakdown of the Act. I attached that to the memorandum.

During my discussions with him he did indicate and he mentions this in his memorandum that the Office of the General Counsel, they don't typically take questions on the spot as they need to research them. So if there are any similar questions with respect to the Act, he's advised me to take note of them and provide that to him. The last time I did that as well as provide him the minutes to the meeting which formed the basis of his memorandum. So that's — that was emailed to the audit committee with respect to those questions.

And, Mr. Mayersohn, this concludes the Chief Auditor's administrative matters.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Thank you.

Are there any public speakers?

MR. JABOUIN: We will do one last check. There are no public speakers.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Audit Committee Vice Chair comments?

You can make some, but I just want to remind everybody, again, you know, timeframe reminders. Our goal is to review the reports, make sure that we have explicit focus on it, don't go off on tangents. Just one other thing, you know, just so we're not speaking over each other, for Mr. Bass's record keeping, is that if we raise our hands to be acknowledged, so this way we can all be heard and be recorded.

The only other comment that I have is on Policy 1.7. I think that is going before the board this month. So there may be a few changes in it that may affect this committee. One of them is being the term of the chair, and I think the other one is also -- yes, Ms. Dahl.

MS. DAHL: It's going on the 14th.

MR. MAYERSOHN: On the 14th; okay. So it may

affect some of our decision making processes as well as I think the -- I mean, only -- I don't know if it's going to change necessarily, but for elected officials, the ethics and public records, whatever it is, may be exempted. Somebody has already taken that, so -- do you have a question Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Could we have a draft sent to us prior to the 14th?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Sure. I mean, why not? Can we, once the agenda is put up?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, a draft of Policy 1.7?

So I will -- once it's posted to the agenda I will download it and forward it to the audit committee members.

I also want to take a moment to recognize

Deputy Superintendent Judith Marte who has joined the meeting.

MRS. MARTE: Thank you, Mr. Jabouin, and I apologize for my tardiness. I'm in interviews and they're running a few minutes late. So, thank you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: And the committee's aware that you do need to leave at 12:50 today.

MRS. MARTE: I have to finish the interviews

1 today. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

2 MR. MAYERSOHN: Those are my comments.

Moving on, Ms. -- how do you pronounce her name?

MR. JABOUIN: Ighodaro.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Ighodaro is not here yet so we can't welcome her until she gets here. So we'll just -- we'll just put that in abeyance.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Whose appointee is she?

MR. MAYERSOHN: She is Ms. Leonardi's appointee.

MR. JABOUIN: She replaces Mr. Sabin.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have a, moving on, motion to approve the April 28th Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting.

MS. STRAUSS: Motion.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Who made the motion?

MS. STRAUSS: Jaclyn Strauss.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Strauss?

MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, second.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Phyllis Shaw seconded.

Are there any further discussions?

(No response.)

23 MR. MAYERSOHN: Seeing none, all those in 24 favor signify by saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

	Page 12
1	MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.
4	Approval of the Audit Committee Nominating
5	Committee.
6	The Nominating Committee is made up of?
7	MR. JABOUIN: The individuals would be Ms.
8	Phyllis Shaw, Mr. Anthony De Meo and Ms. Mary
9	Fertig. This is the same nominating committee as
10	the prior year.
11	MR. MAYERSOHN: Is there any discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. MAYERSOHN: Seeing none, do I have a
14	motion to approve the Audit Committee Nominating
15	Committee?
16	MR. MEDVIN: So moved.
17	MS. STRAUSS: Second.
18	MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion by Mr. Medvin, second
19	by Ms. Strauss.
20	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
21	Committee members: Aye.
22	MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.
25	Wow, we're moving along here.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Proposed Audit Committee Meeting Dates/Times for Fiscal Year 2023.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So taking into account the many comments from the April 28th audit committee meeting we were able to put an additional meeting in the first half of the year. So the new meeting would occur in September. order to do this and provide spacing, in order to stage the meeting, we moved the previous October 6th audit committee meeting to October 13th in the document in your agenda and we moved up the previously August 25th meeting to August 4th. That provided a window to have a September meeting on September 8th. We did circle with different members and there were a few options as far as the August date which would have impacted the September date, but, ultimately, based on the feedback that's how the dates dropped in.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody have any questions on it?

MS. STRAUSS: Yes. Is that meeting still at 11 a.m.?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, 11 a.m. I'm sorry. All the meetings would be at 11 a.m.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Thank you.

1

MR. MAYERSOHN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Okay. So there's no DR. LYNCH-WALSH: December meeting, which I'm guessing would be due to the holidays, and then the January meeting isn't until the 26th, and then there's no February meeting. So we have some huge gaps occurring in the middle. And that would be fine if the school board did absolutely nothing with itself in the holidays all the way through to the end of January, but there are a lot of things that get squeezed onto agendas right before the winter break and then they come back with a vengeance in January. So it seems like a huge gap between November and January. It would be fine if it were earlier in January, but then there's no February meeting because, I guess, January is so late.

> Yes, Ms. Shaw. MR. MAYERSOHN:

My only question is surrounding MS. SHAW: the annual report. Will we be able to have the annual report in time for it to be presented? Because the goal is to present it at the December meeting?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, so, Ms. Shaw, some of the points that you brought up, as well as Dr.

Lynch-Walsh, we were able to touch base at the last meeting. There are some key dates that are unmovable. So, for example, the November audit committee meeting date works with the compilation of CAFR, of the ACFR, excuse me. Then --

MRS. MARTE: The AFR is September.

MR. JABOUIN: What used to be called the CAFR is called the ACFR now, A-C-F-R. So we want the audit committee to approve --

MRS. MARTE: I thought you said AFR. The AFR is September. I apologize for interrupting you, sir.

MR. JABOUIN: So, Ms. Shaw, so that date is a firm date. Then you have the holiday factor. Then the reports from the external auditor that we need to file, we need to have those ready in January, but we have to give them enough time for MSL to prepare those.

Also, district staff is just coming back from the holiday and the fact that the package needs to go out the week before, it's a very compressed timeframe to be able to get that all done. So moving it back and forth was discussed, but we really couldn't move it ahead of time and then have an impact on the other meetings that would

follow afterwards.

But overall, though, and I asked the committee members that were here in previous years, this whole schedule includes two additional meetings than the committee historically has had. So, you know, the adding of all the meetings, of more meetings, was done based on the request.

Now comes the timing as to when they can be done. Because, ultimately, we need to have information for the committee to review, and if the information is not ready, then there won't be much business for the committee to discuss.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So any other questions?

MS. SHAW: My only comment is, not for this coming up year, but for future years, and I'm not sure where I'll be then, to have the meeting on a particular date, whether it's the third Thursday or whatever the -- I think it makes for better planning not just for you and the board but also for us. So -- because for right now we're kind of moving it around. But I also understand that there may be times when, if we even have, let's say, the third Thursday of every month, that it may move depending on whatever is going on with

audits, the district or even outside audits from the -- from Tallahassee that may affect it. But just something to consider.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody else?

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. We don't need to take any action on this?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, we would like to have a motion and a second and a vote, please.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So do I have motion? Yes?

MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, motion to approve.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Phyllis Shaw made a motion.

MS. STRAUSS: Second.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Second by Ms. Strauss.

Any other additional comments?

17 (No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: Seeing none, all those in favor signify by saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.

All right. Moving on to Item Number 11.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. We're ahead of

1 schedule.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I know.

MR. JABOUIN: All right. So Agenda Item

Number 11 is our Internal Funds Audits of 35

schools. This audit was performed by various

members of the Office of the Chief Auditor team

and it was managed by Internal Funds Audit

Manager, Ann Conway.

This audit was done similarly to the scopes that were discussed in the previous meetings where the different accounts and funds from Athletics, to Music, to Clubs, to Departments, to Trust, to General, were all reviewed in accordance with policy and required documentation.

So based on the work that was performed there were no findings in these audits at those schools.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Any discussion on this?

(No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have a motion to approve?

MS. SHAW: Motion to approve and transmit.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion by Ms. Shaw, second by

Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

Welcome, Ms. Fertig.

All those in favor signify by saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.

Moving on to Item 12, Property and Inventory of Select Locations.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. Agenda Item Number 121 is a property and inventory audit that was done by 18 locations. This audit was performed by various members of the Office of the Chief Auditor team. It was managed by Audit Director Ali Arcese, to my right.

If the committee would go to page 4 and 5 of the report you'll see the different departments that were reviewed. The team reviewed 961 items that had a historical cost of 2,713,218 across the various departments. It included several cabinet chiefs. We do have a threshold of one percent for exceptions.

If you look across those departments, obviously, there were no repeat exceptions as there were no exceptions. We had two items that

were unaccounted for and then -- for \$1,400 and then we had some items that were out of compliance, but below the threshold.

MR. MAYERSOHN: That's it?

MR. JABOUIN: That is it. I did want to mention to the audit committee that inventory audits and the process is taken seriously at the district. I sit on a group with Deputy Superintendent Marte and other cabinet chiefs where we are looking at the inventory process from beginning to end.

We, throughout our audits, have sometimes found audit reports on inventory that had a numerous amount of exceptions and some that don't. But what's always important in the inventory or other areas is that we look at the process. And we know through our other audits that there are some process improvement opportunities that are out there. And so we're going to look and we're in the process of looking at those, because in some ways, maybe -- I mean, obviously, there's a good amount of effort that comes out of that, but we want to make sure that the process works as opposed to the individual audits. So that group has been meeting. It's

improvement project. And over summer the inventory process improvement will continue on that work. I just wanted to mention that simply because I would like, obviously, everybody on the committee to know that it's important to look at everything holistically and across the processes. In this case I want to congratulate the people that did good, but also recognize that we know historically from these audits that there are some pockets of areas where we need improvement. I just wanted to make that clear for the committee.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Are there any comments?

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah, I do, through the Chair.

Sorry. Go ahead.

MS. DAHL: Thank you. I just want to say,
Mr. Jabouin, over the years with audits for
inventory they have improved dramatically. And I
credit Dr. Wanza with the system that she has set
up with the schools for that.

It's very frustrating -- it's very nerve-wracking to have the audit committee come in for inventory, because it's very hard, you know, I had a school of 1,600 students and all

the computers and everything else that go with it, to make sure where everything is. So, again, I want to acknowledge Dr. Wanza with her ability to get the schools in line with that. Thank you.

MR. JABOUIN: And thank you.

Just to add, since there were no exceptions I asked -- Dr. Wanza asked if and I just said she did not need to attend and that's the only reason why she's not here. But, yes, it's good to see that Dr. Wanza and her emphasis on internal controls have led to some improved results.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Strauss?

MS. STRAUSS: Yes, I just had a question. What is the average cost of -- because I see for SMART purchases the threshold is a thousand dollars and for other items it was \$5,000. So can you just share with me what is the average cost of a laptop, let's say?

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, we -- we don't have that information here at the meeting. I want to mention two things, Ms. Strauss. Originally, the threshold of a thousand dollars was put into the state statute. Now, the state has increased that threshold from 1,000 to 5,000. Now, Ms. Arcese and I are strategizing. Of course we want to

move it to 5,000, but we're analyzing what are the high value items? What are the things that can walk away? And those become -- I can't recall the term, is it a list of valuables, Ms. Arcese?

MS. ARCESE: So the state has a new definition and they're considered attractive items. So, prone to theft, something that is movable. So those are the things that we're looking towards possibly having a list of those items so that it's clear for anyone who has to do inventory, that they know exactly what's considered as far as trackable and what's not considered prone to theft or an attractive item.

MR. JABOUIN: And just to add to what Ms. Arcese is saying, we also want to analyze other property inventory that are below the 5,000 threshold that may have requirements. It could be a grant that requires us to do certain things with it. SMART Bond assets, for example, where we've reported certain things to the public. So you've got the state threshold, which is really, unfortunately, based on historical cost. So, Ms. Strauss, we have had items before that were back purchased in 1994. I recall there was an audit,

maybe about eight months ago, where there were some radios that were purchased in 1994 but the price that they were purchased, because that's how much they used to cost in 1994, and that historical number sticks. And so those make their way into the audit report. And so now that amount has gone up to 5,000, so you won't see those unless we think that those fall into the attractive items or they were purchased with funds that require us to do certain types of things.

So we want to go ahead and add to what the state is doing but it needs to make sense from a risk standpoint.

MS. STRAUSS: So I appreciate that. So for the attractive item list, will you all be presenting that and what will the threshold be for those items?

MR. JABOUIN: So we still would like to have the one percent threshold on there. Now, it comes like what's the population of what we're looking for? It should be what the state requirement is and what -- what -- what we have to do.

As far as the attractive items I anticipate

that they're going to be a lot of technology items. Things that we think that somebody would want to take and sell and so forth.

So there is going to have to be some judgment on that front because, you know, some things -- that may differ amongst people, but valuable items, you know, laptops are going to be on there.

MS. STRAUSS: Right.

MR. JABOUIN: You know, devices like iPads and so forth, I mean, those are below 5,000 and 1,000 but they fall into the attractive items category.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Shaw or Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you. Okay. So on page 4 and 5, are any of these items fully depreciated?

MS. ARCESE: When you say -- which items, of the 900 and --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. How much of these are fully -- because when we get all the detail then we can see that. I can't tell from a summary.

Let's say the business support center, you

audited 177 items, were they -- and I'm going somewhere, bear with me, we're going to connect some dots here.

MS. ARCESE: I do not have what the fully depreciated -- there is depreciation that's done, but that is not part of this report and I do not have available to give you right now. That's something that I could follow up with if you'd like.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, basically -- well, we'll take a general approach. So there may or may not be items on these lists that are eligible for being surplused?

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We just don't know right now.

Okay. So on page 7 it lists State Statute 274.05. So surplus property, we have things that go -- I visited B-Stock. There are things in there older than I am.

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Wait, before, I have to leave, so I don't want to interrupt you, but I'm going to.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You have to leave right

1 now?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right now. So Mr. Medvin is

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Taking over.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Medvin is taking over. As they say, I'm done.

(Mr. Mayersohn exited proceedings.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So 274.05 speaks to surplus property but 274.06 has an alternative procedure where if property is without commercial value it may be donated, destroyed or abandoned.

Does the district actually do that?

MS. ARCESE: I would not be able to answer that question as far as what the district does with the assets once it makes it to B-Stock. I think they do have a process in place and there are different -- so, for example, the district has a surplus vendor that will pick up, we can surplus technology equipment, and so they will take care of that surplus items. But as far as the process and who does what once it gets to B-Stock, we would have to have the Procurement & Warehousing Services Department provide us an explanation; if that's what's being requested.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I'm less concerned

about technology than maybe a food service line that looks like it's from 1957 that's sitting in B-Stock and when does it ever go somewhere.

Let's say nobody wants to buy these things, what happens to them? Do they sit in B-Stock and is B-Stock the only place they would sit? Or if you have, let's say, a warehouse, because the district is at a minimum 285,000 square feet above the requirements for administrative facilities, so it sort of begs the question, are we warehousing -- is this like hoarders extreme where we have stuff that is fully depreciated throughout the district that is sitting because there is no clear-cut vehicle for getting rid of things that are just trash?

Because the idea is they can only be auctioned or donated. But they can be destroyed. And if we were to go to these warehouses, like the Twin Lakes Warehouse & Transportation Hub is 265,000 square feet, and then there are others nearby. And then I have seen portables full of stuff at schools. And, again, getting back to B-Stock.

So because the district is contemplating consolidating schools, are we -- and, again, this

has nothing necessarily to do with internal controls or inventory, but it speaks to the next steps and the door got opened by leaving me these lovely state statutes. 274.06 is when you can destroy stuff. So the question I'm raising, before we scare people about their schools, we have to get this administrative space under control. Does the district have tons of square footage and is paying money every year to store stuff like they're in a hoarders episode where we are paying to store junk? That is the question I'm trying to get answered.

MR. JABOUIN: All right. So two points to mention with what Dr. Lynch-Walsh stated. We have, what's in process right now, an audit that is looking at the warehouse. So some of the points that are of interest to you as to whether or not the assets were disposed appropriately is part of that work.

There are some business questions that will occur when we present that audit which is not what the audit function would do. We'll make sure that the appropriate persons can answer business decisions that are made on that end.

But we will look to make sure that a proper audit

is done on the proper sale and disposal of district assets.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but we have Ms. Marte here, and she is, if I'm not mistaken, the Deputy Superintendent of Operations. So I also have a question about Policy 3202.

MR. JABOUIN: You're going beyond the scope of the audit.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But she's literally sitting here and part of why you do audits is to undercover issues and we have uncovered a potential issue.

There is a policy called Disposal of Surplus And/Or Obsolete Property, which doesn't actually have the word destroy in here, so really I'm trying to figure out, if things go to B-Stock or land or stay in other places because there's a misunderstanding as to what you're allowed to do with them. The state statute is clear, but this policy doesn't seem to allow or have a process by which you simply dispose, as in throw in the garbage. If nobody wants it, it has no commercial value, you can't donate it, sell it, give it away, trick somebody into taking it, what do you do?

MR. JABOUIN: I've answered the audit questions. If Ms. Marte chooses to answer an item that's not in scope, then that's her choice.

MRS. MARTE: Through the Chair?

So I've heard your concerns, Dr. Lynch-Walsh. There is a process in place and items are surplused. As to the examples you gave around, I don't know if there's a stove in there from 1957 and I don't know that you know that either, because --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I said it looks like. But I have been to B-Stock.

MRS. MARTE: I don't know that. So we do have a surplus process, but, as in my other experiences in other school districts, there are oftentimes items that do not necessarily have a value and sometimes we're faced with the situation where hauling it away has a cost.

We own this space. The space is finite. And I absolutely agree that we shouldn't be storing stuff that has no value. But oftentimes it's also difficult to get somebody to take it away.

The other thing we need to look at is federal statutes around disposing of assets that were bought with federal dollars differ, and, quite

frankly, trump state statutes as it relates to anything bought with federal dollars, which would be the case with food service equipment.

So I heard your concerns. I am working with Ms. Coker, as you know. Today marks my eighth week back with the district and my first eight weeks as the Deputy Superintendent of Operations. But I absolutely hear your concerns and will look into them further.

MR. JABOUIN: I just want to add, from an audit standpoint, we will look to see adherence to the policies and procedures regarding surplus assets. And then we also will take a look to see if they're effectively designed, as well, not just the way that they are written, to see if there are issues with the existing policy. So that's part of what will be the standard scope. But there will be things that are organizational decisions that others will have to respond to and we'll invite them to the meeting when that report is presented.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I get all that. My concern is, of course, that we are spending -- this audit committee is also supposed to look at whether the audit function is effective. And continuing to

have to audit things that have no value and haven't had value for some time is not an effective use of their time, of this -- of your office's time. And if we go and look at your policy, that is the role of this group among others. So I get that you -- I'm not debating with you. Thank you.

MR. JABOUIN: No problem.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.

MR. JABOUIN: You're welcome.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I know you've only been back, but, surely, I can't be the first person to ask if we are, you know, essentially, extreme hoarders where there isn't an analysis, a cost benefit analysis that is done on a regular basis to determine what it would cost to get rid of things.

The district tends to not be the most proactive, but, again, there is 285,000 square feet over in administrative space and I know that I have seen things at locations that just sit there and sometimes the problem over COVID was that they couldn't get it into B-Stock, that's why some stuff was sitting there, but from a global strategic planning standpoint, it seems

like this is something that ought to be getting done where there is an analysis to determine the marketability of the things that have been surplused.

My issue isn't with the surplus process, it's with what happens once something is surplused and whether 274.06, the alternative procedure that allows you to donate, destroy or abandon property is being adhered to. Because there does seem to be a gap in the board's policy, which has not been updated since I was 18 years old in 1987, Policy 3202 does not mention destroying or abandoning property. It does mention 274.06.

So I just want to make sure we're not missing opportunities to downsize administrative space by getting rid of things that have no value. And I get that sometimes it may cost to get rid of them, but you only know that if you analyze it.

MS. SHAW: I was waiting. I've been waiting.

So every time inventory comes up, in my small accounting brain 101 I scream because inventory is not this. But I understand what we're doing. So you talk about doing a policy, updating the policy. Are you taking into consideration fiscal sustainability, which will include what Ms. Marte

is talking about, the federal aspect of it in terms of how you replace costs? So which -- same as your asset management program, because that's basically really what this is at the end of the day. Because if you're looking at items in terms of how many years, and the life, and so on and so forth, then there should be something that's showing replacement cost, the number of years, et cetera, et cetera. Is that going to be incorporated as part of the updating the policy?

MR. JABOUIN: We can look at that in strategizing it. Much of it, Ms. Shaw, was meant towards the proper disposal and security of assets that have moved over. But also there -- to our knowledge as we've started this work, there have been some concerns expressed to us as far as the pickups and the deliveries and assets that are -- I know that I ran into a principal who mentioned that they were having issues with getting the pickups of some stale items that are there. So it's worth us looking into a lot of aspects of it though.

MS. SHAW: Right. And I'm not necessarily talking about the actual physical disposal of it because that's a district thing, that's outside

the realm of here, I'm just talking about in terms of incorporating a policy that's going to look at each asset, that replacement cost, et cetera, et cetera, that's incorporated as part of your current policy, which is outside of inventory. Inventory in itself to me is we're replacing a part and we need six of those parts we keep on hand and replace it. Asset management, on other hand, is a totally different thing.

MR. JABOUIN: So as Dr. Lynch-Walsh indicated some of these policies are old. The district under the Office of the Chief of Staff is updating all their policies. I'm going to take note of that to talk to the person responsible for Policy 3320 to have them take into account replacement cost. I just don't think that a standard audit would include that type of a business analysis. But I think it's obviously worthy that the district does that.

MS. SHAW: Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I think this is a worthy topic but I think we're off the topic of the audit in front of us. And what would probably be

better if we were going to have this conversation is to be prepared for it with a presentation.

Ms. Marte, I can't even believe you've been here eight weeks and you have not solved all these problems.

MRS. MARTE: I'm working on it.

MS. FERTIG: So I -- I -- I would just say I think it's a good topic -- oh, thank you so much. I think it's a great topic to talk about and something that really needs to be talked about, but if we could do it in the context of an organized presentation on that topic as opposed to this audit which is not going to get us where we need to go.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Strauss?

MS. STRAUSS: Yes, can I make a motion to please have a formal presentation on this topic presented to us, specifically, for 33-20? I understand that, as the chief auditor expressed, this is more of a business decision rather than an audit decision, but evaluate the business decision in reference to potentially including it into future audits and/or updating the policy in regards to this. I just think what it comes down to is a cost benefit analysis and whether you

need to do it or potentially approach it with your federal purchased assets, your state purchased assets, and then county purchased assets. Potentially that may be an approach.

So I just want to make a formal motion that we request that to move forward on this.

MS. FERTIG: Second.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay.

MR. JABOUIN: I want to make sure I have the wording correctly. It's a formal -- actually, before that I do want to mention that before Policy 3320 gets presented to the board there is a workshop that would be done for the policy update. But the wording that I wrote down is, a formal presentation on Policy 3320 which includes the evaluation of business decisions, cost benefit analysis and replacement cost. That's the wording I wrote down.

MS. STRAUSS: Yes, and consider it at the federal, state and county level if it has to be a three-tiered approach.

MS. SHAW: No, I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, can we first get the policy number straight?

MR. JABOUIN: What's a the policy number, Dr.

1 Lynch-Walsh?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, are we talking about the policy I referenced; 3202.

MS. STRAUSS: Yes, I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because 3320 I think might be M/WBE, which is a whole other thing.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Sorry about that.

MR. JABOUIN: The number again, Dr.

Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 3202, the disposal of surplus and/or obsolete property.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And since you raised the asset management system, that's supposed to be Maximo, so if the presentation could include where the district is in implementing Maximo, because Maximo, I've lost track of how many years it's been, but they've -- that was supposed to be a three-year initiative, the implementation of that and that's supposed to be the asset management system.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: So I think prior -- before we can have this presentation I think there's some background work that needs to be done inhouse

before, and that may -- I'm an accountant and I work for a local government and before all of that can be done it's going to take months before a presentation can be done.

I would rather that whatever is going to the board provide that information to us and at that point down the road, whether it's three, six months, then we can decide how we want a presentation.

I think at this point if we ask for a presentation we may get something that may not be comprehensive enough or analysis may not be done. This is just my take on it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What's going to the board then? There's going to be a workshop on --

MS. STRAUSS: This procedure.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On Policy 3202?

MS. SHAW: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So what is it that you're suggesting we wait on, Phyllis?

MR. JABOUIN: Just to mention that there is a policy update project, and as part of the policy update there will be a school board workshop before every policy goes up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ah, but hold on. This is a

policy. But what's happening with that policy review and those workshops is things are going to the board, and this came up yesterday, so like TAC, the Technology Advisory Committee, did not have input before it went to the board, and they found out -- people are finding out, advisory committees are finding out that a policy related to them is getting in front of the board without them having provided any input.

So I -- I hear you, and I don't disagree, but if we don't have sort of a where are we in the process before it goes to the board, we're gonna have the board doing their first workshop on a policy that we've had no input on.

MS. SHAW: I just don't want to ask the district to do undue, unnecessary work at this point or -- I want them to do comprehensive work and give us the data versus trying to get something done just to appease us. I would rather --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they have until, there's August, September, it's not going to be -- because we're done; aren't we? This is our last --

MS. STRAUSS: I mean, at the end of the day,

the longer we wait, the more money we waste.

Period. Done. So --

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Fertig?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think all of this can work MS. FERTIG: together, because the policy's being reviewed. I'd kind of like to see -- I don't -- I wasn't necessarily thinking in terms of next month for a workshop, but in conjunction with the process of reviewing this policy. And also getting us a comprehensive view of the different levels, on federal and state, as Ms. Strauss said, I think that works together. If we could have that presentation before the board workshop, and while the policy -- so we have some input as to the revision of the policy, that's great if that works out. But I don't know that -- I don't know that we have to do this next month. I think we can do it on a timeframe that Ms. Marte is -- so and it works with the audit that you're doing. So --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I just want to add to that. I believe one of the policies being looked at would give the board chair the discretion to skip another policy development step where they can just decide that the policy is good to go.

So it's -- it's skipping one of the steps that it used to take to go through a review process.

So I don't know what the timeline is or if this -- when is this workshop supposed to take place? And does this policy have to be on that workshop or can it be on a later workshop?

MS. FERTIG: Well, I think what he was saying is it's not scheduled. They're going to revise the policy. So as part of revising that policy they're probably going to come up with a presentation anyways, which, in the course of it can be shared with us and we can have our input before it goes to the board and kind of everything works together.

MS. STRAUSS: So it's not included, Nathalie, to my understanding, so we just made a motion to have it included in a future workshop; correct?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But just to be clear on the timeline --

MR. MEDVIN: One at a time, please.

Thank you.

Ms. Marte?

MRS. MARTE: Thank you. Through the Chair, this particular policy is not on any board workshop schedule. The cadence that the policies

come to the board, the policy staff, the staff who are involved with that policy, have some say. And under Operations I've got literally hundreds and hundreds of policies. So I'm working with Mr. Moquin's team to say, Finance is going to bring here, and Procurement is going to bring here, and HR is going to bring here, and trying to get across my reporting lines a little bit of work instead of killing one part of my org unit buried in policies all summer.

I absolutely am happy to bring forth a presentation on the topic that I was asked to bring it on, how we surplus, how it should happen, the work that we are pairing with Ms. Arcese to do around the controls around inventory. And I am absolutely not saying that we need to do a better job on stuff hanging around warehouses. Acknowledged. I absolutely agree that -- and if you saw my house there's no hoarding going on in my house even though my husband would love there to be because I think -- well, anyways, it doesn't matter what I think about clutter.

So, to that end, summer is a very heavy lift for me as it relates to getting a budget adopted

by the district. I actually wrote next to
September 8th, be prepared to do that
presentation. So if it is the will of this body
that that presentation happen and if the vote is
favorable I am absolutely ready to do that. I
would respectfully ask that the expectation be
set that it be September 8th.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As it relates to asset management, I think there is some linkage that needs to happen here. Because in an ad hoc type of way the board is taking actions to manage assets. They have a white fleet replacement schedule. They have a technology replacement schedule. They have developed a commitment to a bus replacement schedule. And I, as I sit here now, haven't put the pieces together to bring that into a unified presentation, but I heard that when you spoke. So I absolutely think that asset management -that there is an opportunity to get input from you all about how that all should come together. And I agree it should come here first before I do the workshop because I do value your input, you're seasoned professionals in this space, and I would be interested in hearing your feedback.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Thank you.

MS. STRAUSS:

MR. JABOUIN: If I may add to it, Mr. Medvin and the committee, as I mentioned, Ms. Arcese has an ongoing warehouse audit. I actually think it's worthy of letting that happen, because I think it's worthy of doing something like that after the report is presented. Obviously, you can choose to get it ahead of time but then you'll have the audits and they'll be, there may be findings.

MS. STRAUSS: Right, but my only concern with that, with all due respect, is it does not sound like there is a policy or directive on how to get rid of stuff. So she's doing this audit and she's going to find a bunch of stuff that's like, you know, potentially from 1950 and she's going to count it, account for it, and nothing's going to be done with it. So nothing's really getting solved; right? So those audit findings, it would be nice to be able to say, as a result of your audit, this is what was found and this is the policy to move forward to improve; right? We're only looking for solutions. We're only looking to get better.

So that's what I think that there is a great opportunity to -- to marry the two, since you're

in the middle of this audit, rather than just say, okay, we did the audit and we found all this stuff.

So what's the solution to that? The solution is, I think we all agree that there needs to be a policy and directive on how to move it out. And if we had that information then we can go ahead and be most efficient and get that done. That's all I'm saying.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, if Ms. Marte is going to prepare this presentation for us on September 8th, I think it makes us much more able to come up with an intelligent decision.

MS. FERTIG: I agree. So can we just transmit --

MR. MEDVIN: So to keep us on schedule I would like a motion to transmit this --

MS. SHAW: No, no, no, we already have a motion on the table.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We're discussing.

MS. SHAW: She had a motion except we're in discussion, so either --

MR. MEDVIN: I'm not sure -- at this point, if Ms. Marte gives us a report the motion has no purpose.

MS. SHAW: No, the motion is still good. We have to vote it up or down.

MR. MEDVIN: Or withdraw it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have a question.

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Just to get clarity, when will your audit be complete?

MR. JABOUIN: We won't be telling you the timing because we have to do our review process. But it is in process right now.

It's difficult to ever estimate the timing of an audit anyway. It depends on the findings and the management responses.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. But if we're trying to coordinate and we're not trying to work in silos, if we're trying to have a presentation --

MR. JABOUIN: But Ms. Arcese has indicated that September 8th would probably be sufficient.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I am speaking. I believe I have the floor. Why do you keep insisting on doing this?

MR. JABOUIN: You do have the floor. I'm sorry to interrupt you.

But an audit has to go through the process.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I was still talking.

1 Mr. Medvin, help me out.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Arcese, do you anticipate the audit will be ready by September 8th?

MS. ARCESE: I can't give a date right now because there's a lot of process that has to go through as far as getting responses and ensuring that they're addressing the findings and there are findings and making sure that at the end of day we have a very comprehensive corrective action going forward so that we do have a fix instead of it being just a quick let me get a response in. I mean, that's really what my goal is, to ensure that it gets fixed.

So to say that it could be done by September, right now, I can't say that right now.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Marte?

MRS. MARTE: Ms. Arcese and I are in agreement that it's important that she look at my presentation before it come here to make sure there's proper alignment. And she also mentioned that it is quite likely part of the response to her ultimate audit. So I think we can be effective, get the valuable input we need from you before the audit's done, because I think that has some value, too, as to what our responses are

and what our path forward is, because you all may have insight that, in spite of the fact that I've done this a long time, none of us know everything, and I think I want to hear from you at the point before the audit's done.

Respectfully, I think it adds value.

MS. FERTIG: And so I just want to call the question because I feel that her presentation is going to help us not just with that one audit but with all the property inventory audits, and, therefore, I have complete confidence in you all to schedule this. Can we just vote on this motion and --

MR. MEDVIN: Well, the motion on the floor is?

MS. FERTIG: Is to do a presentation.

MR. MEDVIN: Do you have the motion?

MR. JABOUIN: I do. I can read it. It's a formal presentation of Policy 3202 which includes an evaluation of business decisions, cost, benefit analysis and replacement costs considering federal, state and county requirements and it includes Maximo.

MS. STRAUSS: And which it also includes collaboration between these two departments.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Marte has a comment for me on the motion.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Marte?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MRS. MARTE: So, if I may, the differentiation between assets is federal and state. Because the bundle of money with the state and the county is actually one pot.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Fair.

MRS. MARTE: So if I might offer, it's federal and state, please.

MS. STRAUSS: Fair. Thank you.

MR. JABOUIN: Adjust it accordingly to say federal and state.

MS. STRAUSS: And can you, please, adjust it to add that there will be collaboration between the two departments? Therefore, we can prevent anybody working in silos.

MS. SHAW: I'm accepting the friendly amendment. Who seconded it?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I seconded. I'll second.

MS. SHAW: I'm sorry, Mr. Medvin, I don't mean to run the meeting for you.

MR. MEDVIN: Any other comments?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor signify by saying

1 aye.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

2 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

MS. FERTIG: Motion to transmit.

MS. SHAW: Second, Phyllis.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Any final comments?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor please say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

14 MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Motion is to be

15 transmitted.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you very much.

We're just checking to see if the guests are here for the next agenda item.

19 MS. DAHL: Did we just lose quorum?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think we are.

MR. MEDVIN: No, it's five.

22 MR. JABOUIN: Five is what's needed for

quorum. And Ms. Strauss indicated before that

she needed to step out.

With respect to Agenda Item 13, this is the

report on the Secure The Next Generation

Referendum. This audit was performed in
accordance to the Office of the Chief Auditor

audited plan. I engaged the outside firm of S.

Davis & Associates to perform this audit on the
referendum and it covered the first two years of
the referendum which were fiscal years 2019 and
2020 and 2020 and 2021. So we're currently in
the third year of the current referendum, which
is fiscal year 21-22.

Now, the primary purpose of this audit was to determine if the district utilized and ran the referendum in accordance with the language that was approved by the voters. S. Davis did include a copy of the ballot as Exhibit 1. And you can see in their response that based on their procedures, the results of their tests indicate that the district expended SNG funds for 2019 and 2020 -- 2019-2020 and 2020 through '21 in accordance with the purpose of the enhanced funding and programs approved by the voters on August 28th of 2018. The district was in compliance with the different buckets for teachers and school staff compensation, safety and essential programs.

So this audit report was distributed to the school board members on Monday, April 18th, prior to their discussion of the referendum on Tuesday, April 19th. It was included and discussed at the April 28th audit committee meeting.

S. Davis took note on the audit committee member's comments and they have updated the report that is as Agenda Item Number 13.

We do have here to go over the report and to respond to any of the committee's questions from the firm of S. Davis is the managing partner, Shaun Davis and the audit manager, Annette Lewis.

Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: Good afternoon.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Medvin?

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is there any reason, given the scarcity of bodies, instead of us having to turn, that they could join us at the table?

There's plenty of room.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. That's a very good idea.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then, secondly, if I could, when I have the floor, if you could control the Chief Auditor from taking it from me,

1 I'd appreciate it.

MR. MEDVIN: So noted.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.

MR. JABOUIN: And I apologize, Dr.

Lynch-Walsh.

MR. MEDVIN: Now we can see you.

MR. DAVIS: Good afternoon members of the committee, Audit Committee of the School Board of Broward County and staff of the district.

I am Shaun Davis, managing partner of S.

Davis & Associates, CPAs and consultants located here in Broward County.

We were engaged -- this is our second time coming before you for this particular item, which is the independent auditor's report on the performance audit for the Broward County Public School Secure the Next Generation Referendum for the period beginning July 1st 2019 through June 30th, 2021.

We can go through the report again if you'd like. We can highlight what we did. We were here and you asked us to expand some of the columns that we had. There was a question about work papers and we can discuss that as well. But we can go in as much depth or just answer

questions depending on the chair and the audit committee members.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, Mr. Davis, can you point out the actual changes that you made?

MR. DAVIS: Absolutely. I'm going to have Annette and also join. Annette, I should also introduce. Annette is my senior manager from S. Davis & Associates and has worked on this engagement and other engagements through the district for probably the last 15, 20 years.

MS. DAHL: You're aging her.

MR. DAVIS: And she's got the battle scars, as well.

MS. DAHL: I'm glad to see it's not like this (indicating).

MS. LEWIS: The cast is off now.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. As we go through, if you look at the executive summary, on page 2 of the executive summary we have expanded that table that is there. You will also see, back in the actual page 10 of the document you'll see that that was expanded as well. And I'm going to ask Annette to just go through the detail of it.

But this was a performance audit that we performed for the two years. We have an

executive summary. We have the actual audit report attached. Our scope of services, which I would refer you to on page 3, are the items that any auditor would do. It's a scope, what we do, it's limited to that, to those items that are there. I won't bore you with going through the scope, itself, but if there are any additional requests, as we had at the last audit committee, we're happy to perform those, and we did do that as well.

So, Annette?

MS. LEWIS: Good afternoon. Annette Lewis, S. Davis & Associates. On the -- within the executive summary, which is page 2 and then page 10 which relates to tab 6, the table that's here, we went ahead and expanded it to include in item number 2 with the carryover to give you a breakdown. Because when you do the budgeted to actual, it doesn't quite -- there's some variance. So we just clarified what it was and that's in the table on the bottom of that 2 and 10. And then we also added exhibit 2, which is on pages 16 and 17, which was requested, a breakdown of the expenditures by category and bargaining unit. And on page 16 and 17 we also

added or expanded our exhibit 3 to add the bargaining units to the schedule.

So that's essentially what we did based on the requests from the last meeting for a breakdown of information within the charts or the presentation in the report itself.

So if you want us to go specifically through those updates, we can.

MR. MEDVIN: Does anyone have any specific questions on this?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So let me just double-check. I think we, on Task 1, verifying the reports were on the website as stipulated in the resolution, did -- did Task 1 -- I think we may have had this discussion, but just to reiterate, because we have tasks that were done -- let me get to Task 1.

MR. JABOUIN: Page 4.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Gain an understanding of referendum and the associated goals.

The board then passed a resolution, and this sort of ties into Task Number 2. The Task 1, verify reports were on the website as stipulated

in the resolution, did you review the board's resolution? You mentioned them passing it.

MS. LEWIS: We did review the resolution.

However we were just to gain an understanding of the associated goal that's related to the SNG referendum.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So the resolution stipulated that there would be reports on-line. So Task 1 did not include verifying that, per the resolution, they were doing what they said they were doing?

MS. LEWIS: Correct. It was just to gain an understanding, not to test anything else in terms of presentations on the website regarding any other reports.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then for Task 2 on the actual ballot language, which we have here -- and the issue isn't that anyone's going to begrudge bus drivers or food service workers, but when you read the ballot language it says, strictly speaking, to enhance funding for school resource officers, including individual charter schools with more than 900, hire district school security stuff, increase compensation to recruit and retrain highly qualified teachers, and fund

other essential instruction-related expenses preserving important programs in the district schools for a period of four years. And that was the plan.

Then the board passed the resolution that essentially then clarified what they meant by that, which was mental health workers and then that's where they got specific about all of these other positions beyond teaching or safety and security.

So the categories that you have here are teaching and school staff compensation, but you have on -- under Task 2, teachers and school staff compensation, safety, and then essential programs.

So is it your understanding that the school staff was part of essential programs? And, if so, because it's lumped on to teachers, which is consistent with the resolution, but not necessarily with the ballot language; if you get my drift.

MS. FERTIG: Can I just comment on that? How do you not -- I'm just asking. You don't feel that mental health, food service and delivering kids to school have -- I mean --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm going -- this is strictly the task and the language.

MS. FERTIG: I know. I'm looking at other essential instruction-related expenses. Because for many children they're not going to get to school --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm not debating any of that.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'm wondering what your point is because I'm missing it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The audit was, review official ballot language. This is where words matter. So I'm debating whether there should have been a finding that maybe they didn't strictly adhere to it, but we can move on.

Okay. So those -- then moving on to -- I had a question that I sent in, please clarify or explain the purpose of Task Number 5 and how does it differ from Task Number 6? Were these transactions from the report -- I sent in these questions ahead of this meeting. I sent them in on May 12th. I didn't get an answer.

So please clarify or explain the purpose of Task Number 5, how does it differ from Task Number 6? And were these transactions from the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reports referred to in the resolution?

Task 5 was just the act of MS. LEWIS: reviewing the expenditures -- selecting -gathering the information for the transactions for expenditures, reviewing it with staff to make sure that we understood what was in the transaction itself, then drawing examples from those transactions.

MR. DAVIS: And preparing the actual testing. So Task 5 is actually selecting a random sample and Task Number 6 is planning to test those particular items within the sample. And we actually did the actual testing under 6.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So Task 5 was getting the samples, then testing was 6. Okay. That's one reason I was wondering.

And then you tested them against the MOUs that were agreed upon to make sure that the individuals -- what was the actual test in Task 6?

MS. LEWIS: The test, and it's laid out in the verbiage supporting it, reviewing it, its relation, what it was expended for, the type of expense, i.e. if it was properly categorized in the funds, who it affected, just basically what the transaction encompassed, and testing each of the components to see if it meets the referendum requirements, i.e., if it was a salary item, what position, and we ended up getting employee information, which, of course, we don't disclose. But as you test each of them, depending on the time period, because, for example, you could be a math teacher in 2020 and then you could be an English teacher in 2021. So we looked at the person and the title that they held and if that title or that job classification was eligible for SNG funds.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But you didn't look at whether it fulfilled what was negotiated by the different bargaining units?

MS. LEWIS: We did, too. By the time we ended up selecting a sample and based on the results of the sample item we tested those persons and where they belong, which was also to determine whether their income aligns by funding that particular transaction with SNG money.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So you're saying you did verify whether, let's say you're a math teacher and the first year you were supposed to get a certain percent of your base salary, that that

particular person because they fell into this -because the MOUs are very specific.

MS. LEWIS: Right. We did not go that deep into drilling down to an individual and their scale and what they were entitled to. We used the MOUs to determine which categories of employees or which jobs were entitled to be funded by SNG moneys.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So you were looking for something like if -- if you got somebody that got money, you made sure that they fell into one of those categories. So let's say if Mr. Jabouin's name popped up and he got a supplement, he didn't fall into one of those categories, and that would have been a finding?

MS. LEWIS: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But in terms of whether everybody got, per the MOUs that were negotiated, that was beyond what you tested for?

MS. LEWIS: That would have been outside simply because it would be another layer of testing, which was outside.

MR. DAVIS: And the actual scope of services gives the detail of what that testing is. We don't have that testing. That was outside of the

1 scope of what we had to do.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I hear you. Part of my issue with this audit, in fact, probably my biggest questions are coming from the scope as it was designed, not with what you actually did.

But I was a little confused on what was actually tested. So now I'm clear on that, actually.

And then I have questions -- I don't know if people have questions on a specific task, but I do have questions on the expanded information when we get there, which would be exhibit 2.

Is it me again?

MR. MEDVIN: Go ahead.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And just to finish up with my list here, you mentioned work papers. I sent evidence -- to my understanding between state statute and policy they would no longer be exempt. We haven't received them. So I'm not sure what the debate is. But just so you know, they were requested. And because, by definition, this group wouldn't get an audit that was incomplete, and because work papers are no longer exempt once an audit is complete, by those definitions they would have been no longer

exempt. I'm not sure if the plan is to turn them over, but they are now public record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVIS: I can talk to that if you'd like. So we are an independent CPA firm, a CPA consulting firm. We are not employees of the district nor are we employees of Mr. Jabouin's office, which is the Chief Auditor. So we are a separate independent entity that's regulated by not only the American Institute of CPAs but the Florida Institute of CPAs and the Auditor General within the State of Florida. So I believe you referenced to Chapter 119 within the statutes. There is also a chapter 473.318 that references to the ownership of work papers and specifically accountants and audit work papers for public accountancy. And it reads, and I can -- it's real quick, so if you don't mind, I'll just read it.

MR. MEDVIN: Uh-huh.

MR. DAVIS: Ownership of Work Papers,
473.318, Regulation of Professions and
Occupations, Chapter 473, Public Accountancy
Section 318, Ownership of Work Papers. All
statements, records, schedules, work papers and
memorandum made by a certified public accountant

or firm or her or his employee incident to or in the course of professional services to a client, except those reports submitted by a CPA or a firm to a client, except for records which are part of the client's records, shall be and remain the property of the certified public accountant or firm in the absence of an expressed agreement between the CPA, certified public accountant, or firm and the client to the contrary. That's Florida Statutes. Then you have, and you talked about 119 and I think you referenced that as well. As it relates to the American Institute of CPAs, which regulates all of the CPAs within the State of Florida, that language is also consistent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I bring that to you as a former chair of the Board of Accountancy, which regulates the CPAs within the State of Florida for eight years.

We actually look at these kind of items and this is -- this has been going on for some time, not only within the State of Florida but throughout the country as well.

So these are the guidance that a CPA that is independent of any entity should be following.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Unless you agree otherwise.

So there's nothing prohibiting the work papers from becoming part of what you agree to have be the clients documents. But you haven't agreed to that, so if you had then this would be a different conversation.

Okay. So I'm fine with that. I don't want to hold everybody up. Especially since your answer on Task Number 5 and 6 kind of clarified where the limitations -- where the scope ended.

Okay. So exhibit 2, so the three categories were basically teachers, safety and mental health. But putting all of the bargaining units under education there are positions -- and we know that because a board member also requested information, so we have some positions that are FOPE that belong, and possibly some others, that belong under either safety or mental health.

Because what I was trying to do is get numbers so that you can confirm that if the ideas was X percent was for this category then we have something that adds up to the total that can be picked and tied back to the percentage. But there are positions within this education category that are actually positions like -- where they got supplements, armed safe school

officer, and they seem to have gotten supplements in the first year, in fiscal year '20 but not in '21. I guess the question is, why? Was it because there weren't kids in school? Campus monitor is under FOPE 4, that's a safety position. So the top, yes, it ties to this schedule in terms of all of the bargaining units, but when we were breaking it down by category we still don't know, every -- everyone isn't in their proper category. We know that BTU EP is teachers and then if we looked at BTU ESPs from my other lists that I have, but there are positions that are not where they kind of ought to be, so it's hard to tie, pick and tie.

And can you explain, under safety, what are the positions under support? There is 6.9 million, what are those positions in exhibit 2?

MR. DAVIS: So these -- the source of the document here was prepared -- was given to us and we looked at it and picked and tied from the finance department. Judith, would you like to talk about this at all?

MS. LEWIS: I apologize, we did not walk with that detail document, but there is support for what's in that \$6.9 million. And we can get back

to the office and present it and forward the information to Mr. Jabouin.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because -- so then there's a column for fringe benefits and one of the differences between what was prepared for the board member and this audit, is this only had supplements, what came to the board member. And it didn't have salaries or fringes. Because the follow-up question was, where are the funds for the SROs? So I'm a looking for clarity on what does support mean; is that the SRO number?

MRS. MARTE: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No? So where are the SROs in this?

MRS. MARTE: Through the Chair?

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

MRS. MARTE: The SROs are paid for out of the state categorical general funds and they're not part of the referendum.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So to enhance funding -- so on the ballot it says, to enhance funding for school resource officers.

MRS. MARTE: That's for the charter schools. So the charter school SROs are under safety.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, okay. It says to

enhance funding for school resource officers, comma, including individual charter schools with more than 900 students. I mean --

MRS. MARTE: So I will -- I will go back and check, but I believe that -- I'm going to have the year wrong, but we had an enhancement to our contracts with the municipalities and the county for an incremental increase in what we were paying the SROs. I will verify. That may be part of the 10.8 million.

The preponderance of the 10.8 million supports campus monitors in elementary schools.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And when you say "supports", did it add campus monitors or --

MRS. MARTE: It added nearly 500 of them.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So that's the 388 on yesterday's handout.

MRS. MARTE: On what?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'm going off of the district's own document.

It says 388 campus monitors; armed school safety officers, 29; building security, 4; clerical support, 14; security specialists, 82. And when I asked yesterday they said that these were all referendum funded positions. So --

1 MRS. MARTE: Yes, I prepared that; yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So it's right.

Okay. So one -- yeah, if you have a detailed breakdown of what that word "support" means. And then why would fringe benefits be almost, you know, the support is 6.9, the fringe benefits are 4.2 million. Maybe I'm not understanding what goes into fringe benefits?

MS. DAHL: A lot.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Not that much. 16 percent versus, that's more like 60.

Okay. So SROs, even though the ballot says SROs, it's not in here. Okay. That would explain --

MRS. MARTE: Point of clarification?

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Marte?

MRS. MARTE: I said I would go back and check, but I believe the incremental increase in the contracts is included here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So that would be under support?

MRS. MARTE: Again, I need to go verify.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.

Incremental increase.

Okay. So what would be under state -- so we

know that the FOPE positions are up above when they should be under safety.

MRS. MARTE: No.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They're under education.

MRS. MARTE: Clarification, please, through the Chair?

Part of educating our students I believe back to Ms. Fertig's point is staff to feed them in the cafeterias, custodians to clean the school building, and existing school safety staff that were in place before the referendum who got a two percent referendum supplement. Those are all listed under the education of our students.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. That probably should have been clarified.

So then what you're telling me is the additional campus monitors, the 388 campus monitors are part of this support number? That's the only other logical place they could be, because if they -- or are you adding -- you just said existing campus monitors that got supplements, which does tie to this supplement handout, so then the additional, all of these additional 540 positions then, if you're saying the ones that were added, not preexisting that

1 got --

MRS. MARTE: The added ones are under safety.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then the biggest number here is 6.9, so what you're saying is that they should be in this 6.9 when --

MRS. MARTE: I'm not seeing 6.9. I don't know what you're pointing at.

MR. JABOUIN: I'm pointing to exhibit 2 in the audit has a line, it's the biggest line, maybe they're under instructional support.

MRS. MARTE: I see it. I was looking at 2021. I apologize.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I mean, it's the same issue both years. I'm just looking for clarity, because there's no, and this is not on the auditors, because they're providing us a set of numbers, this was provided yesterday. There's been a one-page from the district, we got the supplemental numbers and no narrative to explain that this breakdown was preexisting versus referendum. So then if this is referendum, are the -- were there area security managers?

Because if my memory serves me --

MRS. MARTE: There are nine area security managers funded with the referendum and seven

1 funded out of general fund.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And did those seven exist before the referendum?

MRS. MARTE: I don't have the answer, and, quite frankly, don't know what it has to do with this document, whether they existed or not.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm just trying to clarify.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'm trying to understand what it is you're going after.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Clarity.

MS. FERTIG: It doesn't say in here that it's the only, they have -- all the money for each of these things is going to come from this referendum; does it?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What?

MS. FERTIG: I mean, I'm trying to figure out what your point is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm trying to get clarity. If one document says that you have these two and they were funded, then where is it here?

MS. FERTIG: Okay. But we have this document in front us. Are you working off of other documents?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, I tend to work off of more than one because I'm trying to mesh them.

So on this audit or if you follow me under safety --

MS. FERTIG: I just would like to say,
maybe -- I would just hope that we're all working
off the same documents and if someone has others
can they be provided to us? Because I am -okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mary, you were at the same meeting I was at yesterday.

MS. FERTIG: I was at that meeting yesterday, but it doesn't occur to me that when we're doing an audit that's in front of us we're going to bring in information from anyplace else that we've been in the last, you know --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Well, can we start with a breakdown, which the auditors have agreed to a breakdown of what those numbers, under safety, and then my follow-up question would be same question under mental health. If there was additional detail that you guys have, if you could forward it and then that would provide, if I'm just sticking to the audit and not looking at all the other documents that tie to the same referendum spending, that would provide some clarity in terms of, for both years, what

positions are under here so that I know what these numbers tie back to.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: I want to go home. Oh, I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You said that out loud.

MS. SHAW: Never mind.

Are there any other questions because I would like to move this?

MS. FERTIG: I agree.

MS. SHAW: Motion to transmit?

MS. DAHL: No, wait, I have one question.

It's a simple one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Through the Chair to Ms. Marte because I believe you're the one that can answer it. On page 10 it shows percentage used, 83 percent for the first year, 92 percent for the second year and then surplus.

So what's happening with the surplus money?

Are you able to go back and add other people?

Because I'm sure we're not sending it back to anybody.

MRS. MARTE: So through the Chair? So, absolutely, we're not sending it back. We have a commitment that we have made that says in the existing referendum at least 72 percent will be

spent for item -- one of the referendums, then up to 20 and up to 8. So thank you for a little bit of opportunity to offer some clarification.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We appropriated all of these funds to the appropriate buckets and the auditors have verified that. But because of the pandemic we had significant vacancies and it made absolutely no sense to fill security vacancies while we were It would have been a dereliction of our home. responsibility to the taxpayers to use their money correctly, to have people that weren't already on board sitting at home being on-boarded. So that money rolls forward. in a signed fund balance as required by law, meaning that it is earmarked for those buckets in the referendum. In order to allocate that we would need to go back to the school board and get direction. We have not done that as of yet. carryforward that we project for the current year will be much, much smaller. The preponderance of this carryforward is in the area of school safety because of the delay in getting the program ramped up and getting all those 400 people hired.

So we, obviously, will come back at the appropriate time when the next audit comes to

talk about that. But it would require action of the board. At this point I'm anxious to see what happens going forward because this may be an opportunity to create a runway to continue to support important programs.

And I'd like to, if I may, leave it at that.

MS. DAHL: Okay. Thank you very much. I figured you had a plan, but I just wanted to hear it.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Has this been transmitted to the board?

MR. JABOUIN: No, it was not. It would need to be transmitted today. And with that happening we will be able to get that into the June audit committee meeting -- board meeting. Excuse me.

MS. SHAW: Motion to transmit. Phyllis Shaw.

MR. MEDVIN: Second?

MS. FERTIG: Second. Mary Fertig.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I just want to make sure that the request for the additional detail, that those additional details are also transmitted with this audit.

MR. JABOUIN: That's not part of the motion and I don't recommend that because there is a

good amount of detail. That information can be forwarded to me for further forwarding to the committee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Would you prefer the board members ask you for it when it comes before them as opposed to just transmitting it? Why would you not? Because I would imagine they would have the same question and would ask, what's in this support number? What are these numbers based on?

MR. JABOUIN: So your colleagues, they passed a certain motion and I'm just commenting on that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We're discussing. We can make an amendment to add the requested additional detail. Because there was a schedule that they just didn't bring today that would explain these numbers that are in the exhibit.

MR. DAVIS: Can I give a little clarity, please?

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. So you have the report, itself. And you have the supplemental schedules. That's additional detail which certainly we can provide, we can get that information and provide it to the chief auditor and send it to you, but there is no change to this report here. It is an

item that's of detail that we will give to you, but it doesn't change the report itself.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I understand. I'm trying to get it, what you're going to send, provided, transmitted with this report as supplemental information.

MS. FERTIG: In the interest of getting this to the June board meeting could we just go ahead and transmit it and if the supplemental comes in, each one of us has a board member that we, hopefully, communicate with, we can send it to them. But that allows this process to begin on transmitting this to the board, which, I mean, we've had it, now we've been holding onto it since, whenever, April, and it's June, so --

MS. SHAW: My motion stands.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Just 'cause I can.

MS. DAHL: And thank you for doing a good job on this.

1 MR. DAVIS: Thank you.

MR. JABOUIN: All right. Mr. Chair?

MR. MEDVIN: How many do we have here?

MR. JABOUIN: We do have the individuals from RSM. They can sit --

MR. MEDVIN: Sit over here so we can see you better.

MR. JABOUIN: -- next to Mr. Bass.

Okay. Agenda Item Number 14 is the periodic RSM internal audits of the SMART program, the program manager and the owner's representative.

So this is in line with the strategy that I've created with RSM on audits of the various areas and they're based on the requirements of the request for proposal, the district's policies and procedures and follow-up from previous audit findings as well as comments from the committee members.

This quarter's report does not have any new observations, but there is a completed project analysis that was performed and I'll ask RSM to describe it shortly. But also there was follow-up on the prior audit observations. And you can see from reading the report that of those seven prior findings one of them is now closed

and the others are in various stages of completion. Three of them are open and those will continue to get the same diligent follow-up as they typically do.

So I do have here RSM Director, Matthew
Blondell and RSM Senior, Christopher Gums here,
as well as AECOM's owner representative Kathleen
Langan and Ashley Carpenter from Atkins and Mr.
Sam Bays to answer any of the committee's
questions. But I'd like to go ahead and give it
to RSM to start the discussion.

MR. BLONDELL: Thank you, Mr. Jabouin.

With that introduction I think we can go straight into the completed project analysis that begins on page 4 of the report.

We sampled five completed projects that were either listed as closed and completed or in the process of financial closeout to perform some analysis that we bifurcated between financial performance and schedule performance. Pages 4, 5, 6 and 7 summarize the key takeaways from our analysis of those five sample projects and laid out by financial performance takeaways as well as schedule performance takeaways then Appendix A, which starts on, I believe, page 26 of the report

has detailed project analysis for each of the individual five sampled projects. I will touch on that a bit later, but I just did want to make that note before we started.

So on page 4, we'll start with the financial performance, what we did was we obtained the original -- original budgets for each of the sampled projects as well as any revised budgets and then performed a budget to actual analysis to identify where deviations from the original budget or revised budgets, what was the driving force behind those.

We also looked at contract documents, change orders to understand, you know, what the changes would have resulted from or what they were -- what they were the result of and how much those impacted the financial performance.

So we've broken it out by design and construction on the financial performance piece. So on the bottom of page 4 we talk about design. Three of the five sampled projects were, the design fees were based on a percentage of construction cost. So as the construction budgets, which we talk about in a later section, were -- all had seen increases as the bids came

in, there was also corresponding increase to the designer's fees for those. The design budget overall within the program included some contingency allowances. And for two of the three where the design changes, the design increases for the contracts, the contingencies were actually sufficient to cover those increases and so the overall design budget for that project was not exceeded because the contingencies were in place even though the actual design contracts had increased in some cases fairly substantially.

For one of the three projects that was based on this type of arrangement the contingency was smaller than it was noted for the other two and so it did not cover it and so that one did see an increase overall on the design cost or design budget.

Moving on to page 5 on the construction section, like I mentioned before -- I believe we might have a question.

MR. MEDVIN: Phyllis?

MS. SHAW: Yeah. Whose contingency was it; was it the owner's contingency or the consultant's contingency?

MR. BLONDELL: It was a contingency, the

district's contingency built into the overall budget in the program. They anticipated, from my understanding, that there would be increases based on the original budgets. I think it was well-known that those were likely to be exceeded once bids came in. And so understanding that there were contingencies added to the district's budgets to cover those anticipated increases.

MS. SHAW: Do you know if a change order was required to use those contingencies? Because normally with owner's contingencies you have to go back to the owner for approval.

MR. BLONDELL: I'm not specifically sure if there were additional approvals for those.

MS. CARPENTER: Through the Chair? Ashley Carpenter with Atkins.

I just wanted to add that for those design amendments, if the designer was hired as part of an RFQ, a Request for Qualification, then any amendment to their contract, it does go back to the board for any increases. So regardless of whether we have contingencies built into the budget or not, it still has to go back to the board for approval prior to issuing any amendment to them.

1 MR. MEDVIN: Thank you.

MS. FERTIG: Can I ask them a follow-up question? Are you finished?

MS. SHAW: Go ahead.

MS. FERTIG: I understood and I read in here,
I think, as the designer fees increased, the
construction management fees increased?

MR. BLONDELL: Right. So there was a -within the budget line items there is an item
called construction management fees, but it's
really related to the program management fees for
Heery, Atkins, AECOM and other small
miscellaneous items. And, yes, with the -- there
were increases noted in that line item for the
budgets, likely attributable to the increase in
time for each of the projects and the program as
a whole.

MS. FERTIG: But as a general rule as the designer fees increase the program manager fees increase.

MR. BLONDELL: I wouldn't necessarily say that.

MS. FERTIG: Well, that's what I'm trying to clarify here.

MR. BLONDELL: So the program management fees

are allocated to each project by capital programs, is my understanding, and that is an allocation that they do each year and it may — there would likely be an increase to program management fees if a project is extended in timeline, but not necessarily based on cost.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Thank you.

MS. SHAW: Okay. Number one, we just lost quorum.

MS. DAHL: No, I'm just going to the bathroom.

MS. SHAW: Number two --

MR. JABOUIN: Discussions can still continue no votes can occur. Thank you.

MS. SHAW: Number two, back to her question and your response, if it was a project that was bid, there was an RFP, an RFQ on that specific project, regardless of the extension of time, there shouldn't be any increase. Unless you're using CCNA, in-house CCNA, there should have been no increase on the project management. That should have been part of the, when you bid the project you should have projected that there's going to be an increase in salary, whatever the case may be, over that contract.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So why would there be an increase?

2 MR. BLONDELL: We might be talking about 3 different things. So there was -- there's the increase to the design contract. Based on the 4 5 terminology in their contract the designer's was 6 based on a percentage of construction cost. 7 was originally -- obviously, when it's going 8 through the design phase when the project is in 9 the design phase the construction cost isn't 10 determined yet because project the hasn't been 11 bid.

Where I believe we were speaking of is the program management fees which would be PMOR and the CPCM fees is the major component of what is called construction management fees in e-Builder, but it's really representative of those PMOR and CPCM fees.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, thank you, Mr. Medvin.

So just to clarify and take us through the history of this, you're looking at the cost increasing from the 2014 through 2017 original budgets as opposed to what they were when they went to the board. So we still have projects -- the projects are not trued up in the DEFP until

they go to the board for award. So when you have projects that were pushed out the door, and there was a period of time where the design market was flooded, but they were -- the designers, the architects, were secured under the 2014 budget. Then in a lot of cases so were the CMARs. then you get to the point where now we've finally designed the thing, and, oopsy, we he have the project, which is mostly roofing and HVAC was based on the needs assessment, 8 to \$10 per square foot for roofing. So the increases are coming from having to go to the board to true up the budget. And when that happened the designers were under the impression they had a 2 million or \$3 million project, which is now an \$8 million project, and that would generate an increase in fees across the board. Because up until the point it goes to the board, which I have raised concerns with repeatedly, that the budget reflect reality and what is known about that budget, everybody's still operating as though this \$3 million project is what the project will actually cost.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm not surprised that they're finding, and here it says, on average, 79 percent, because

most of that, and Ashley can correct me if I'm wrong, has to do with truing up the budgets.

So understand that there are projects still out there that haven't come to the board that are on the books, so to speak, and don't have the rest of the budget allocated to it. So when they go to the board, there's often an increase to architect's fees and everything else because the amounts that they agreed to when they signed the contract were never going to be the actual project budget.

So this is not -- this is not necessarily inflation. This is still stemming from the roofing and HVAC and the 2014 estimates never being increased until the project, itself, goes to the board for approval. Just as a point of clarification.

MS. FERTIG: Just a comment as I'm reading through each of these. Just before this goes to board, is it possible to insert a chart with these numbers which might make it more readable? Particularly when you get to -- I mean, you have them in the text, you read through them, but I think a chart would make it a lot easier to --

Through the Chair? Ms. Fertig,

MR. JABOUIN:

if you wouldn't mind going to page number, that would probably be helpful with that.

MR. MEDVIN: Which one are you referring to?

MR. JABOUIN: Which page are you on?

MS. FERTIG: Oh, I'm on this first section.

That's what we're on; right? 4, 5, 6, 7.

MS. SHAW: 4, 5, 6.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: So just what I was thinking was, when we get to -- is number of days in particular. I feel like a chart would be helpful in summarizing that.

MR. JABOUIN: Sure. Have you gotten to the back yet, by any chance?

MS. SHAW: We did before.

MS. FERTIG: With which thing; with this topic?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, with the RSM report.

MS. FERTIG: Can you give me a page number?

MR. JABOUIN: For example, on page -- where the appendix is. One moment. It's after page 20

MR. BLONDELL: 26.

MR. JABOUIN: After page 26, please.

MS. FERTIG: Unfortunately, these didn't come off numbered. Maybe yours did. I printed it

1 one-sided only. Sorry.

MS. SHAW: I think it's much easier to insert the chart in this verbiage versus trying to dig it out of the appendix. That's -- something small and much easier. Because the information is in the appendix, so you can read it, but just to go along with the verbiage on the pages 4, 5, 6 and 7, just something simple.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you Ms. Shaw. And Ms. Fertig.

MS. FERTIG: And my page 26, I don't have it, I'm sorry. But I just -- I just, as you're reading this it would flow better.

MR. BLONDELL: We can absolutely include a chart.

MS. FERTIG: So I don't know where we are, but I see that you have your hand up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, as long as we're adding things, because I like when we explain why we're seeing what we're seeing or there's, you know, the clarity I just added. Because it says, bids received from contractors consistently exceeded original construction budgets.

Do you mean the ones that Atkins just put together or the ones that they originally agreed

to?

MR. BLONDELL: The original budget. So the budgets I believe you referred to them as the 2014.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The 2014; okay.

Because I don't want board members to be confused and start looking over at Ashley going, oh, they're 79 percent over your estimate? And, you know, she'll take a beating when really what's meant here is the fakakta 2014 budgets that weren't based on reality because they were -- and now we're escalating roofing costs, but they were based on maybe 10 bucks a square foot and I can't think of any roof that's been put on since 2014 that cost 10 bucks a square foot. You can't even put some tape on a roof for \$10 a square foot.

MR. BLONDELL: We can add that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So could you clarify that so that, you know, when you talk about a 79 percent increase, I just want to make sure that there's some clarity so that the board doesn't misinterpret what this actually means.

MR. BLONDELL: Yes, we can add that clarification.

1 DR

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That would be great.

MR. BLONDELL: And those increases, of course, would have been included. Each of the project increases would have been included as a board item. It was always noted in the executive summaries of those board items for each individual project. When it went before the board, the original budget was X, because of the bids that have been received, we're now requesting an increase to the budget for, to cover construction costs as well as to increase contingency -- contingency budgets for the projects.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I just don't want any of them, any of them, any of the nine, to misinterpret why they're seeing -- why this is in here. I'm not saying it's not valid, it is, and you do say original construction budgets, but just some clarity around that phrase.

MR. BLONDELL: We can add that in.

MS. FERTIG: And I think that's fine, but, you know, when you take that in context of how many days it takes, that's why I'd like a chart on how many days it takes for each of these phases, when you add that up you come up with an

enormous number. And -- and that has gone into -- you know, did they do a good job estimating in the first place, and I know you and I have strong feelings on whether or not the 2014 estimates were accurate or not, but we also now are now seven years down the road and I'm looking at the number of days in this and I have to tell you that is a large number of days and that has had, I believe, as great an impact on this cost that we're seeing as the incorrect original estimates did.

So I -- this is a great report, as usual. I just -- I just think adding those days up for people and letting them see how many days it takes in each phase with a total at the bottom is important in understanding why we are in 2022 with so much left to do, so -- and with it costing what it's costing.

MR. BLONDELL: Certainly.

So then moving through, we talked about the construction budgets and the increases there, so I won't belabor that.

We did have two notes that on two of the projects there were instances where bids were received that were rejected and then, you know,

only one bidder essentially was the one that was ultimately awarded for the Cypress Elementary School and for Miramar Elementary School. Both of those, we did note that.

Moving into the schedule performance. What we started with there was the original schedules that were included in the 2015 BOC reports and then also compared those to the June 2017 Heery re-schedule, the October 2018 Heery re-schedule as well as the 2020 AECOM re-baseline as it applied. Many of the projects were already completed or in closeout phase by the time AECOM came on board. But we did include some information on the individual project reports about when that delineation happened.

As was brought up by Ms. Fertig, the next page, on page 6, goes through each of the phases and pulls out some key elements and schedule increases, or not necessarily increases, but causes for some of the days elapsed for the schedule within each phase. For example, on the procure designer phase, the most significant amount of time for the average of 163 days to go from board approval to advertise professional services to the actual approval of the PSA

agreement, 96 days was -- elapsed between, on average, between when the QSEC had posted its recommendation and when the board had actually approved it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And just to be clear, because I think this is also important, this is the -- just, again, so we don't misinterpret what we're actually seeing, this says the -- and I was kind of shocked when I got this, having had to move heaven, hell and earth to get a behavioral threat assessment done in realtime, this is the internal audit of program management for fiscal year 21-22 Q4.

I'm really bad with dates so for about five good minutes I was sitting here going, what quarter are we in? We're in 2022; right? So how can we have a Q4 report while we have not even finished Q4? And today may be June 2nd, but we got this while it was still May. And then there's a note in here that you were doing fieldwork between March and May of 2022. So I was having a moment trying to figure out how we got, why we were looking at performance from Q4 while we're still in Q4 and why we wouldn't be getting Q4 in, say, August? But then I realized,

well, wait, these schools, you're mentioning initial ITB issued in May 2017, 2018, so this was before -- well, actually, I think it's during the current procurement director. But this is during the period when it was a complete zoo. think I'm the only person sitting in here who belongs to a seat that sits on OSEC. We were running out of people to give them to sit on QSEC because there were so many QSEC meetings to procure all of these architects. And it was complete chaos because everything -- because you can show that the program is all in design if you push it all through Procurement. And then you can show that it's in construction if you secure the CMARs and push all of that through. could one week, within two weeks, procure, and I remember this specifically for Plantation High School, procure the architect and then two weeks later come back and do the OSEC for the CMAR. And this would have been years before anything was starting on that project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So these are not things that are happening now; am I correct? These are things that happened in the distant past?

MR. BLONDELL: These are for completed

projects. So to get the most complete view of a singular or a set of projects, to see it from cradle to grave so to speak, we did start with completed projects. So, yes, design phase was years ago. But the approach here was to select completed projects.

On your comment about the title of the report, I believe a few quarters ago, because there always seemed to be confusion about what the report was covering and if it was covering items that happened, I mean, because oftentimes we are looking at things that happened years past or many months past that might not have happened in the actual quarter. I believe a few quarters ago we switched the naming convention on our reports to when we would actually be presenting to this committee so that we could then refer easily back to reports of, this was a report that we presented in Q4 2022.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, clearly, for me at least, I still get confused. When I see a Q4 report I'm expecting it to reflect something that occurred in Q4, not being given to me in Q4.

But, anyway, so basically these are events happening in '17 and '18 in terms of -- let's

see, when did the construction -- because, yes, I get it, the cradle to grave, but because some of this occurred so long ago and was under Heery, what is the takeaway in terms of evaluating the current program manager? Because these are completed projects, and, yes, you did mention that by the time they came on -- again, I just don't want anyone misinterpreting these, because Heery's long gone, they took their 70 million and left, and the people left to answer questions about this are, you know, is AECOM that came on in July of 2020.

So what -- I was actually kind of confused almost about the purpose of this because I'm not sure where we go with this. Because it's not things that are necessarily happening currently in terms of design and some of the construction. So I guess we just read it and keep turning the page.

MS. FERTIG: I, obviously, have been doing this for a long time, and let me just tell you that every new person that comes in says, and we heard this in 2014, ironically, everything before that had been done wrong in this district, but now we were going -- we had a new person, it

would be done right. And then the next group came in, which was Heery, and now everything would be done right. And then the next group.

So I think one value of looking at this is to try to pick out some things to say, okay, here are some concerns and let's make sure they're not still happening. Because, you know -- you know, AECOM, you guys could be the greatest thing ever, but you could still be making a mistake in one of these areas.

So I think it's important to look at them.

I've never seen a perfect facilities department.

Sorry, Sam. But I've also known a lot of really great people that have worked in the facilities department. I think trying to pick out these trends is important. And, personally, I think just this one page of showing times is really critical to understanding that even on these smaller projects it took this long to get it done and what that means in the life of a community and a school, you know, really cannot even be measured. So I'm glad you have it. I just want a chart to show it.

MS. SHAW: Right. And just to add on to that, just to talk about there were a number of

errors, as well, and what kind of policies and procedures we have put in place to catch a number of those errors. They may be in the past, but in today's world, for example, when you have a change order with errors, I mean, I see millions of dollars in change orders on a daily basis, I was looking at one this morning before this meeting, so, for me, what have we put in place right now to mitigate, to make sure we don't have change orders? And if they're going through the consultants and then come to the board, how are we making sure that those are correct? You know, because you can have a change order with two hundred lines, but -- so, yes, these are the past, but what do we have now in place and how are we making sure that we're not repeating what happened prior?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I don't know who answers that question.

This is for the district.

MR. MEDVIN: Well, are you as part of your procedure opining on any of that? That's a very good point.

MR. BLONDELL: So the purpose of this was to perform the analysis and see I think where that would lead perhaps in the future deeper dives as

1 we discuss with the chief auditor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: So at this point you're not giving an opinion, you're just giving facts?

MR. BLONDELL: Correct. This is more analytical in nature.

MR. JABOUIN: And through the Chair? There are no findings with that. It is really for the committee to be able to read it, get some of the comments similar to what we got from Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh and Ms. Phyllis Shaw, as well.

Obviously, within the report RSM did follow up on prior findings and they'll continue to do so as well, but there are no new findings in this report.

MS. SHAW: And apart from the table, I would move that we transmit with the additional information.

MR. MEDVIN: Second for that?

MS. FERTIG: I just have some questions on the prior findings. I'll second your motion, but I just want to -- I have a few comments.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Second by Ms. Fertig.

All right. Comments?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, and I take -- to Dr.

Lynch-Walsh's comments, the first part of this

deals with more historic, but the second part of this deals with updating the current recommendation. And I noted a number of things in here on the average number of days between termination and removal from e-Builder. I know some of these are things that just kind of happen. Whose responsibility is that?

That's on page 14.

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Langan will respond to some of your questions, Ms. Fertig. And in some cases Ms. Carpenter may be able to add to that.

MS. LANGAN: Yes, thank you, through the Chair?

It is the responsibility of both AECOM and Atkins, and I think if you take a look at the results of that section we had some confusion on one person who was outside of the range that RSM and AECOM and Atkins agreed to.

That person -- there was confusion because that person was back in DC, still associated with the program, but on a part-time or minimal-time basis and so he did not come off of e-Builder until he was totally off the program.

So that's that one indication of 40 days.

MR. JABOUIN: That's page 14 in the report.

MS. LANGAN: I'm sorry. Yes.

But it is both companies' responsibilities. We work together. I think, you know, the recommendation is we -- we do have documented on-boarding procedures for new people. We do have protocols for people exiting. And we are working together to actually put the exiting of employees into a -- into an official SOP, but we do have protocols that we follow.

So, hopefully, that answers your question.

MR. MEDVIN: Yes, sir.

MR. BAYS: Thank you. Sam Bays, Task
Assigned Executive Director Office of Capital
Programs.

I can attest that I have gotten weekend requests to delimit people's access to the district's on-line system. So I can verify that that is taking place.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. On a lot of these it just looks like some of these things are clerical on reporting and so forth. But go to Dr.

Lynch-Walsh while I continue to go through my highlighted sections here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. On page 12 -- well, it starts actually on page 11, this speaks -- so

this agreement was supposed to be performance based fees, and fairly early on in the process, actually, there was like a five-month delay due to management at the time, we finally got together with AECOM to look at -- and the problem was that there were specifications in terms of deliverables put into the agreement without the district knowing how to be able to generate those requirements. So the idea was to go through them and go, okay, if you can't do earned value management because they literally don't have the data available, then what can you do? So the Facilities Task Force got together with AECOM and we actually have a draft of what would be done in amendment in terms of expectations. It is now however many months, what does it say, 22 months later, and that's never gone back. Part of the problem is the instability of leadership in the Office of Capital Programs and also it would seem a lack of understanding as to what we're trying to accomplish. Because there are things -paying vendors has been a problem and so we sort of came up and we worked actually with Atkins as well to determine which of the things in the agreement could be done now versus later and what

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was acceptable to everybody in terms of creating a new deliverable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm actually glad that you guys pointed this out again, because the problem is there are limitations to them being able to do this, but where I felt my blood pressure go up is when I looked at the O4 response from OCP, which says, in the 22 months since executing the agreement with AECOM, mind you, none of this discussion was had with the Facilities Task Force, blah, blah, are either impractical or unnecessary in the current program environment because the intended metric is already provided by the cost and programs controls consultant, not necessarily, or because the metric is not able to be created in the current paradigm (like Earned Value Management). As a result, these deliverables don't contribute to effectively monitoring program and project performance by the program manager, owner's rep. In the new fiscal year OCP staff will work with Procurement and Warehousing and the Office of General Counsel to develop revisions to the agreement then seek board approval.

In a vacuum, no. No, that will not be

1 happening. No, no.

And, yes, I'm turning around to look at you.

So one person is determining what happens with something that everybody collaboratively put into the agreement and then the program managers discussed and we discussed at task force meetings with staff and has just been in a holding pattern since. But then we have no way of measuring all of these other things in terms of seeing, for under AECOM, whether these things are improving.

So what we were looking at was more benchmarking and then looking at whether things are being improved over time in these areas.

Because trying to hold them accountable for things that were outside of their control because this was already a dumpster fire from 2014 is impractical and unfair. And, you know, earned value management not even happening. But there were things that could be measured that could be improved that they could then be measured against in terms of performance.

So to have somebody decide that they're going to pull this out and work with Procurement -- the construction sourcing person is gone. She was gone April 22nd. So to have this be a response,

and had I not the been on the audit committee would not know probably until down the road that this was in the works, because we've asked about status and it's been, I'll have to look at the last time we actually looked at this, and I'll send it to you guys, we had a plan for what they would be measured on in these different areas that everybody agreed was reasonable and things that would be taken out. And apparently that was not discussed.

I mean, if you want to take something out, come discuss it with everybody. Don't just decide it's not useful because you don't understand what people are trying to do.

So, yes, I'm a little annoyed by that response.

And I don't -- that was my main one.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, sir. Thank you, Dr. Lynch-Walsh. The auditors, when RSM is doing the testing, they're looking into what is contractually required.

So I think that the thoughts that you have into having meaningful reporting is where we should end up going to. But, ultimately, the

terms of the contract are what they are now and that's what's causing the testing and the exceptions to occur.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm not sure why you're explaining that to me. Of course I understand that.

I'm saying that the management response is suggesting that they are going to change something that -- because somebody has decided that it's not useful. And that is a statement that cannot be made by one person or by a group of people that don't understand what the goal was.

So I'm not -- my issue is not with RSM, and like I said, I'm glad that's in there, because, yes, that is in the current contract and it was supposed to go back to the board at least a year ago, but didn't. So we'll discuss it tonight.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Strauss?

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah, so know I had to step out and come back in, so I apologize first and foremost, but I just want to put on the record, because I know that we are all subject to sunshine law, we aren't to communicate outside, I personally just witnessed something in this

meeting that made me uncomfortable as far as communication. I witnessed the chief auditor shaking his head at this gentleman back here not to reply to Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh and that made me very uncomfortable.

Because if somebody had something to say they shouldn't be directed through sign language and personal movements and directive that they should not. Okay? That's not appropriate. You're now rolling your eyes at me. I don't appreciate that. At the end of the day we are all here in this room, this is to be transparent, and everybody's an individual, everybody should be held accountable and everybody should be able to speak and respond if they feel the need to respond.

So if somebody in the back of room had a response to Nathalie, then they should be able to do that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Trust me, actually, this will be the one time I may agree with Mr. Jabouin that it was a wise decision to not have Mr. Bays retort. You have to understand the history.

MR. JABOUIN: Quick point. Through the Chair?

Quick point. On of the things that I do, even at board meetings is, I bring out who should respond to certain types of questions. So sometimes questions are directed at me and I know who to respond to and who to look for to provide a response. So there's a constant communication from me and my team members and so forth as far as getting the information to the -- to the committee.

Mr. Bays and Ms. Langan or Ms. Carpenter, they are able to conduct themselves appropriately, but that's what my goal is, to be able to get the right people to respond at times. And so that does occur even at the board meetings.

MS. STRAUSS: I understand that. I appreciate that. I just wanted the record to state that that made me very uncomfortable in a public meeting.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: I know one thing I wanted to ask just kind of going through the appendix here and seeing this, when you have a large -- when a change order occurs because of consultant error

what are we doing to recapture those dollars? I don't know who that's directed at.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARPENTER: Ashley Carpenter with Atkins.

So currently, as you know, every change order item that gets approved has a category, either consultant error, consultant omission, unforeseen or owner's request. In the case of a consultant omission or a consultant error, those are then further analyzed, and it's generally done at the end of a project because it's important to look at the overall amount of change orders that were on that project, and as Kathleen has talked about several times with the board and in follow-ups is that there's an industry standard of some amount of change order that occur, particularly on the types of jobs that we do here, which are renovation jobs on older buildings. In any case, there's additional amounts assessed on errors and omissions and they are looked at differently. But to date on the SMART Program there has not been an attempt at recovery of any dollars on error or omission change orders. And that's, you know, for various reasons.

The projects that have completed fully, so the architect and the contractor have been closed

out on the jobs, the majority of those projects had very, very low rates of change orders and actually program wide on completed projects the average is under one percent for all the change orders together, not just errors and omissions. So it's very low by industry standards. But there will be a continued effort at looking at every single project with errors and omissions and if it exceeds the threshold to be determined, because I don't think we're completely decided on that or in agreement with the board on what that threshold is, then there will be some effort made at recovery of those dollars.

MS. FERTIG: And are you doing that and are you working with the attorney's -- the school board attorney's office to make that determination as to whether they feel it's worth pursuing?

MS. LANGAN: Yes, we are. We're working with the General Counsel's Office.

Another item that I'll just add on to what Ms. Carpenter talked about was we -- in working with the General Counsel's Office we have developed a concept of tracking what those errors or omissions, back charges, if you will, would

be, and it's -- you know, there's a calculation that we have worked with Atkins on and talked to Mr. Cooney about -- about how we would come up with an amount of a percentage to charge.

So we are working closely with that office and will continue to do so and I would guess, as Ms. Carpenter talked about, as we complete projects, we are now, I think, at 92 projects that we've completed, we'll be looking more at that, but we have developed a formula and a concept to be able to go back to architects and engineers.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't help but notice Ramblewood Elementary, which has had its share of issues. What is the nature of this change order that's included here? Sorry. That's on page 23.

Because Ramblewood is the one that should have happened in the summer, dragged on, so the kids and the teacher have had to live with these things sticking out of the windows all year. Is this change order to do with the HVAC or something else?

It's the last one in the table under Current Observation Status. Because this looks like

1 something new.

MS. LANGAN: Through the Chair?

Ms. Carpenter is going to look that up because we don't have it at hand, but she'll go into e-Builder and look that up as to what specifically it was.

As you know, that contractor has been terminated for cause and so any change orders that that company was owed now goes to the bonding company who has mobilized a new contractor to complete that work.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I have a clarification question on that. So it kind can of is for both parties.

How old is the change order? Or is that an e-Builder question, too?

Okay. Cypress Bay, what were those change orders for? I ask about Cypress Bay because there's literally, correct me if I'm wrong, no more budget left for Cypress Bay. In fact, to demolish the portables which were not included in the project scope, they've come back to the board for more money. Which keep that in your memory bank because there are certain schools that can't apparently come back for more money but Cypress

Bay and Falcon Cove keep coming back for more.

MR. GUMS: Chris Gums, RSM.

For the Ramblewood change order the 1250B form is dated July 2021.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Does that mean that's when it started in the process or that's when it got approved?

MR. GUMS: I would defer to management on that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. LANGAN: Yeah, through the Chair?

That 1250B is when it goes to the board and gets approved. So we would have to go back to all of the backup to tell you when it started.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I don't want to hold up this group because I expect to have the answer tonight because I'm going to be asking about that contractor and some of the nature of their change orders, but -- and Cypress Bay I think we've talked about. I was hoping for a quick answer, but I'm good.

MS. LANGAN: Through the Chair?

We can have that this evening, but then we'll also transmit it for this group through Mr.

Jabouin.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Shaw?

Just one observation. MS. SHAW: With 92 closed projects I am quite surprised -- well, nothing surprises me anymore -- that we don't have some kind of policy as to when we have errors and change orders and the reconciliation change order is completed whether we're going through that bond, because I'm sure for each contractor there is a bond or an insurance that we can go through, but I am quite surprised that we don't have any way -- for me, one percent is a lot of money. You have a \$30 million project and you're saying one percent is okay. That's an issue for me. So I think -- I'm not saying we're going to be 100 percent, we should be, because I think -- if you cannot add a piece of paper, have someone send you something on Excel and add it correctly, whatever it is we need to do, and maybe because this is what I deal with on a day-to-day basis is construction accounting, this is just ridiculous.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What page are you on?

MS. SHAW: I'm just commenting on the --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, you mentioned one

percent, so I'm just trying to follow.

MS. SHAW: -- the one percent.

So I think we need to do a little bit better. And because I'm not hearing that there are any changes today and so that was then 92 projects closed out, but as of today we still don't have any kind of rules in place.

MS. FERTIG: Did you make a motion to transmit? Are you adding to that to express concern that we don't have --

MS. SHAW: Yes.

MS. FERTIG: I think I seconded it, but.

MS. SHAW: Now, I am, and I'm even thinking whether I should withdraw my motion, but I know -- great job by you and I don't think we want to extend this any further. I don't think there's any additional work that you're able to do that's going to change the impact of what's going on. Maybe for future audits we ask for other things, but I think we do need some additional information when we transmit that, so, yes.

MS. FERTIG: With a request to look at how to recover funds from --

MS. SHAW: Yeah.

MS. FERTIG: And I'm seconding it with that

1 addition.

MR. JABOUIN: Can I please have the wording again?

MS. SHAW: That we have some policy how to recover funds in terms of errors, whether it's from change orders or any kind of errors within a contract.

MR. JABOUIN: Motion to transmit with a request that we have some policy to recover funds on errors such as change orders in a contract.

MS. FERTIG: Change orders due to construction -- consultant error or E&O.

MR. MEDVIN: Any other comments on the motion?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I just want to make sure we're not fixing a problem that didn't already have an SOP.

There is no process to go after errors and omissions?

MS. LANGAN: Through the Chair?

We have looked at -- it's more that there's not not a process, it's more how do you calculate it? Because, obviously, you have to calculate an error or omission. For example, if it's -- if it's an omission, the district cannot gain value

without paying for something. So what we look at is the original bid date cost, what the district would have paid for on that day versus what they're paying on a change order. So it's not just a simple -- that's what we've been working on, is to develop a formula and process that is fair and reasonable to both the district and the consultants.

And I would just add that this is a good opportunity because this week we did take an updated Policy 8000 to the school board for consideration. They have asked us to modify that policy and come back to them. And that would be an opportunity for us to include some language to address the concerns that have been mentioned here today for errors and omissions.

So Ms. Carpenter and I will work on that with the General Counsel's Office and Ms. Marte to see if we can put something together to get that policy enacted.

MS. FERTIG: And for as long as I've been on this committee, this has come up several times over the years, the school board has not pursued dollars that they should pursue. Any amount of money we get back we can spend. So we should be

pursuing those moneys. We're seven years into this, this should be done without delay.

I -- I would -- I would think that you could find attorneys in the community who would do this on a contingency, if nothing else, and we might realize some return as opposed to no return. So I'm glad this is in the motion and I hope that it gets done quickly.

MS. SHAW: And in fairness to our communities as well, because I'm hearing fairness to the district and the consultant, the consultant has a job to do and that's their job to make sure that this is correct. So, yes. And I have an issue with one percent. One percent could be a huge amount of money as it relates to each contract.

MS. FERTIG: One percent of a billion dollars.

MS. STRAUSS: Yes, I agree, Mrs. Shaw. I want to know who determined that threshold as far as what is materiality and how we're defining that. We know materiality changes based on dollar amounts. If you're \$100 and you're \$5 off, that's material; right? But if you're a million dollars and, you know, one percent, you know, \$5 would be immaterial; okay?

So I think we need to understand that,
what -- how is materiality being determined for
this? One percent, if you're using that one
percent across the board, it's not appropriate.
In professional practice I would definitely never
recommend that for any of my clients.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Where is the number one percent, because -- what page is that on?

MS. FERTIG: It was verbalized.

MS. SHAW: It was verbalized.

MS. CARPENTER: It was said that the average to date is less than one percent currently. I was just telling you where we were at. There hasn't been a threshold established anywhere.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The average to date for what?

MS. CARPENTER: No, there had not been a threshold. I was stating that there is no threshold, currently, but that what we're running right now with projects that have been completed is that the overall change order amounts amount to less than one percent of the contract value, the original contract value.

MR. MEDVIN: And that's not necessarily an omission or an error either that causes that? I

know we're making a lot of talk here, but --

MS. CARPENTER: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It could be owner request.

MS. CARPENTER: Correct. So that includes owner requests and unforeseen and error and omissions.

MS. STRAUSS: So through the Chair?

I'm sorry, but if we're not even establishing what that threshold is, then what are we working towards?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There are industry standards.

MS. STRAUSS: I mean, that's a problem.

MR. MEDVIN: She did not say there was a written threshold.

MS. STRAUSS: No, she said that there is no written threshold.

MR. MEDVIN: No, she also said that the variance, I believe, is not yet one percent from change orders, not from omissions or errors. So I think the true errors may be much less.

MS. STRAUSS: No, that's not --

MS. SHAW: No, no, no.

MR. MEDVIN: That's what she said.

MS. SHAW: He would need to read it back.

The verbiage was, we are going to work with the attorney's offices to try to look at a one percent threshold. I wrote it down.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I don't know that that's what they're saying.

MS. CARPENTER: If I did say that, I did not mean to say that.

There has been no threshold established.

There have been reports and verbal statements made about industry standards in construction, not only by AECOM but also by the gentleman that spoke for the BOC last time, I can't remember, at the board meeting, about industry standards being somewhere upwards of five percent, of five to eight percent in general for construction projects, particularly renovation work, the way we do here. But we have not -- there is no written policy here that states any kind of threshold.

MR. MEDVIN: Yes?

MR. BAYS: This isn't exactly unprecedented in this district. We had a -- during the last recession we had an initiative by the contracts department within the facilities division and architects and engineers were pursued for the

first dollar of error and the result was there was some money recovered. And, please, let me be clear, I'm only speaking of change order errors and omissions. There was some money recovered and there were a great number of consultants who vowed to never work for this district again.

There is, from a number of professional associations, a lot has been written about industry standard thresholds that are considered normal and reasonable for different types of work. The type of work we're doing in this program is the most difficult, working on hundreds of older buildings and forecasting exactly what will be found when you enter into that work. Our buildings have been in great deterioration for a very long time. So what is unearthed when the building is dismantled is very often not what was anticipated.

So to expect that we will have perfect construction drawings and specifications just will not happen. And as a consequence, since thus far the rate of errors and omissions and the total rate of change orders as a percent of construction has been very, very small relative to those prevailing industry standards, the

district has not taken the step to try to recapture funds on any project to date. And that's what's been conveyed today so far here.

MS. STRAUSS: It's very upsetting. I think any money that we are owed, that we should go after and pursue.

MR. MEDVIN: Let's -- okay. I think we could -- we've been on this for a long time.

MS. FERTIG: So we're keeping the motion the same; right, Phyllis?

Okay. Then we can vote.

MR. MEDVIN: Let's vote on the question, please?

All in favor?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, because I'm not even clear at this point what we're voting on.

MR. MEDVIN: It's for submitting the report with the addition that Phyllis made.

Time is of the essence here. Let's move on, please.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, Agenda Item Number 15 is the next item.

So we did ask RSM to perform a review of the

roofing asset management RFP for my team. This review is included as Agenda Item Number 15. The document has several pages but RSM summarizes it on page 1. And through my review of the report the errors were minor. They related to, mostly to references and points that are not applicable to a particular RFP in roofing asset management.

We did receive adequate responses from district management who's here from capital programs to answer any questions and I'll just ask RSM to say a few introductory words.

MR. GUMS: I think we're okay to open up to questions.

I can quickly touch on the transmittal letter here. As Joris noted, we had a few minor comments within our deliverable, mainly related to the scoring criteria included in the document where the scoring in certain sections did not align with the main scoring rubric. We also noted a few errors related to in-document references. Our understanding is that a prior RFQ or RFP was used to create that document which resulted in some erroneous section references that required updating.

The remaining comments related to formatting

and syntax errors where the contract language required minor modification, but, as Joris mentioned, all the comments provided by management addressed the individual findings within the deliverable.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So ultimately you guys reviewed the scope of services, the evaluation criteria, so usually it's, I believe, like chapters or section 6 -- 4, 5 and 6?

MR. GUMS: We reviewed the entire document.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The entire thing. All right. Thank you.

And everything, all your observations in terms of these things that can be fixed were fixed?

MR. GUMS: So we have not reviewed the revised document, but management has indicated that those errors have been corrected.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. DAHL: I move that we transmit the -- Number 14 -- or, I'm sorry, 15, Roof Assessment Management.

MS. SHAW: Phyllis, second.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Ms. Dahl made the motion

to transmit. Ms. Shaw was second.

All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

Last but not least?

MR. GUMS: Thank you guys.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you very much.

For Agenda Item Number 16, this is a tentative document of subject matters that are under consideration for the next Office of Chief Auditor Audit Plan. So, of course, this is the plan required by School Board Policy 1002.1 and it's a basis for running any audit functions globally.

I ask the committee to send me any comments between now and June 30th, but to remember our plan is limited by several factors, one of them is our head count. We do have six open positions. We have some positions that we've struggled to fill for a very long time and it's very challenging, not just for us but other departments throughout the district to be able to hire good qualified people. We've got a couple

people that are eligible for retirement and then we have some unpredictable risks that occur during the year. Some of the requirements of the Auditor General, some of the Inspector General.

Also, you know, a lot of unknown situations regarding any expectations of us in the grand jury report.

But yet the audits have to be done correctly and they have to be done within general standards, you know, government standards, which includes standards for fieldwork reporting and they have to be reviewed.

So, you know, with respect to some areas that, you know, is on our plan, you know, if you take a look at Information Technology, your general Information Technology controls are part of the plan as it has been, you know, regarding certain things, like general access, web reporting, change management, backup and controls, and then specific applications that we lean on. And those are part of the program.

And then on the IT hardware, that's where the Tony Hunter projects that were discussed at both the audit committee and the board member would be at.

And then with Construction, there's the SMART Bond Program. You saw one report of that just before. Then there is the, you know, non-SMART projects. And then in -- with the various contracts that the district has.

You know, the whole process, when you take a look at procurement -- the idea is to look at things from procurement to pay and all the different things that go in between it.

Also, you know, very important, of course, required are discipline, behavior threat assessment work, maintenance work in PPO that's been outstanding to be done, and payroll of the schools and the departments, the financial statements audit work.

And then, you know, as it pertains to, you know, the regulators, Auditor General, Inspector General, grand jury, certain things that we're looking for from other unknown regulatory requirements that may surface.

And then we have required audits of internal funds. The Auditor General looks at that, the property inventory audits. We have 226 schools with over 400 departments that need to be reviewed. And then the follow-up. There is the

regular follow-up that we do and then the enhanced follow-up programs that we have where we've analyzed issues. And I would have actually -- if the schedule permits at the next meeting I'll include it, it was supposed to be at this meeting, show the committee a snapshot of what we're doing as far as following up on findings.

And then comes other areas that don't yield reports that are very important, all the charter school review work that we do. And we're supposed to review them for proper standards of financial management. Those don't end up yielding a report.

There is the inventory process improvement, the payroll process improvement. And then we start getting to the point where between the audits that are in process and the ones that are risk focused and the ones that policy requires us to do, the board, the audit committee wants to do, then comes, you know, other areas as far as the travel, some of other grants, transportation, the FTE controls.

So there is, you know, quite a bit of areas that need to be part of our regular audit

strategy. And then initiatives that are ongoing regarding our reporting to the board and how that will work, policies that need to be updated within the office, not just 1002.1 but other related policies as well. Training of our team members to make sure that we're up to date on proper audit practices and then moving our group to an electronic work paper environment from a very manual process that we currently have.

So it's going to be a full year. We are still behind six people that we hope to be able to fill to be able to meet everything that's there.

So my question or my request from the committee is before June 30th, as you look at this, if you have any thoughts that you want to go ahead and communicate to me, please, do so. And just to me, not to the entire group, before June 30th, please.

Thank you.

MS. STRAUSS: Thank you. I just have, sorry, just one comment. You really quickly skipped over number 4 on page 1.

MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry, Ms. Strauss. One moment, please. Yes, the behavioral threat

1 assessment.

MS. STRAUSS: That's correct, sir. So you mentioned it and then said maintenance, payroll, financial statements.

I think we need to pause on number 4. Okay We had a lot of discussion in the last meeting. Since then we've had another school massacre. The audit report that we saw was extremely outdated. I know you communicated to all of us that we would not be taking that topic to this meeting because in Dr. Cartwright's new organizational structure it's an unfilled position at this point that would be potentially responsible for providing us a monthly report on the behavioral threat assessment against the audit criteria on that report so things can be caught in a timely manner and not us see it a full 12 months later.

However, you also did indicate in that email that beginning with the end of school, which I believe is next Thursday, correct, that you will immediately begin the audit process of that and we will not see a 12-month delay in seeing that audit report.

Given now that things have gotten even more

serious, when I never could have imagined that they would have through another major school shooting, I would like to know, because I know I asked for that to be delivered to us in that August meeting and you just gave me a blanket, no, with no, I will try, I will strive to, I can't do it for that meeting but maybe, you know, I can commit to X meeting.

When will you commit to presenting us your initial findings that you conduct over the summer in regards to this audit?

This is our community.

MR. JABOUIN: Sure, Ms. Strauss.

This -- the behavioral threat assessment report was discussed at length at the school board meeting and in addition to proceeding with the next behavioral threat assessment the board members had certain directives that I took note on.

But that is the plan, is to, as I communicated, to start the fieldwork after school has ended. And so we have already contacted the RSM team on that. We've begun to get information such as when people will be in and out of the office so that way the fieldwork will proceed.

Now, similar to every audit, as the question came up as far as the property and inventory and warehouse audit, as you know from your profession and your certification, an audit, itself, needs to meet the audit standard, it has to be reviewed, we have to have the responses. And so when the report, which will cover School Year 22 is done, it will be presented to the committee. So we don't have an exact date on that.

MS. STRAUSS: Can I have a timeframe?

MR. JABOUIN: No.

MS. STRAUSS: No? No timeframe on that.

Okay. Because that's troubling to me. And also it's troubling that it's number 4. I don't know if this was like put in order of importance, but, I'm sorry, you know, everything is important, and I get it, you all have a lot on your plate, but I think that is number one most important and that's what this community would want to see first and foremost.

MR. JABOUIN: Now, this is an area of focus. I reported that the audit work is continuing and that is the situation. So there are many areas of importance and this is a very important area. And so, as I mentioned to you, the work is

1 getting done.

But we need to make sure that when we present reports and findings that there are action plans that will indeed address the root cause of the issues. And that takes time to make sure that we get an adequate response.

So I would say, for example, in the most recent RSM report that was just reviewed, when some of the initial responses came in they did not address some of the points as I thought needed to be done and we sent them back.

MS. STRAUSS: Fantastic. Can we just get some updates at our audit meetings? I understand that it won't be done and there's work. We all understand the nature of an audit and how it works. Can we just get updates?

MR. JABOUIN: I think what -- to get to your point, I think that, given your interest, it is worthy of me to give you a verbal update if the report is not ready. Because you want to know how it's going --

MS. STRAUSS: Yes.

MR. JABOUIN: -- and I've mentioned to you since we last spoke that we've gotten the process going, we've gotten the vacation schedules from

the principals, so we know when they're out because they are key to be able to verify the documentations that are in EdPlan.

So progress is continuing and I think it's worthy of me, the committee members have an interest in that, and I want to obviously give the committee the comfort that we're not just sitting on it, we are moving forward with it, so, yes, Ms. Strauss.

MS. STRAUSS: Fantastic.

MS. FERTIG: So just to her point, Mr.

Jabouin, and I know you've done a great job on
this over the years, but we used to at the
beginning of the meeting just have a quick update
on a couple of topics, not every month, but
quarterly or whatever we had asked, so that every
month there would be one or two and they would be
on the agenda as updates so we could know that
when we -- could we -- I don't know what happened
to that but I know we've asked for updates on
several things in recent months and I'm just
wondering if we can just see that in print on the
agenda, update on such and such so we know?

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, I have a thought Ms. Fertig. And some of it has come down to just the

amount of things on the plate. You may recall I used to do a Chief Auditor's report that captured a variety of things that we're working on, even things as far as, you know, who we've hired, who left and things like that. Due to timing I have not been able to compile that.

Now, with Ms. Arcese being a director and being able to lead our group operationally, I think that -- because there is a lot of efforts that we do that I think I'd like the committee to know, because not only because they absorb time, the committee would have some interest in.

So, if I may, I'll bring back those Chief
Auditor reports that capture everything, like
what's going on in the in-process audits,
including the behavioral threat assessment and
the other ones that the committee may not know to
ask about and then people can ask me some
questions, on page 7 you're talking about this
project, so if that's okay, if you remember that
from the past, that could be something to do.

MS. FERTIG: That's like, for example, the motion that Ms. Shaw made on including something about trying to come up with a process for recovering funds, I would -- you know, I don't

expect to hear something on that every month, but I would certainly like to hear how we're progressing. On the presentation for the policies that Dr. Lynch-Walsh, I would like to hear, you know, not every month, again, but -- and, again, on the behavioral threat assessment so that we --

MS. STRAUSS: I'd like that every month.

MS. FERTIG: -- routinely are having -- we're routinely having updates on the things that we've asked -- you know, that we've asked to have emphasized.

MR. JABOUIN: And those of you on the committee, if you remember that, I will do that. I may not be able to do that for the August meeting, but I want to go ahead and bring that in, at least on the September. I will give Ms. Strauss a verbal update on that.

MS. DAHL: We don't have an August meeting.

MR. JABOUIN: August 2nd, please, be here.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: August 4th.

MR. JABOUIN: Sorry. August 4th. Thank you.

MS. STRAUSS: So it's not reasonable between June 1st and August 4th for you to compile that for an August 4th meeting? I mean, that's two

1 months.

MR. JABOUIN: I can provide the update that you requested but I'd like to give the committee a broader update and it's going to take some time to compile that. Because in addition to what's going on in this meeting there's a variety of things from other meetings that I'd like to be able to capture as well.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. I just -- I, personally, would love to see on August 4th an update on the behavioral threat assessment. I'll just keep going back to that.

MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, I'm going to go one further. I would like to see the same dedication to getting the Q4 audit while we're still in Q4 having to do with the program manager put to the behavioral threat assessment audit. Because while I'm not sure myself that the audit would be done for August, I would certainly expect to see it done by September. And that should be a do or die, because this is about people dying. There are a lot of similarities between the shooter from MSD and the shooter in Texas. 19, and correct me if I got my number wrong, children and

two adults died in Texas for -- there are similarities there. And that -- what brings people comfort is having some degree of comfort that steps have been taken since our shooting and that it is even more important because of Texas. Because what we don't want to see happen between now and whenever we get the behavioral threat assessment audit is another shooting. I don't know how many it will take before this becomes a priority.

So I could see maybe August being a stretch, but I cannot see September being a stretch for a completed audit.

If you can do it for the program manager you can do it for behavioral threat assessment. It is, in fact -- it's not the first time it's being audited. This would be the current year. So they already have a scope of work, they know what they're looking for, it's just them going through the motions of the audit.

It is important. It is critical. And this district has a huge trust problem. You now report to the board. And, trust me, I believe that Ms. Strauss will be talking to her board member and I will be talking to mine.

So a lot of times when we're jumping up and down about something it's for you to be proactive and recognize it as a priority before you start getting beat up by board members.

MS. STRAUSS: We're trying to do what's best for the public, what this community is asking for; okay? And, quite honestly, it's just not acceptable when it comes to this. And I'm not going to have blood on my hands because we're sitting here asking for it and you're telling us, no, that it can't be done in a certain amount of time. And, honestly, that won't be a good answer to parents that lose their kids. It wouldn't be a good answer to me. I'll tell you that much.

MR. JABOUIN: So to the committee I wanted to mention that the behavioral threat assessment work is very important and it is proceeding.

Now, before the reports, including the last one that you saw, proper action plans need to be done and we need to get adequate responses and the fieldwork has to be done correctly. Now, you process, itself, needs to happen in order for the report to be finished.

So when the report is completed by RSM it will be presented. So there will be fieldwork

that's being done. Now, there is a new procedure manual that was approved. There's also a new procedure manual that was approved for School Year 23. All of those need to be corrected on that end. I'm sorry, need to be addressed, excuse me.

So the -- the work will continue. And as I mentioned to you, Ms. Strauss, I will provide an update. It makes sense because you and the committee have an interest on it. I will also be providing a more broader update in a report that I used to provide and that will be done.

MS. DAHL: I have one -- I have one quick question. When was the last time Title I was audited? Because that's a huge amount of money that comes to this district and its federal, and, trust me, they want every single penny back that we don't use correctly.

MR. JABOUIN: So Title I is one of the areas that the Auditor General just looked at in the report that was presented to committee recently.

MS. DAHL: Maybe I missed it.

MR. JABOUIN: It was part of the single audit. Only because it was -- I can't recall if it was presented at the April or the March

meeting. So Title I is part of the scope of what they look at because they look at all of the federal grants, including Title I.

MS. DAHL: Okay. So you're saying the Auditor General did that. When have we done it? Because I do, you know, remember that there was an issue a couple years back on Title I.

MS. FERTIG: It came out in the Auditor General's report.

MS. DAHL: I just have concerns about that, because, like I said, they want their money back.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You may need to look at what the scope was that they looked at this time. Because that last one that they did there were all those misallocations that needed to be sorted. So I have a hard time believing that we're 100 percent in compliance with allocating the Title I funds.

Just for point of clarification, so by June 30th are we bypassing how we would normally develop an audit plan?

What is the point of us individually giving you input?

Are you then trying to come to the August meeting with a completed audit plan?

MR. JABOUIN: So I am -- obviously it's part of the process. So just, for example, what Ms. Dahl indicated about Title I, if that's an area of concern to her, she should email that to me. Although I picked up that communication here. If there are any others that are thought of, that should be communicated to me so I can go ahead and process it in the creation of a plan.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, but if somebody expresses a concern and you do not value that concern, no one else will know that they had the concern. So normally we would bring things up at the meeting in sunshine so that everybody can hear and discuss the different items that people are interested in having included in the plan.

If we're all sending it to you individually we won't know and then people will have to bring up, hey, wait, I gave you a list of five things and I don't see any of them in this proposed plan.

MS. FERTIG: Well, we've discussed it several times, so I kind of took this as -- I mean, we've delayed -- you know, we've kind of suggested additions and all, so I took this as a somewhat vague outline. I'm only going to say it because

it doesn't go into a lot of detail.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Vague is the right word.

MR. JABOUIN: By design.

MS. FERTIG: Outline to see what the plan is. And I mean, I don't know what we're doing with it, but I feel like we've given a lot of input and I know that we have repeatedly asked, for example, in the area of technology for certain things to be looked at. We've talked about the behavioral analysis. We've talked -- and so I was assuming you were giving this today.

MR. JABOUIN: No, given the April 28th meeting and given some objectives that we have to meet it wasn't possible for us to do that. So I would like to be able to process that. What I wanted to do was give a forecast to the committee.

At the end of the process I do want the committee members to recognize that we have a certain amount of resources. So we are putting things in the plan and ultimately not everything can be in the plan. There are audits that we are required to do and we don't have any choice on it. And there's also risks that also come up.

MS. FERTIG: I think we understand that. I

really do. I just was talking on the issue of input. I think we've given a lot of input about things we feel are critical for the coming year and ultimately you're going to go to the board with a plan that -- I'm just not sure, Nathalie --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: When? When are you going to the board with this proposed audit plan for them to approve?

MR. JABOUIN: It's very similar to the previous responses. I don't have that information right now.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It won't be before we meet in August though?

MR. JABOUIN: I would have normally given the previous answer, but, no, it will not be, due to timing. So we'll have a discussion on it in August.

MS. SHAW: And that was the question I had,
Ms. Fertig. Because I know when we discussed it
I think the discussion was there weren't
enough -- any room for you to do any additional
audits and then, you know, for us to provide
feedback. Now, if we're going to provide
feedback, since I can only provide feedback to

you, can you send us a comprehensive list of what was sent to you so at least all of us individually and collectively know what is being sent, number one?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes.

MS. SHAW: Number two, there's no place on this agenda that has audit member comments. And the last few minutes we have had discussions of things that are not on the agenda and I would really like to have something like that on the agenda that allows me to speak on, similar to what just happened, on something that's outside of the items on the agenda.

MR. JABOUIN: Isn't there an audit committee?

MS. FERTIG: Typically, there was.

MR. JABOUIN: Did I neglect to include it?

I apologize to the committee. Normally we have an audit committee comment section. That is not here as I'm looking at it, myself, as well. So I apologize to the committee. There usually is room for that and this time I did not include it.

MR. MEDVIN: You just need a five hour block of time.

MS. SHAW: Right. So, and I just want to

say, let us know what it is that you're able to accomplish within the next year so I'm not sending you a list of five items, Mrs. Strauss is not sending a list of her five items and around the board all nine of us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: Actually, I would like to get those five items.

MS. SHAW: But the problem is, just like we had a couple months back where we're suggesting additional items and you're saying, no, no, no.

MR. JABOUIN: I'll still process them but I would like to get -- even if you add them all up and they add up to be five and five, whatever, I still would like that because there may be some commonalties that are there. And I'll summarize it to the committee. But ultimately I do want the committee to know there are more potential audit subjects than can actually be audited, just like any other school district. The key is to be able to address the ones that are very important to the district, like the ones that we discussed today, as well as the ones that are important to our regulators. Those are very important as And so there will be other areas that we have an interest in auditing that we can't do

them or at the least not this year but we want to go ahead and put them in line for future periods.

MS. SHAW: And we do understand and I think that was one of the reasons when you first came on board, one of the first things we did was request additional staff and we continue to do that because we do understand, especially for those of us who are actually physically working in the field, the amount of time. And we also suggest that if you need to use the MSL the SBA, all these additional outside resources to provide assistance and to take that off your staff. Because I know an inventory audit is going to take a lot of time, especially all of the follow-up.

But this particular audit, my stomach still hurts from watching that news. And so I want -- I want to know where we are and what we're doing. So, for me, that is absolutely critical. But all of the other ones where we're hemorrhaging or we're losing money, whether it's the SMART money bond or whatever the case may be, all of those are important. Because if we're hemorrhaging and losing money and we're not addressing it or the policies and procedure are not in place, then we

are no better off than we were last year or 2014 or 2017, just to use that as an example.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

So -- so I want to be able to provide a list of audits that I would like to see outside of what's here or what I think are critical, but I also want to know that when we're coming to you, then you're able to get it done, whether it's through these six additional staff, and if you need more, the next time we meet we'll do a motion to add more staff but also with the outside resources without your staff having to say, I've had it, I'm going someplace, I'm going to West Palm Beach because they're not making their staff work 80 hours a week.

MS. FERTIG: Just before you get to that.

You know, I think where she started was if she sent you an email with five things, Ms. Strauss

If I may through the Chair?

sent you five, on June 30th you're going to have all of those. You can send us a summary email

MR. JABOUIN:

21 sometime in July saying, here were the 25 things

that were brought up, please be ready to, you

know, rank these when we see you on August 4th.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, yes, I can summarize it. That makes sense.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Just to remind everybody, so when I have facilities items there's a huge constraint. I believe it has been two years since the facilities audit manager retired. And correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe that position's still vacant?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, I reported on that earlier. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I understand that.

Okay. So this can't go on. So whether there needs to be a job study analysis to up the pay or whatever the constraint is, I mean, people need to work at some point. So there has to -- I have a hard time believing that with all the recruiting that's being done that you are unable to hire somebody.

MS. SHAW: You're not. Not in government.

I've been trying to hire a senior accountant now since February.

MR. JABOUIN: There are challenges for the government and other places, too, to be able to hire good qualified individuals on that end.

MS. STRAUSS: Well, then potentially outsource it. I mean --

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Strauss, we do outsource the work. I also wanted to mention that we can't just give the work to some of these individuals to do because they'll get lost in the school district. So we have to, you know, take them to the different areas that they need to do their audits. So otherwise the cost would be astronomical.

So, for example, when we did the outsource of the internal funds audits, we got 33 of them done at about \$175,000. That was highly inefficient.

And so that's because some of them get lost and they don't know how to pull the reports from SAP.

I'm sorry, Mr. Medvin, go ahead. We're out of time.

MS. FERTIG: Mr. Medvin, I would just like to thank you for an excellent meeting and move to adjourn.

MS. SHAW: Second, Phyllis.

MS. STRAUSS: Third, fourth, fifth.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

(Meeting was concluded at 2:10 p.m.)

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF FLORIDA 3 COUNTY OF BROWARD 4 I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary 5 Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did 7 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of 8 my stenographic notes thereof. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an 11 attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, 12 nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party 13 connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. 14 15 Dated this 6th day of June, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. 16 17 18 19 TIMOTHY R. BASS Court Reporter 20 21 22 23 24

25

A
A-C-F-R 15:8
a.m 1:12 13:22,23,24
abandon 34:8
abandoned 27:11
abandoning 34:13
abeyance 11:7
ability 22:3
able 7:13,17 13:5
14:20 15:1,22 27:13
46:19 47:12 77:19
79:15 104:8 105:11
107:8 108:5,15
112:14,18 113:11
113:13 116:11
120:17 131:24
135:11,12 140:2
141:6,8 142:15
143:8 149:15 152:1
152:20 154:3,7
155:22
absence 67:7
absolutely 14:8 31:20
32:8 44:11,16,18
45:5,18 56:5 77:23 78:7 93:14 153:19
absorb 141:11
acceptable 108:1
145:8
accepting 51:18
access 106:16 132:18
accomplish 107:21
152:2
account 13:4 36:16
46:16
accountable 109:14
112:14
accountancy 66:16
66:22 67:17
accountant 40:1
66:25 67:6,8 155:19
accountants 66:15
accounting 34:21
119:21
accounts 18:11
accurate 96:5
ACFR 15:5,8
acknowledge 22:3
acknowledged 9:16
44:18
Acknowledgment 7:9

act 8:9,12,19 62:2
action 17:8 49:10
79:1 139:3 145:19
157:14
actions 45:11
actual 35:24 56:4,21
57:1,19 59:17 62:9
62:13,19 64:23 84:9
85:10 91:10 97:25
100:14
ad 45:10
add 22:6 23:15 24:12
32:10 42:21 46:1
51:15 58:1 71:14
77:19 80:13 86:17
94:18,24 95:20,25
102:24 105:11
115:21 119:17,18
122:9 152:12,13
154:10
added 57:22 58:1
71:15 73:25 74:2
86:7 93:21
adding 16:6 73:20
93:19 96:13 120:9
addition 121:1 128:20 137:16
143:5
additional 13:6 16:5
17:16 57:7 73:17,23
73:24 76:20 79:21
79:22 80:13,22
86:14 104:16
114:18 120:17,20
150:22 152:10
153:6,11 154:8
additions 148:24
address 122:15 139:4
139:10 152:20
addressed 130:4
146:5
addressing 49:7
153:24
adds 50:6 68:21
adequate 129:8 139:6
145:20
adhere 61:15
adhered 34:9
adherence 32:11
adjourn 156:18

adjust 51:12,14

ADMINISTRATI 1:7
administrative 6:22
8:5 9:2 28:9 29:7
33:20 34:15
adopted 44:25
adults 144:1
advertise 97:24
advised 8:20
Advisement 2:23,23
advisory 3:6 6:25
7:10 41:4,6
AECOM 3:6 87:12
97:10,12 101:11
102:8 105:14,18
107:5,13 108:9
109:10 126:11
AECOM's 83:7
affect 9:21 10:1 17:2
AFR 15:6,10,10
afternoon 54:14 55:7
57:12
agenda 6:8 10:11,13
13:11 18:3 19:10
52:18,25 54:8 82:9
128:23 129:2
131:10 140:18,23
151:7,9,11,13
agendas 14:11
aging 56:11
ago 24:1 100:5,8,15
101:3 111:18
agree 31:20 44:19
45:21 47:5,14 67:25
68:2 77:9 112:21
123:18
agreed 62:18 68:3
76:16 91:9 93:25
105:18 110:8
agreement 49:18 67:7
98:1 107:1,7,25
108:8,23 109:5
115:11
Ah 40:25
ahead 15:24 17:25
21:16 24:12 46:7
47:7 57:16 61:21
65:14 81:8 83:10
87:4 135:17 142:16
148:7 153:2 156:14
ALAN 2:15

Ali 2:8 5:17 19:15 align 129:19 alignment 49:20 **aligns** 63:20 Allegiance 4:9 allocate 78:16 **allocated** 88:1 91:6 allocating 147:17 allocation 88:3 allow 30:20 allowances 85:4 **allowed** 30:18 allows 34:8 81:12 151:11 **alternative** 27:9 34:7 amendment 51:19 80:13 86:20,24 107:15 amendments 86:18 **American** 66:9 67:12 **amount** 20:14,22 24:7 80:1 97:23 114:11,14 116:4 122:24 123:15 124:21 141:1 145:11 146:15 149:20 153:9 amounts 91:9 114:18 123:22 124:21 analysis 33:14,15 34:2 36:19 37:25 38:17 40:12 50:21 82:21 83:14,19,22 84:1,9 103:24 149:10 155:12 Analyst 3:1 analytical 104:5 **analyze** 23:16 34:18 **analyzed** 114:9 134:3 analyzing 23:1 and/or 30:14 37:23 39:11 **Andrew** 2:2 5:9 Ann 2:8 5:25 18:8 **Annette** 3:5 54:12 56:6,6,7,23 57:11 57:12 **annoyed** 110:15 **annual** 6:24 14:20,21 answer 27:13 29:23 31:2 55:25 61:22

68:8 75:4 77:14 83:9 101:10 118:16 118:20 129:10 145:12,14 150:16 **answered** 29:12 31:1 **answers** 103:18 106:10 **Anthony** 4:25 12:8 **anticipate** 7:16 24:25 49:2 anticipated 86:2,8 127:18 anxious 79:2 **anybody** 6:19 12:1,22 13:19 17:5,21 19:5 51:17 77:21 **anymore** 119:5 anvone's 59:18 anyplace 76:13 anyway 48:12 100:24 anyways 43:11 44:22 **apart** 104:15 **apologize** 8:8 10:20 15:11 55:4 69:23 74:12 111:21 151:17,20 apparently 110:9 117:25 **appease** 41:19 **appendix** 83:24 92:20 93:4,6 113:23 applicable 129:6 applications 132:20 **applied** 97:11 **appointee** 11:8,10 appreciate 24:15 55:1 112:10 113:17 **approach** 26:11 38:1 38:4,21 100:5 appropriate 29:23 78:5,25 112:9 124:4 appropriated 78:4 appropriately 29:18 113:12 **approval** 12:4 86:12 86:24 91:16 97:24 97:25 108:24 approvals 86:14 **approve** 6:8 11:13 12:14 15:9 17:12 18:22,23 150:9

approved 53:14,21 98:4 114:5 118:7,13 146:2,3 **April** 11:13 13:4 54:2 54:4,5 81:15 109:25 146:25 149:12 **Arcese** 2:8 5:17,17 19:15 22:24 23:5,6 23:16 25:19 26:4,14 26:21 27:13 44:15 46:2 48:17 49:2,4 49:17 141:7 architect 99:18 114:25 architect's 91:8 **architects** 90:5 99:10 116:11 126:25 area 74:22,24 78:21 138:21,24 148:3 149:8 areas 20:16 21:11 82:14 102:10 109:13 110:7 132:13 134:9,21,24 138:23 146:19 152:24 156:6 ARLOTTA 2:10 armed 68:25 71:21 arrangement 85:13 **Ashley** 3:7 83:8 86:15 91:1 94:7 114:3 asked 16:2 22:7,7 44:12 55:22 71:24 110:3 122:12 137:4 140:16,20 142:11 142:11 149:7 asking 60:23 118:17 145:6,10 aspect 35:1 aspects 35:22 assessed 114:18 assessment 90:10 98:11 130:22 133:12 136:1,15 137:14,17 141:16 142:6 143:11,18 144:8,15 145:16 asset 35:3 36:3,8 39:14,20 45:8,18 129:1.7 assets 23:20 27:15

29:18 30:2 31:24 32:13 35:14,17 38:2 38:3,4 45:11 51:5 **Assigned** 2:17,18,22 106:13 assistance 153:12 **associated** 58:22 59:5 105:20 **Associates** 3:4,5 53:5 55:11 56:8 57:13 associations 127:8 assuming 149:11 astronomical 156:8 Athletics 18:12 **Atkins** 3:7 83:8 86:16 87:12 93:24 105:15 105:18 107:23 114:3 116:2 attached 8:13 57:2 attempt 114:21 attend 7:12,22 22:8 **ATTENDANCE 2:1** attest 106:15 attorney 157:11,12 attorney's 115:15,16 126:2 attorneys 123:4 attractive 23:7,14 24:9,16,25 25:12 attributable 87:15 auctioned 28:17 audit 1:3 2:8 4:5 5:17 6:8 7:19 8:24 9:7 10:14 11:14 12:4,14 13:1,5,10 15:3,9 18:5,7,9 19:11,12 19:14 20:6,13 21:23 23:25 24:6 29:15,21 29:22,25 30:8 31:1 32:11,23,25 33:1 36:18,25 37:13,21 42:19 46:3,13,18,20 47:1,2 48:7,12,24 49:3,22 50:9 53:2,5 53:11 54:1,5,6,12 55:8,16 56:1,24 57:1,8 61:11 65:3 65:22,24 66:15 70:6 74:9 76:1,12,22 78:25 79:15,23

82:16,23 98:12

110:1 131:13,15 132:24 133:15 134:20,25 135:7 136:8,16,22,24 137:11 138:1,3,4,5 138:22 139:13,15 143:16,18,19 144:8 144:13,20 146:24 147:21,25 150:8 151:7,14,18 152:18 153:13.16 155:5 audit's 49:24 50:5 audited 26:1 53:4 144:17 146:15 152:18 auditing 152:25 auditor 2:6,7,10,11 5:16,20,22,24 6:1,6 6:22 15:15 18:6 19:14 37:19 53:3 54:25 57:4 66:7,10 80:24 104:1 112:2 131:13 132:4 133:17,22 141:14 146:20 147:5,8 **auditor's** 9:2 55:15 141:2 **auditors** 74:16 76:16 78:5 110:20 audits 2:8,9,10 17:1,1 18:4,17 20:7,12,17 20:25 21:10,18 30:10 37:23 46:8 50:10 82:10,13 120:19 132:8 133:21,23 134:18 141:15 149:22 150:23 154:4 156:7 156:10 **August** 13:12,12,16 41:22 53:22 98:25 137:5 142:15,19,20 142:21,22,24,25 143:10,20 144:11 147:24 150:14,18 154:23 authorized 157:6 **available** 26:7 107:12 **Avenue** 1:8,24 average 22:14,17 90:25 97:23 98:2

105:4 115:4 124:11 124:15 award 90:1 awarded 97:2 aware 7:20 10:23 aye 6:17,18 11:24,25 12:20,21 17:19,20 19:3,4 52:1,2,10,11 81:18,19 128:15 131:3 156:22 ayes 6:21 12:3,24 17:23 19:7

ayes 6:21 12:3,24 В **B** 2:12 **B-Stock** 26:19 27:15 27:22 28:3,5,6,23 30:16 31:12 33:23 back 6:2 14:12 15:19 15:23 23:24 28:22 32:6 33:12 56:20 68:22 69:25 71:4 72:17 73:7 77:2.19 77:20,23 78:17,24 86:12,20,23 88:15 92:13 99:19 100:18 105:20 107:17 111:17,21 112:3,17 115:25 116:11 117:22,25 118:1,13 122:13,25 125:25 139:11 141:13 143:12 146:17 147:7.11 152:9 background 39:25 **backup** 118:14 132:19 **bad** 98:14 **balance** 78:14 **ballot** 53:15 59:17,20 60:20 61:12 70:21 72:12 bank 117:24 bargaining 57:25

58:2 63:15 68:12

18:16 23:23 53:16

82:14 84:22 85:12

58:3 63:17 80:9

base 15:1 63:25

based 13:17 16:8

69:7

86:4 88:6 89:4,6 90:10 94:11,13 107:2 123:21 **basically** 26:10 35:4 62:25 68:11 100:24 basis 8:23 33:15 103:6 105:22 119:21 131:15 Bass 1:23,23 3:7 5:18 82:8 157:4,19 Bass's 9:15 bathroom 88:11 **battle** 56:12 **Bay** 117:17,18,20 118:1,19 **Bays** 2:18 83:9 106:12,12 112:22 113:10 126:21 **Beach** 154:13 bear 26:2 beat 145:4 beating 94:9 becoming 68:2 beginning 20:11 55:18 136:20 140:14 **begins** 83:15 begrudge 59:19 begs 28:10 **begun** 137:23 behavior 133:11 behavioral 98:11 135:25 136:15 137:14,17 141:16 142:6 143:11,18 144:7,15 145:16 149:10 belabor 96:22 believe 37:3 42:22 48:19 66:11 71:5 72:18 73:7 77:14 83:25 85:19 89:12 94:3 96:9 100:8,14 125:19 130:9 136:21 144:23 155:4,6 believing 147:16

155:15

68:17

belongs 99:7

belong 63:19 68:16

benchmarking
109:12
benefit 33:15 37:25
38:17 50:21
benefits 70:4 72:5,6,8
best 145:5
better 16:19 37:1
44:17 46:23 82:7
93:13 120:3 154:1
beyond 30:7 60:9
64:19
bid 88:17,22 89:11
122:2
bidder 97:1
bids 84:25 86:6 93:22
95:9 96:24
bifurcated 83:19
biggest 65:4 74:3,9
billion 123:16
bit 44:8 78:2 84:3
120:3 134:24
blah 108:10,10
*
blanket 137:5
block 151:23
Blondell 3:5 83:6,12
85:25 86:13 87:8,21
87:25 89:2 92:22
93:14 94:2,18,24
95:2,20 96:19 99:25
103:23 104:4
blood 108:6 145:9
board 1:2,7 6:25 7:10
9:20 14:8 16:20
38:12 40:6,14,23
41:3,5,8,12,13
42:13,23 43:13,24
44:1 45:10 54:2
55:8 58:23 60:5
67:17 68:14 70:6,7
78:12,17 79:2,12,16
80:4 81:8,10,13
86:21,24 89:24 90:1
90:12,17,18 91:4,7
91:16,20 94:6,22
95:5,6,8 97:13,24
98:3 103:11 108:23
111:17 113:2,14
114:13 115:11,16
117:22 118:12
122:11,23 124:4
126:13 131:14

132:24 134:20
135:2 137:16,17
144:23,24 145:4
150:4,8 152:5 153:5
board's 34:10 59:1
BOC 97:7 126:12
bodies 54:18
body 45:3
bond 23:20 119:9,10
133:2 153:22
bonding 117:10
books 91:5
bore 57:6
bottom 57:21 84:20
96:15
bought 31:25 32:2
Brace 2:23,23
brain 34:21
break 14:12
breakdown 8:12
57:18,24 58:5 72:4
74:20 76:16,17
breaking 69:8
bring 44:6,6,7,11,13
45:16 67:16 76:13
80:15 113:2 141:13
142:16 148:12,17
brings 144:2
broader 143:4
146:11
broken 84:18
brought 14:25 97:16 154:22
Broward 1:2 55:9,12
55:16 157:3,16
BTU 69:10,11
buckets 53:23 78:5
78:15
bucks 94:13,15
budget 3:1 44:25 84:9
84:11 85:2,8,17
86:2,23 87:9 90:5
90:13,19,20 91:6,11
94:2 95:8,10 117:20
budgeted 57:18
budgets 84:7,8,11,24
86:4,8 87:15 89:23
91:2 93:23 94:3,10
95:12,18 96:21
building 71:22 73:10
44-4-

127:17

buildings 114:17 127:13,15
built 86:1,22
bunch 46:14
bundle 51:6
buried 44:10
bus 45:14 59:19
business 3:5 16:13
25:25 29:20,24
36:19 37:20,21
38:16 50:20
buy 28:4
bypassing 147:20
C
cabinet 19:21 20:9
cadence 43:25
cafeterias 73:9
CAFR 15:5,7
calculate 121:22,23
calculation 116:1
call 4:7,18 50:7
called 15:7,8 30:13
87:10 89:15
calls 4:21

73:21

129:9

78:21

3 **campus** 69:4 71:12 71:14,21 73:17,17 capital 2:18 88:1 106:13 107:19 capture 141:14 143:8 captured 141:2 care 27:20 **Carpenter** 3:7 83:8 86:15,16 105:11 113:10 114:3,3 115:22 116:7 117:3 122:17 124:11,17 125:2,4 126:6 carries 52:5 81:22 131:6 carryforward 78:19 carryover 57:17 Cartwright's 136:11 case 21:8 32:3 88:25 114:7,17 153:22 cases 85:11 90:6 105:10 cast 56:16

catch 103:2 categorical 70:18 **categories** 60:11 64:6 64:12,14 68:10 categorized 62:24 category 25:13 57:24 68:20,24 69:8,10 114:5 caught 136:17 cause 81:23 117:8 139:4 157:11 causes 97:20 124:25 causing 111:2 **CCNA** 88:20,20 center 1:7 25:25 **certain** 23:19,21 24:10 63:25 80:11 113:3 117:24 129:18 132:18 133:18 137:18 145:11 149:8,20 **certainly** 80:22 96:19 142:2 143:20 CERTIFICATE 157:1 certification 138:4 **certified** 66:25 67:6,8 certify 157:6,10 cetera 35:9,9 36:4,4 **chair** 2:2,2 9:7,22 21:15 31:4 42:23 43:23 56:1 67:16 70:15 73:6 77:13.22 82:2 86:15 91:25 104:6 105:13 112:25 117:2 118:11,22 121:20 125:7 154:15 challenges 155:21 challenging 131:23 **chance** 92:13 **change** 10:3 80:25 81:2 84:13 86:9 103:5,6,10,13 111:8 113:25 114:4,11,15 114:22 115:2,4 116:16,22 117:8,15 117:17 118:3,18 119:7,8 120:18 121:6,10,11 122:4 124:21 125:20

127:3,23 132:19 **changes** 9:20 56:4 84:14 85:5 120:5 123:21 **chaos** 99:11 **chapter** 66:12,13,22 **chapters** 130:10 **charge** 116:4 **charges** 115:25 **chart** 91:20,24 92:10 93:3.15 95:23 102:23 **charter** 59:22 70:23 70:24 71:2 134:10 charts 58:5 **check** 4:20 9:5 71:5 72:18 checking 52:17 **chief** 2:6,7,15,16,17 2:19 3:1 5:15,20,22 5:24,25 6:5,22 9:1 18:6 19:13 36:13 37:19 53:3 54:25 66:7 80:24 104:1 112:2 131:12 141:2 141:13 **chiefs** 19:21 20:9 **children** 61:5 143:25 **choice** 31:3 149:23 **choose** 46:7 chooses 31:2 **Chris** 3:6 118:2 **Christopher** 83:6 **circle** 13:14 clarification 72:15 73:5 78:3 91:17 94:25 117:12 147:19 **clarified** 57:20 60:6 68:8 73:15 **clarify** 61:17,23 75:7 87:24 89:20 94:19 **clarity** 48:6 70:10 74:14 75:10,18 76:25 80:17 93:21 94:22 95:19 classification 63:11 **clean** 73:9 clear 21:12 23:11 30:19 43:18 65:7 98:6 127:3 128:18

clear-cut 28:14 **clearly** 100:20 **clerical** 71:23 106:20 **Clerk** 2:12 **client** 67:2.4.9 **client's** 67:5 **clients** 68:3 124:6 **closed** 82:25 83:17 114:25 119:4 120:6 closely 116:5 **closeout** 83:18 97:12 **Clubs** 18:12 **clutter** 44:23 **CMAR** 99:19 **CMARs** 90:6 99:15 **Coker** 32:5 collaboration 50:25 51:15 collaboratively 109:4 colleagues 80:10 collectively 151:3 **column** 70:4 **columns** 55:23 come 14:12 21:23 43:10 44:1 45:20,21 47:12 49:19 75:13 78:24 91:4 92:24 95:25 99:19 103:11 105:22 110:12 111:21 116:3 117:22,25 122:13 122:22 140:25 141:24 147:24 149:24 comes 16:9 20:23 24:21 34:20 37:24 78:25 80:5 81:9 101:22 134:9,21 145:8 146:16 **comfort** 140:7 144:3 144:3 coming 15:19 16:16 55:14 65:4 90:12 118:1 150:3 154:6 comma 71:2 **comment** 8:7 9:18 16:15 51:1 60:22 91:18 100:7 135:22 151:18 commenting 80:11 119:24

comments 9:8 11:2 13:4 17:16 21:14 51:23 52:8 54:7 82:17 104:9,21,23 104:25 121:13 129:16,25 130:3 131:17 151:7 commercial 27:10 30:23 commit 137:8,9 commitment 45:14 77:24 committee 1:3 2:1 4:5 6:9,18 7:10,19 8:24 9:7,21 10:15 11:14 11:25 12:4,5,6,9,14 12:15,21 13:1,5,10 15:4,9 16:3,5,11,13 17:20 19:4,16 20:6 21:6,13,23 32:24 41:4 46:2 52:2,11 54:5.6 55:8.8 56:2 57:8 79:16 80:3 81:19 82:17 100:17 104:8 110:1 113:9 122:22 128:15 131:3,17 132:24 134:6,20 135:15 138:8 140:5,7 141:10,12,17 142:14 143:3 145:15 146:10,21 149:17.19 151:14 151:17,18,20 152:16,17 156:22 committee's 10:23 54:10 83:9 **committees** 6:25 41:7 commonalties 152:15 communicate 81:11 111:24 135:17 communicated 136:9 137:21 148:7 communication 112:2 113:6 148:5 communities 4:13 123:9 community 102:20 123:4 137:12 138:19 145:6

companies' 106:2

company 117:9,10 compared 97:8 compensation 53:24 59:24 60:12,14 compilation 15:4 **compile** 141:6 142:24 143:5 **complete** 48:7 50:11 65:24 99:5,11 100:1 116:7 117:11 157:8 **completed** 7:1 82:20 83:14,16,17 97:12 99:25 100:4,6 101:6 114:24 115:3 116:9 119:8 124:20 144:13 145:24 147:25 completely 115:10 completion 83:2 compliance 20:3 53:23 147:17 component 89:14 components 63:2 comprehensive 40:12 41:17 42:10 49:9 151:1 compressed 15:21 computers 22:1 **concept** 115:24 116:11 concern 32:22 46:10 120:10 148:4,10,11 148:12 concerned 27:25 concerns 31:5 32:4,8 35:16 90:19 102:6 122:15 147:10 concluded 156:23 concludes 8:5 9:1 **conduct** 113:11 137:10 confidence 50:11 Confidential 2:12 **confirm** 68:19 **confused** 65:6 94:7 100:21 101:13 confusion 100:9 105:16,19 congratulate 21:8 conjunction 42:8

connected 157:13 consequence 127:21 **consider** 17:3 38:19 consideration 34:24 122:12 131:12 considered 23:7,13 23:14 127:9 considering 50:22 consistent 60:19 67:15 consistently 93:22 consolidating 28:25 constant 113:6 **constraint** 155:4,13 construction 2:19 84:19,23,23 85:18 87:7,10 89:6,9,15 93:23 95:11,18 96:21 99:14 101:1 101:17 109:24 119:21 121:12 126:10.15 127:20 127:24 133:1 consultant 108:14 113:25 114:6,6,7,8 121:12 123:11,11 consultant's 85:24 consultants 55:11 103:11 122:8 127:5 **consulting** 3:5,10 6:4 66:5 contacted 137:22 contemplating 28:24 **context** 37:11 95:22 contingencies 85:6,9 86:7,10,11,22 contingency 85:4,13 85:22,23,24,25 86:1 95:12.12 123:5 **continue** 21:3 79:4 83:3 88:13 104:12 106:22 116:6 146:7 153:6 continued 115:7 continuing 32:25 138:22 140:4 contract 84:13 86:20 88:25 89:4,5 91:10 111:1,16 121:7,10 123:15 124:22,23 130:1

contractor 114:25 117:7.11 118:18 119:10 contractors 93:22 contracts 71:7 72:19 85:6.10 126:23 133:5 contractually 110:22 contrary 67:9 contribute 108:18 control 29:8 54:25 109:15 controls 22:11 29:2 44:15 108:14 132:16,20 134:23 convention 100:15 conversation 37:1 68:5 conveyed 128:3 Conway 2:8 5:25,25 18:8 **Cooney** 116:3 coordinate 48:15 copy 53:15 correct 43:17 59:12 64:16 91:1 99:23 103:12 104:4 117:19 123:13 125:2,4 136:2,21 143:25 155:6 corrected 130:19 146:4 corrective 49:9 correctly 38:10 78:11 119:19 132:8 145:21 146:18 corresponding 85:1 cost 19:19 22:14,18 23:23 24:4 31:18 33:14,16 34:17 35:8 36:3,17 37:25 38:16 38:17 50:20 84:23 85:16 88:6 89:6,9 89:21 90:23 94:15 96:9 108:13 122:2 156:7 costing 96:18,18 costs 35:2 50:21 94:12 95:11 counsel 8:10.16 108:22 157:11

connect 26:2

Counsel's 115:20,23	Dahl 2:3 4:23,24 9:23	defining 123:20	34:8	19:14 83:5 99:4
122:18	9:24 21:17 52:19	definitely 124:5	destroyed 27:11	106:13 141:7
Counseling 2:23,23	56:11,14 72:9 77:11	definition 23:7 65:21	28:17	disagree 41:10
count 46:16 131:20	79:7 81:24 88:10	definitions 65:25	destroying 34:12	discipline 133:11
country 67:22	130:21,25 142:19	DEFP 89:25	detail 25:22 56:23	disclose 63:5
county 1:2 38:3,20	146:13,22 147:4,10	degree 144:3	64:24 69:24 76:20	discretion 42:23
50:22 51:7 55:9,12	148:3	delay 78:22 107:3	79:21 80:1,14,22	discuss 16:13 55:24
55:16 71:7 157:3,16	daily 103:6	123:2 136:23	81:1 149:1	104:1 110:12
couple 131:25 140:15	DANIEL 2:22	delayed 148:23	detailed 8:12 72:3	111:18 148:14
147:7 152:9	data 41:18 107:12	delimit 106:16	84:1	discussed 15:23
course 22:25 32:23	date 13:16,17 15:4,13	delineation 97:15	details 79:22	18:10 54:4 109:6,6
43:11 63:5 67:2	15:14 16:18 49:4	deliverable 108:2	deterioration 127:16	110:10 132:23
95:3 111:5 131:13	114:20 122:2	129:16 130:5	determination 115:17	137:15 148:21
133:10	124:12,15 128:2	deliverables 107:7	determine 33:16 34:2	150:20 152:21
Court 1:22 3:7 5:18	135:6 138:9	108:17	53:12 63:20 64:6	discussing 47:20
5:18 157:4,19	dated 118:4 157:15	delivered 137:4	107:24	80:12
Cove 118:1	dates 13:18 15:2	deliveries 35:17	determined 89:10	discussion 6:14 12:11
cover 85:7,15 86:8	98:14	delivering 60:24	115:9 123:19 124:2	18:19 47:22 54:3
95:11 138:7	Dates/Times 13:1	demolish 117:21	determining 109:3	58:17 83:11 108:9
covered 53:6	Davis 3:4,4,5 53:5,14	denoisi 117.21 depart 7:21,23	develop 108:22 122:6	136:6 150:17,21
covering 100:10,10	54:6,11,12,13,14	department 27:23	147:21	discussions 8:14
COVID 33:22	55:7,10,11 56:3,5,8	69:21 102:12,15	developed 45:14	11:21 88:13 151:8
CPA 66:4,4 67:3,8,23	56:12,17 57:13 62:9	126:24	115:24 116:10	dismantled 127:17
CPAs 55:11 66:9,10	64:23 66:3,20 69:18	departments 18:12	development 42:24	disposal 30:1,13
67:13,13,17	80:17,20 82:1	19:17,20,23 50:25	deviations 84:10	35:13,24 39:10
CPCM 89:14,17	day 35:5 41:25 49:9	51:16 131:24	devices 25:10	dispose 30:21
cradle 100:3 101:2	112:11 122:3	133:14,24	die 143:22	disposed 29:18
create 79:4 129:22	157:15	depending 16:25 56:1	died 144:1	disposing 31:24
created 82:13 108:15	day-to-day 119:21	63:6	differ 25:6 31:25	distant 99:24
creating 108:1	days 92:9 95:23,24	depends 48:12	61:19,24	distributed 54:1
creation 148:8	96:7,8,13,14 97:20	depreciated 25:18	differences 70:5	district 2:13 15:19
credit 21:20	97:23 98:1 105:4,24	26:5 28:12	different 13:15 18:11	17:1 20:8 27:12,14
criteria 129:17 130:9	DC 105:20	depreciation 26:5	19:17 27:17 36:9	27:17 28:8,13,24
136:16	De 4:25 12:8	depth 55:25	42:10 53:23 63:15	29:8 30:2 32:6
critical 102:18	deal 119:20	Deputy 2:14,15 7:21	68:5 89:3 110:7	33:18 35:25 36:12
144:21 150:3	deals 105:1,2	8:10 10:17 20:8	127:10 133:9	36:20 39:16 41:16
153:19 154:5	debate 65:20	30:4 32:7	148:14 156:6	45:1 53:12,18,22
current 36:5 53:9	debating 33:6 61:7,13	dereliction 78:9	differentiation 51:5	55:9 56:10 59:23
78:19 99:4 101:5	December 14:3,22	describe 82:22	differently 114:19	60:2 66:6 74:18
105:2 108:12,16	decide 40:8 42:25	design 84:18,20,22	difficult 31:22 48:11	101:24 103:19
111:16 116:24	109:22 110:13	85:2,5,5,8,10,16,16	127:12	107:8 121:25 122:2
144:17	decided 111:9 115:10	86:17 89:4,8,9 90:3	dig 93:3	122:7 123:11
currently 8:1 53:8	decision 10:1 37:20	99:12 100:4 101:17	diligent 83:3	126:22 127:6 128:1
101:16 114:4	37:21,22 47:13	149:3	directed 112:7 113:4	129:9 131:24 133:5
124:12,19 135:9	112:22	designed 32:14 65:5	114:2	144:22 146:16
custodians 73:9	decisions 29:24 32:19	90:8	direction 78:18	152:19,21 156:5
Cypress 97:2 117:17	38:16 50:20	designer 86:18 87:6	directive 46:12 47:6	district's 6:24 71:20
117:18,20,25	dedication 143:15	87:19 97:22	112:8	82:15 86:1,7 106:17
118:19	deep 64:3	designer's 85:2 89:5	directives 137:18	districts 31:15
	deeper 103:25	designers 90:4,13	director 2:8,18,20,21	dives 103:25
D	defer 118:8	destroy 29:5 30:15	2:21,22,24 5:17	division 126:24
			,,	
		ı	1	ı

document 13:11 56:21 69:19,24 71:20 75:6,19,21 129:3,17,22 130:11 130:18 131:11 documentation 18:15 documentations 140:3 documented 106:4 **documents** 8:10 68:3 75:23 76:5,23 84:13 **doing** 24:13 34:22,23 41:13 42:19 46:5,13 48:21 59:10,11 76:11 81:24 98:20 101:20 110:20 114:1 115:14 127:11 134:7 149:5 153:18 dollar 123:22 127:1 dollars 22:16,22 31:25 32:2 103:6 114:1,21 115:13 122:24 123:17,24 donate 30:23 34:8 **donated** 27:11 28:17 door 29:3 90:2 **dots** 26:3 double-check 58:14 download 10:14 downsize 34:15 **Dr** 2:4 5:5,6 11:8 14:1 14:2.25 18:24 19:1 21:20 22:3,7,10 25:15,16,21 26:10 26:15,25 27:4,8,25 29:14 30:3,9 31:5 31:11 32:22 33:9,11 36:11 38:23,25 39:2 39:5,8,10,13 40:14 40:17,19,25 41:21 42:21 43:18 47:20 48:4,6,14,19,25 51:20 52:20 54:15 54:17,23 55:3,4 58:11,12,13,21 59:7 59:16 61:1,7,11 62:14 63:13,22 64:9 64:17 65:2,15 67:25 70:3,13,20,25 71:13 71:16,19 72:2,10,20

72:23 73:4,14 74:3 74:13 75:2,7,10,15 75:18,24 76:8,15 77:5 79:20 80:4,12 81:3,21,23 89:18,19 93:18 94:5,19 95:1 95:14 98:5 100:20 104:9,24 106:21,24 110:19 111:4 112:4 112:20 116:13,14 117:12 118:5.10.15 119:23,25 121:15 124:7,15 125:3,11 126:4 128:17 130:7 130:12,20 136:11 142:4,21 143:13,14 147:12 148:9 149:2 150:7,13 155:1,2,10 156:21 **draft** 10:8,12 107:14 **dragged** 116:19 dramatically 21:19 drawing 62:7 drawings 127:20 drift 60:21 drilling 64:4 drivers 59:19

 \mathbf{E} e-Builder 89:15 105:5 105:22 117:5,16 **E&O** 121:12 earlier 14:15 155:9 early 107:2 earmarked 78:15 earned 107:10 108:16 109:17 earth 98:10 easier 91:24 93:2,5 **easily** 100:18 **EdPlan** 140:3 educating 73:7 **education** 68:13,23 73:4,13

driving 84:11

150:16

dying 143:22

dropped 13:18

121:11 141:5

dumpster 109:16

due 14:3 46:11 107:3

effectively 32:14 108:18 efficient 47:8 effort 20:22 115:7.12 **efforts** 141:9 eight 24:1 32:6 37:4 67:18 126:15 eighth 32:5 either 31:9 47:22 68:17 83:17 108:11 114:5 124:25 elapsed 97:20 98:1 elected 10:4 electronic 135:8 elementary 71:12 97:2,3 116:15 elements 97:18 **ELENA** 2:10 **eligible** 26:12 63:11 132:1 **email** 7:7 136:19 148:4 154:18,20 emailed 8:24 emphasis 22:10 emphasized 142:12 **employee** 63:4 67:1 157:12 **employees** 64:7 66:5 66:6 106:8 **enacted** 122:20 encompassed 63:1 ended 63:4,17 68:9 137:22 **engaged** 53:4 55:13 engagement 56:9 engagements 56:9 engineers 116:12 126:25 English 63:9 **enhance** 59:21 70:20 70:21 71:1 enhanced 53:20 134:2 enhancement 71:6 enormous 96:1 **ensure** 49:13 ensuring 49:6 enter 127:14 **entire** 130:11,12

effective 32:25 33:3

49:23

135:18 **entitled** 64:5,7 entity 66:8 67:24 environment 108:12 135:8 **EP** 69:10 **episode** 29:10 **equipment** 27:19 32:3 **Equity 2:15** Eric 2:11 6:5 erroneous 129:23 **error** 113:25 114:6,8 114:22 121:12,24 124:25 125:5 127:1 errors 103:1,3,5 114:18 115:5,8,24 119:7 121:5,6,10,18 122:16 125:20,21 127:3,22 129:5,20 130:1.19 escalating 94:12 **ESE** 2:20 **especially** 68:7 153:7 153:14 ESPs 69:11 **essence** 128:21 **essential** 53:25 60:1 60:14.17 61:4 **essentially** 33:13 58:3 60:6 97:1 established 6:25 124:14 126:8 establishing 125:8 **estimate** 48:11 94:8 **estimates** 91:14 96:5 96:11 estimating 96:3 et 35:8,9 36:3,4 **ethics** 10:4 evaluate 37:21 evaluating 101:4 evaluation 38:16 50:20 130:8 **evening** 118:23 **events** 100:24 **everybody** 4:4 9:10 21:5 64:18 68:7 108:1 109:4 110:8 110:12 112:13,14 148:13 155:2 everybody's 90:21

112:13 evidence 65:17 **exact** 138:9 exactly 23:12 126:21 127:14 **example** 15:3 23:20 27:17 63:7 92:19 97:21 103:4 121:24 139:7 141:22 148:2 149:8 154:2 156:9 **examples** 31:7 62:7 **exceeded** 85:9 86:5 93:23 exceeds 115:9 **Excel** 119:18 excellent 156:17 **exceptions** 19:22,24 19:25 20:14 22:6 111:3 excuse 15:5 79:16 146:6 excused 4:25 executing 108:8 **executive** 2:11,18,20 56:18,19 57:1,14 95:5 106:13 **exempt** 65:19,24 66:1 exempted 10:5 **exhibit** 53:15 57:22 58:1 65:12 68:10 69:17 74:8 80:16 **exist** 75:2 existed 75:6 **existing** 32:16 73:10 73:21 77:25 exited 27:7 **exiting** 106:6,7 **expand** 55:22 **expanded** 56:19,22 57:16 58:1 65:11 **expect** 118:16 127:19 142:1 143:20 expectation 45:6 expectations 107:15 132:6 expecting 100:22 expended 53:18 62:23 expenditures 57:24 62:3.5 expense 62:24

expenses 60:1 61:4 experiences 31:15 explain 61:18,23 69:15 72:14 74:19 80:15 93:19 explaining 111:5 explanation 27:24 explicit 9:12 express 120:9 expressed 35:16 37:19 67:7 expresses 148:10 **extend** 120:16 extended 88:5 extension 88:18 external 15:15 extra 7:14 **extreme** 28:11 33:13 extremely 136:8 eyes 112:10

F

faced 31:17 facilities 2:19 102:12 102:14 107:13 108:10 126:24 155:3.5 facliities 28:10 fact 15:20 50:2 65:3 117:20 144:16 **factor** 15:14 **factors** 131:19 **facts** 104:3 **fair** 51:8.11 122:7 **fairly** 85:11 107:2 **fairness** 123:9,10 fakakta 94:10 **Falcon** 118:1 fall 24:8 25:12 64:14 families 4:12 Fantastic 139:12 140:10 **far** 13:16 23:13 24:25 27:14,20 35:17 49:6 112:1 113:7 123:19 127:22 128:3 134:7 134:21 138:2 141:4 faster 7:6 favor 6:17 11:24 12:20 17:19 19:3

51:25 52:10 81:17

128:14 131:2 156:21 favorable 45:5 **February** 14:6,16 155:20 **federal** 31:23.25 32:2 35:1 38:2,20 42:11 50:22 51:5,10,13 146:16 147:3 **feed** 73:8 feedback 13:18 45:24 150:24,25,25 feel 50:8 60:23 92:10 112:15 115:17 149:6 150:3 feelings 96:4 fees 84:22 85:2 87:6,7 87:10,11,19,19,25 88:5 89:13,14,15,17 90:17 91:8 107:2 **feet** 28:8,20 33:20 **fell** 64:1.11 **felt** 108:6 Fertig 2:3 5:1 12:9 19:2 36:22,23 37:7 38:7 42:3,4 43:7 47:14 50:7,16 52:6 60:22 61:3,9 75:8 75:11,16,21 76:3,10 77:9 79:19,19 81:7 87:2,5,18,23 88:7 91:18,25 92:5,8,15 92:18,24 93:10,11 93:16 95:21 97:16 101:20 104:19,22 104:24 105:10 106:19 113:21,22 115:14 120:8,12,22 120:25 121:11 122:21 123:16 124:9 128:9 140:11 140:25 141:22 142:9 147:8 148:21 149:4,25 150:20 151:15 154:16 156:16 Fertig's 73:8 **field** 153:9 fieldwork 98:21

132:11 137:21,25

145:21,25

fifth 156:20 **figure** 30:16 75:16 98:22 figured 79:8 **file** 15:16 **fill** 78:8 131:22 135:12 **final** 4:21 52:8 **finally** 90:7 107:4 **finance** 44:5 69:21 **financial** 83:18.19.23 84:5,17,19 133:14 134:13 136:4 financially 157:13 find 46:14 123:4 **finding** 41:6,7 61:14 64:15 90:24 **findings** 18:17 46:9 46:18 48:12 49:7,8 82:17,25 104:7,12 104:13,20 130:4 134:8 137:10 139:3 **fine** 14:7,15 68:6 95:21 finish 10:25 65:15 **finished** 87:3 98:18 145:23 **finite** 31:19 **fire** 109:16 **firm** 15:14 53:4 54:11 66:4,5 67:1,3,7,9 **first** 7:5 13:6 32:6 33:12 38:23 41:13 45:21 53:6 63:24 69:2 77:16 92:5 96:3 104:25 111:21 127:1 138:20 144:16 153:4,5 **fiscal** 7:3 13:2 34:24 53:7,10 69:2 98:13 108:20 **five** 52:21,22 83:16 83:22 84:2,21 98:14 126:14,14 148:18 151:23 152:3,4,7,13 152:13 154:18,19 **five-month** 107:3 fix 49:10 **fixed** 49:13 130:15,16 **fixing** 121:16

fleet 45:12 **flooded** 90:4 floor 48:20,22 50:14 54:24 **Florida** 1:8 8:9 66:10 66:11 67:10,14,18 67:21 157:2,5,16 flow 93:13 focus 9:12 138:21 **focused** 21:1 134:19 **follow** 16:1 26:8 76:1 104:11 106:9 120:1 follow-up 70:9 76:18 82:16,23 83:3 87:2 133:25 134:1,2 153:15 **follow-ups** 114:13 **following** 4:1 67:24 134:7 **food** 28:1 32:3 59:19 60:24 **foot** 90:11 94:14,16 94:17 footage 29:9 **FOPE** 68:16 69:5 73:1 **force** 84:12 107:13 108:10 109:6 forecast 149:16 forecasting 127:13 foregoing 157:7 **foremost** 111:22 138:20 form 7:14 118:4 formal 37:17 38:5,10 38:15 50:19 formatting 129:25 **formed** 8:23 **former** 67:16 **forms** 7:11 **formula** 116:10 122:6 Fort 1:8,24 157:15 forth 15:23 25:3,11 35:7 44:11 106:21 113:7 forward 10:14 38:6 46:21 49:10 50:1 70:1 76:21 78:13 79:3 140:8 forwarded 80:2

forwarding 80:2

found 20:13 41:6 46:20 47:2 127:14 **four** 60:3 fourth 156:20 frankly 32:1 75:5 friendly 51:18 fringe 70:4 72:5,6,8 fringes 70:8 **front** 25:5 36:25 41:8 75:22 76:12 frustrating 21:22 **FTE** 134:23 fulfilled 63:14 **full** 28:21 135:10 136:18 fully 25:17,22 26:4 28:12 114:24 **function** 29:22 32:25 functions 131:15 fund 59:25 75:1 78:14 **funded** 64:8 71:25 74:25 75:1,20 **funding** 53:21 59:21 63:20 70:20,21 71:1 **funds** 2:8,10 18:4,7 18:11 24:10 53:18 62:25 63:12 70:9,18 78:4 120:23 121:5,9 128:2 133:22 141:25 147:18 156:10 **further** 11:21 32:9 80:2 114:9 120:16 143:15 157:10 future 16:16 37:23 43:17 103:25 120:19 153:2

G gain 58:21 59:4,12
121:25 **gap** 14:14 34:10 **gaps** 14:6 **garbage** 30:22 **gathering** 62:4 **general** 8:10,16 18:13
26:11 66:10 70:18
75:1 87:18 108:22
115:20,23 122:18
126:15 132:4,4,9,16

FL 1:24

holidays 14:4,9 132:18 133:17,18 117:4 118:13 139:24,25 happy 44:11 57:9 holistically 21:7 133:22 146:20 119:11 121:18 government 40:2 hard 21:24 69:14 147:5 HOLLINGSWOR... 128:5 133:9 135:17 132:10 155:18.22 147:16 155:15 General's 147:9 142:16 143:14 **grand** 132:6 133:18 hardware 132:22 2:20 generally 114:9 148:7 149:1 150:4 **grant** 23:19 **Harpalani** 2:9 5:23 home 77:4 78:9,12 grants 134:22 147:3 honestly 145:7,12 **generate** 90:16 107:8 153:2 155:11 5:23 Generation 53:1 **hauling** 31:18 hope 76:4 123:7 156:14 **grave** 100:3 101:2 55:17 goal 9:11 14:22 49:12 great 37:9 42:15 head 112:3 131:20 135:11 gentleman 112:3 59:5 111:12 113:12 46:24 95:1 96:9,12 **health** 60:7,24 68:12 hopefully 7:13 81:11 126:11 **goals** 58:22 102:14 120:15 68:17 76:19 106:10 **getting** 28:14,22 34:1 goes 40:24 41:12 127:5.15 140:12 hear 32:8 41:10 50:4 **hoping** 118:20 34:16 35:20 41:8 43:13 72:8 90:18 greatest 102:8 65:2 79:8 142:1,2,5 hour 151:23 42:9 44:25 46:17 91:15,19 97:17 group 6:4 20:8,25 148:14 hours 154:14 49:6 62:15 63:4 117:9 118:12 33:5 65:22 102:1,3 heard 9:17 31:5 32:4 house 44:19,20 78:22,23 81:7 98:25 going 9:19,24 10:3 111:11 118:16,24 45:17 101:23 **HR** 44:7 135:7,18 141:8 **Hub** 28:19 113:8 134:17 139:1 16:25 20:20 25:1,4 hearing 45:24 120:4 guess 14:16 69:3 143:16 145:4 25:7 26:1,2,24 30:7 123:10 **HUDGE** 2:17 101:18 116:6 **heaven** 98:10 give 15:17 26:7 30:24 35:9 36:2,14 37:1 huge 14:6,13 123:14 41:18 42:23 49:4 37:13 40:3,5,14,15 guessing 14:3 heavy 44:24 144:22 146:15 57:17 80:17 81:1 41:2,22 43:8,10 guests 3:3,9 52:17 Heery 87:12 97:8,9 155:3 101:3 102:2 83:10 92:18 99:8 44:5,6,7,20 46:14 guidance 67:23 **Human** 2:15,16 **Gums** 3:6 83:6 118:2 **Heery's** 101:9 139:19 140:6 46:15.16 47:10 **hundred** 103:14 142:17 143:3 49:10 50:9 56:5,22 118:2,8 129:12 **held** 63:10 112:14 hundreds 44:3,4 149:16 156:3 57:6 59:18 61:1,5 130:11,17 131:8 hell 98:10 127:13 **help** 49:1 50:9 116:14 given 54:17 69:19 67:20 71:5,19 75:9 gun 4:14 **Hunter** 132:23 **helpful** 92:2,10 100:23 136:25 75:13 76:12 79:3 guys 76:20 102:8 hurts 153:17 108:3 110:6 130:7 139:18 149:6,12,13 81:4 88:10,24 89:7 hemorrhaging **husband** 44:21 150:2.15 91:10 92:1 94:7 131:8 153:20,23 HVAC 90:9 91:14 gives 47:24 64:24 98:15 101:25 hev 148:18 116:22 H **giving** 104:3,3 147:22 103:10 109:22 high 23:2 99:17 Ι **half** 13:6 149:11 110:25 111:8 highlight 55:21 **HALL** 2:21 **i.e** 62:24 63:3 glad 56:14 102:22 highlighted 106:23 113:23 117:3 hand 36:8,9 93:17 idea 28:16 54:22 108:3 111:15 123:7 118:17 119:8.16 highly 59:25 156:11 107:9 133:7 117:4 **global** 33:25 120:18,18 126:1 hire 59:23 131:25 **handout** 71:17 73:23 ideas 68:19 **globally** 131:16 135:10 139:21,25 155:17,19,23 hands 9:16 145:9 **identify** 84:10 **go** 8:2 9:12 15:21 141:15 143:4,6,12 hired 78:23 86:18 hanging 44:17 **Ighodaro** 5:3 11:4,5 19:16 21:16 22:1 143:14 144:19 141:4 happen 44:14 45:4,9 Ighodaro's 7:12 24:12 26:19 28:3,18 145:9 148:25 150:4 historic 105:1 46:4 105:7 127:21 **III** 2:10,11 30:16 33:4 37:14 150:7,24 153:13 historical 19:19 144:6 145:22 imagine 80:7 42:25 43:2 47:7 154:12,12,19 23:23 24:5 happened 90:13 imagined 137:1 48:24 49:5 54:9 gonna 41:12 historically 16:6 97:15 99:24 100:11 immaterial 123:25 **good** 4:3,19,20 20:22 55:20,25 56:17,23 21:10 100:12,13 103:17 immediately 136:22 21:9 22:9 37:8 history 89:21 112:23 58:7 64:3 65:14 116:19 140:19 **impact** 15:25 96:9 71:4 72:17,22 77:4 hoarders 28:11 29:10 42:25 48:1 54:14,21 120:18 151:12 77:19 78:17 81:8 55:7 57:12 80:1 33:14 **happening** 41:1 77:18 **impacted** 13:16 84:17 83:10,13 86:12,20 81:24 96:2 98:15 hoarding 44:20 79:14 99:22 100:25 implementation 86:23 87:4 90:1,12 103:22 118:21 hoc 45:10 101:16 102:7 109:1 39:19 91:7 93:7 97:23 122:9 131:25 **hold** 40:25 68:7 109:18 implementing 39:16 101:15 106:21,22 145:12,14 155:23 109:14 118:15 importance 138:15 **happens** 28:5 34:6 gotten 69:1 92:12 107:9,10 108:6 **holding** 81:14 109:7 79:3 109:3 138:24 111:17 116:11 106:15 136:25 holiday 15:14,20

143:13 145:15

146:19,23 148:1

important 20:15 21:6
49:18 60:2 79:5
96:16 98:6 102:11
102:16 114:10
133:10 134:10
138:17,19,24 144:5
144:21 145:17
152:20,22,23
153:23
impractical 108:11
109:17
impression 90:14
improve 46:21
improved 21:19
22:11 109:13,20
improvement 20:18
21:2,3,11 134:15,16
improving 109:10
in-document 129:20
in-house 88:20
in-process 141:15
incident 67:1
include 34:25 36:18
39:15 53:14 57:16
59:9 93:14 97:13
122:14 134:5
151:16,21
included 19:20 43:15
43:17 54:4 72:19
85:3 95:3,4 97:7
116:17 117:21
129:2,17 148:15
includes 16:4 38:15
50:19,23,24 125:4
132:11
including 37:22 59:22
71:2 141:16,23
•
145:18 147:3
income 63:20
incomplete 65:23
incorporated 35:10
36:4
incorporating 36:2
incorrect 96:10
increase 59:24 71:8
72:18,24 85:1,16
87:15,19,20 88:4,19
88:21,24 89:1,4
90:16 91:7 94:21
95:10,11
increased 22:23
L

85:11 87:6,7 91:15
increases 84:25 85:5
85:7 86:3,8,21
87:14 90:11 95:2,4
96:21 97:19,19
increasing 89:22
incremental 71:8
72:18,24
independent 55:15
66:4,8 67:24
indicate 8:15 53:17
136:19
indicated 36:11 48:17
52:23 130:18 148:3
indicating 56:15
indication 105:24
individual 20:24
59:22 64:4 71:2
84:2 95:7 97:14
112:13 130:4
individually 147:22
148:16 151:3
individuals 12:7
62:19 82:4 155:23
156:3
industry 114:14
115:6 125:11
126:10,13 127:9,25
inefficient 156:11
inflation 91:13
information 2:9
16:11,12 22:20 40:6
47:7 58:5 62:4 63:5
65:11 68:15 70:2
76:13 80:1,23 81:6 93:5 97:14 104:17
113:8 120:21
132:15,16 137:23
152.13,10 157.25
inhouse 39:25
initial 99:2 137:10
139:9
initiative 39:19
126:23
initiatives 2:17,18 3:1
3:2 135:1
input 41:5,9,14 42:14
43:12 45:19,22
49:23 147:23 149:6
150:2,2
insert 91:20 93:2

Inspector 132:4
133:17
instability 107:18
instances 96:24
Institute 66:9,10
67:12
instruction-related
60:1 61:4
instructional 74:10
insurance 119:10
intelligent 47:13
intended 108:12
interest 29:17 81:7
139:18 140:6
141:12 146:10
152:25
interested 45:24
148:15 157:14
internal 2:8,10 18:4,7
22:10 29:1 82:10 98:12 133:21
156:10
interrupt 26:23 48:23
interrupting 15:11
interviews 10:20,25
introduce 56:7
introduction 83:13
introductory 129:11
inventory 19:8,11
20:6,10,13,16 21:3
21:19,24 23:12,17
29:2 34:20,21 36:6
36:6 44:16 50:10
133:23 134:15
138:2 153:13
invite 32:20
INVITED 3:3
involved 44:2
iPads 25:10
ironically 101:23
issue 30:12 34:5
59:18 65:3 74:13
111:14 119:15
123:13 147:7 150:1
issued 99:2
issues 30:11 32:16
35:19 116:16 134:3
139:5
issuing 86:24

insight 50:2

insisting 48:20

ITB 99:2 item 17:24 18:3 19:8 19:10 23:14 24:16 31:3 52:18,25 54:8 55:14 57:16 63:3,18 78:1 81:1 82:9 87:9 87:14 95:5 114:5 115:21 128:23,24 129:2 131:10 items 19:18,25 20:2 22:16 23:2,8,11,24 24:9,18,25 25:2,7 25:12,17,19 26:1,12 27:20 31:6,16 35:5 35:20 57:3,5 62:12 67:19 87:9,13 95:6 100:11 148:14 151:13 152:3,4,7,10 155:3 Itohan 5:3 **IV** 3:1 J

Jabouin 2:7 4:19,25 5:5,7,9,11,13,15,15 6:23 9:5 10:12,19 11:4,11 12:7 13:3 13:23 14:24 15:7,13 17:4,9,25 18:3 19:10 20:5 21:18 22:5,19 23:15 24:19 25:10 29:13 30:7 31:1 32:10 33:8,10 35:11 36:11 38:9.25 39:8,12 40:21 46:1 48:8,17,22 50:18 51:1,12 52:16,22 54:21 55:4 58:20 70:2 74:8 79:13,24 80:10 82:2,4,8 83:12 88:13 91:25 92:4,12,17,19,23 93:9 104:6 105:9,25 110:18,19 112:21 112:24 113:20 118:25 119:1 121:2 121:8 128:23 131:9 135:24 137:13 138:11,21 139:17 139:23 140:12,24 142:13,20,22 143:2

149:3,12 150:10,15 151:5,14,16 152:6 152:11 154:15,24 155:8,21 156:1 **Jabouin's** 64:12 66:6 **Jaclyn** 2:5 5:13 11:17 **January** 14:4,10,13 14:14,15,17 15:17 Jennifer 2:9 5:23 **job** 44:17 63:11 81:24 96:2 120:15 123:12 123:12 140:12 155:12 **jobs** 64:7 114:16,17 115:1 join 54:19 56:6 **joined** 10:17 **Joris** 2:7 5:15 129:15 130:2 judgment 25:4 **Judith** 2:14 7:22 10:17 69:21 **July** 7:3 55:18 101:12 118:4 154:21 jumping 145:1 **June** 1:11 4:4 6:8 55:18 79:15 81:8,15 97:8 98:18 131:18 135:15,19 142:24 147:19 154:19 157:15 junk 29:11 jury 132:7 133:18 K **Kathleen** 3:6 83:7 114:12 **KC** 1:7 **keep** 7:2 8:4 36:8

keep 7:2 8:4 36:8 47:16 48:20 101:18 117:23 118:1 143:11 **keeping** 9:15 128:9 **key** 15:2 83:21 97:18 140:2 152:19 **kids** 60:25 69:4 116:20 145:13 **killing** 44:9 **kind** 16:21 42:6 43:13

67:19 68:8 69:13	land 30:17	linkage 45:9	looks 28:2 31:11	119:25 121:15
98:9 101:13 103:1	Langan 3:6 83:8	list 23:4,10 24:16	106:20 116:25	124:7,15 125:3,11
105:6 113:23	105:9,12 106:1	65:16 148:18 151:1	133:22	126:4 128:17 130:7
117:13 119:6 120:7	113:10 115:19	152:3,4 154:3	lose 52:19 145:13	130:12,20 142:4,21
121:6 126:18	117:2 118:11,22	listed 73:13 83:17	losing 153:21,24	143:13,14 147:12
148:22,23	121:20	lists 26:12,17 69:12	lost 39:17 88:8 156:4	148:9 149:2 150:7
KINNEY 2:24	language 53:13 59:17	literally 30:9 44:3	156:12	150:13 155:1,2,10
know 7:24 9:10,13	59:20 60:20 61:2,12	107:11 117:19	lot 14:10 25:1 35:21	156:21
10:3 16:6 18:2	67:14 112:7 122:14	litigation 157:13	49:5 72:9 90:6	Lynch-Walsh's
20:17 21:6,9,25	130:1	little 44:8 65:6 78:2	91:24 102:13	104:25
23:12 25:5,7,10	laptop 22:18	80:17 110:15 120:3	106:19 119:13	LYONS 3:1
26:15 31:8,9,9,13	laptops 25:7	live 116:20	125:1 127:8 132:5	L101053.1
32:5 33:11,13,20	large 96:8 113:24	local 40:2	136:6 138:17 141:9	M
34:18 35:18 42:16	157:5	located 55:11	143:23 145:1 149:1	M/WBE 39:6
42:16 43:3 46:15	late 10:21 14:17	locations 19:9,12	149:6 150:2 153:14	main 110:17 129:19
50:3 61:3 65:9,20	Lauderdale 1:8,24	33:21	loud 77:5	maintenance 133:12
68:14 69:9,10 72:6	157:16	logical 73:19	love 44:21 143:10	136:3
73:1 74:7 75:5	law 78:14 111:24	long 50:3 93:18 101:3	lovely 29:4	major 89:14 137:2
75.1 74.7 75.5 76:14 77:1 84:14	layer 64:21	101:9,21 102:19	low 115:2,6	majority 115:1
86:9 93:16,21 94:9	lead 103:25 141:8	101.9,21 102.19	lumped 60:18	making 10:1 49:8
94:20 95:22 96:2,3	leadership 107:18	128:8 131:22	Lynch-Walsh 2:4 5:5	102:9 103:12,16
96:25 101:11 102:7	lean 132:21	longer 42:1 65:18,23	5:6 11:8 14:1,2 15:1	125:1 154:13
102:7,21 103:12,18	leave 10:24 26:23,25	65:25	18:24 19:1 25:15,16	manage 45:11
105:5 106:3 109:17	79:6	look 19:23 20:16,20	25:21 26:10,15,25	managed 18:7 19:14
110:2 111:20,23	leaving 29:3	21:6 29:25 31:23	27:4,8,25 29:14	management 2:19
113:4,22 114:2,4,23	led 22:11	32:8,11,13,24 33:4	30:3,9 31:5,11	35:3 36:9 39:14,21
116:1 117:7 120:14	left 96:17 101:10,10	35:11 36:3 49:18	32:22 33:9,11 36:11	45:8,18 48:13 87:7
123:19,21,24,25	117:20 141:5	56:18 63:13 67:19	38:23 39:1,2,5,9,10	87:10,11,25 88:5,21
125:19,21,24,23	length 137:15	102:11 105:15	39:13 40:14,17,19	89:13,15 98:13
132:10,13,14,14,17	Leonardi's 11:9	107:5 109:2 110:4	40:25 41:21 42:21	107:4,11 108:17
133:3,6,10,16,17	let's 16:23 22:18	113:5 114:10 117:3	43:18 47:20 48:4,6	109:18 111:7 118:8
134:21,24 136:9	25:25 28:4,7 63:23	117:5 120:22 122:1	48:14,19,25 51:20	129:1,7,9 130:4,18
137:3,3,7 138:3,15	64:12 100:25 102:6	126:2 132:15 133:7	52:20 54:15,17,23	130:23 132:19
138:16 139:20	128:7,12,21	133:7 135:15 147:2	55:3,5 58:11,12,13	134:13
140:1,12,18,19,20	letter 129:14	147:2,12	58:21 59:7,16 61:1	manager 2:8,9,10
140:23 141:4,11,17	letting 46:4 96:14	looked 42:22 63:9	61:7,11 62:14 63:13	18:8 54:12 56:7
141:25 142:5,11	level 38:20	69:11,20 84:13	63:22 64:9,17 65:2	82:11 87:19 101:5
144:9,18 147:6	levels 42:10	108:7 110:5 114:19	65:15 67:25 70:3,13	108:20 143:17
148:11,17,23 149:5	Lewis 3:5 54:12	121:21 146:20	70:20,25 71:13,16	144:14 155:5
149:7 150:20,23	56:16 57:12,12 59:3	147:13 149:9	71:19 72:2,10,20,23	managers 74:22,25
151:3 152:1,17	59:12 62:2,21 63:16	looking 20:10,20	73:4,14 74:3,13	109:5
153:13,18 154:6,17	64:3,16,20 69:23	23:10 24:22 29:16	75:2,7,10,15,18,24	managing 54:11
154:23 156:5,13	life 35:6 102:20	35:5,21 46:22,22	76:8,15 77:5 79:20	55:10
knowing 107:8	lift 44:24	61:3 64:9 70:10	80:4,12 81:3,21,23	manner 136:17
knowledge 35:15	limitations 68:9	74:11,14 76:22	89:18,19 93:18 94:5	manual 135:9 146:2,3
known 90:20 102:13	108:5	89:21 94:7 96:6	94:19 95:1,14 98:5	March 98:21 146:25
	limited 57:5 131:19	98:23 100:12 102:4	100:20 104:10	MARISA 2:24
L	line 22:4 28:1 74:9,9	103:7 109:11,12	106:22,24 110:20	market 90:3
lack 107:20	82:12 87:9,14 153:2	110:21 115:7 116:9	111:4 112:4,20	marketability 34:3
laid 62:21 83:22	lines 44:8 103:14	133:19 144:19	116:13,14 117:12	marks 32:5
Lakes 28:19	link 7:8	151:19	118:5,10,15 119:23	Marquardt 2:11 5:21
		-	, -,	-
		•	•	•

5:21	meaning 78:15	147:1,25 148:13	millions 103:5	140:21 143:1 152:9
marry 46:25	meaningful 110:24	149:13 156:17,23	mind 7:2 8:4 66:17	Moquin's 44:5
Marte 2:14 7:22	means 72:4 94:23	meetings 13:24 15:25	77:6 92:1 108:9	morning 4:3,19,20
10:17,19,25 15:6,10	102:20	16:5,7,7 18:10 99:9	mine 144:25	103:7
20:9 30:3 31:2,4,13	meant 35:12 60:6	109:6 113:2,15	minimal-time 105:21	motion 6:7,10 11:13
34:25 37:3,6 42:18	94:10	139:13 143:7	minimum 28:8	11:15,16 12:14,18
43:22,23 47:10,24	measured 102:22	meets 63:2	minor 129:5,15 130:2	17:10,11,12,13
49:16,17 51:1,3,4,9	109:19,20 110:7	MELONI 2:21	minutes 8:22 10:21	18:21,23,25 37:16
70:12,15,17,23 71:4	measuring 109:8	member 7:10 68:14	11:13 98:15 151:8	38:5 43:16 47:17,19
71:15,18 72:1,15,16	Medvin 2:2 5:9,10	70:6,7 81:10 132:24	Miramar 97:3	47:21,24 48:1 50:13
72:17,22 73:3,5	12:16,18 27:2,5	144:25 151:7	misallocations	50:14,17 51:2 52:5
74:2,6,11,24 75:4	36:22 37:15 38:8	member's 54:7	147:15	52:6,14 77:10 79:17
77:13,22 122:18	39:22 42:3 43:20	members 2:1 6:18 7:1	miscellaneous 87:13	79:24 80:11 81:16
Mary 2:3 5:1 12:8	46:1 47:10,16,23	7:3 8:3 10:15 11:25	misinterpret 94:23	81:22 104:20 120:8
76:8 79:19	48:3,5 49:1,2,16	12:21 13:15 16:3	95:16 98:8	120:14 121:8,14
mass 4:14	50:14,17 51:3,21,23	17:20 18:6 19:4,13	misinterpreting	123:7 128:9 130:25
massacre 136:7	51:25 52:3,5,10,12	52:2,11 54:2 55:7	101:8	131:6 141:23
material 123:23	52:14,21 54:15,16	56:2 80:5 81:19	missed 146:22	154:10
materiality 123:20,21	55:2,6 56:3 58:9,12	82:18 94:6 113:7	missing 34:14 61:10	Motioned 6:11
124:2	65:14 66:19 70:16	128:15 131:3 135:6	mistake 102:9	motions 144:20
math 63:8,23	72:16 77:3 79:11,18	137:18 140:5 145:4	mistaken 30:4	MOUs 62:17 64:2,6
matter 44:22 61:13	80:19 81:17,20,22	149:19 156:22	misunderstanding	64:18
matters 6:22 8:6 9:2	82:3,6 85:21 87:1	memorandum 8:13	30:18	movable 23:9
131:11	89:18,19 92:3	8:15,23 66:25	mitigate 103:9	move 15:24 16:25
Matthew 3:5 83:5	103:20 104:2,18,22	memory 74:23	mobilized 117:10	23:1 38:6 46:21
Maximo 39:15,16,17	106:11 110:18	117:23	modification 130:2	47:6 61:15 77:8
50:23	111:19 113:21	mental 60:7,24 68:11	modify 122:12	98:10 104:16
Mayersohn 2:2 4:3	116:13 119:2	68:17 76:19	moment 4:7,12,16	128:21 130:21
4:11,18 5:7,8 6:2,7	121:13 124:24	mention 20:6 21:4	7:25 10:16 92:20	156:17
6:11,13,16,19,21	125:14,18,24	22:21 29:14 34:12	98:22 135:25	moved 12:16 13:9,11
7:20 9:1,3,7,25	126:20 128:7,12,16	34:13 38:11 40:21	Monday 54:2	35:14
10:10,23 11:2,5,9	128:19 130:6,25	101:6 145:16 156:2	money 29:9 42:1 51:6	movements 112:8
11:12,16,18,20,23	131:4,6 151:23	mentioned 35:19 46:2	63:21 64:11 75:12	moving 11:3,12 12:25
12:1,3,11,13,18,22	155:1 156:14,16	49:20 59:2 65:16	77:18 78:11,13	15:23 16:22 17:24
12:24 13:19 14:1,18	meet 7:17 135:12	85:19 119:25	117:23,25 119:13	19:8 61:16 85:18
16:14 17:5,7,11,13	138:5 149:14	122:15 130:3 136:3	122:25 123:15	96:20 97:5 135:7
17:15,18,21,23 18:2	150:13 154:9	138:25 139:23	127:2,4 128:5	140:8
18:19,21,25 19:5,7	meeting 1:3 4:5 5:2	146:8	146:15 147:11	MSD 143:24
20:4 21:14 22:12	6:9 7:22 8:22 10:18	mentioning 99:1	153:21,21,24	MSL 15:18 153:10
25:15 26:22 27:2,5	11:14 13:1,5,6,7,9	mentions 8:15	moneys 64:8 123:1	municipalities 71:7
27:7 52:8	13:10,12,14,21 14:3	Meo 4:25 12:8	monitor 69:5	Music 18:12
mean 10:2,10 20:21	14:4,6,16,23 15:2,4	MEREDITH 2:10	monitoring 108:18	
25:11 41:25 49:12	16:17 20:25 22:20	mesh 75:25	monitors 71:12,14,21	N
51:22 60:25 70:11	32:20 51:22 54:5	metric 108:13,15	73:17,18,21	name 11:3 64:13
71:3 74:13 75:16	58:4 61:21 76:9,10	MICHELE 2:11	month 9:20 16:24	naming 100:15
81:13 91:22 93:24	79:16,16 81:8 103:8	Michelle 5:21	42:7,17 140:15,17	narrative 74:19
100:11 103:5	112:1 113:19	middle 14:7 47:1	142:1,5,8	Nathalie 2:4 5:5
110:11 118:5	126:13 134:5,6	million 69:17,25	monthly 136:14	43:15 104:9 112:4
125:13 126:7	136:6,11 137:5,7,8	71:10,11 72:7 90:14	months 24:1 40:3,8	112:18 150:5
142:25 148:22	137:16 140:14	90:15,15,22 101:9	100:13 107:16,16	nature 104:5 116:16
149:5 155:13,25	142:16,19,25 143:6	119:13 123:24	108:8 136:18	118:18 139:15

Occupations 66:22 86:9 103:5,13 **nearby** 28:21 **normal** 127:10 123:25 128:7,11 nearly 71:15 normally 86:11 occur 13:7 29:21 129:12 130:20,25 113:25 114:4,15 necessarily 10:3 29:1 147:20 148:12 76:11 88:14 111:3 136:5 138:13 116:16.22 117:15 113:14 114:15 141:20 143:9 145:7 31:16 35:23 42:7 150:15 151:17 118:3 119:8 122:4 60:20 87:21 88:6 **Normil** 3:10 6:3,3 132:2 147:4 155:11 124:21 127:3 91:12 97:19 101:16 **Notary** 157:4 **occurred** 100:23 old 34:11 36:12 138:15 145:22 108:14 124:24 **note** 8:20 36:15 54:6 101:3 117:15 orders 84:14 103:6 need 7:3,23 8:18 84:4 97:4 98:20 occurring 14:7 older 26:20 114:17 103:10 114:11,22 10:24 15:16,16 137:18 occurs 113:25 127:13 115:2,5 117:8,18 16:10 17:7 21:11 noted 55:2 85:14 **OCP** 108:7,20 omission 114:6,8,22 118:19 119:7 121:6 22:8 31:23 36:7 87:14 95:5 105:3 **October** 13:9.10 97:9 121:24,25 124:25 121:10.11 125:20 37:14 38:1 44:17 129:15,20 offer 51:9 78:3 **omissions** 114:19 127:23 49:23 72:22 78:17 notes 96:23 157:9 office 2:6,15,16,18,19 115:5,8,25 121:19 org 44:9 79:13 112:15 nothing's 46:16,17 3:2 5:19,21,23,25 122:16 125:6,20 organizational 32:18 119:19 120:3,20 notice 116:14 6:5 8:16 18:6 19:13 127:4,22 136:12 124:1 125:25 **November** 14:14 15:3 36:13 53:3 66:7 **on-boarded** 78:13 organized 37:12 133:24 134:25 **number** 17:24 18:4 70:1 106:13 107:19 on-boarding 106:5 original 84:7,7,10 on-line 59:8 106:17 135:3 136:5 139:2 19:10 24:5 35:8 108:22 115:16,20 86:4 89:22 93:23 145:19,20 146:4,5 38:24,25 39:8 54:8 115:23 116:5 once 10:11,13 27:15 94:2 95:8,18 96:10 57:17 58:24 61:18 147:12 151:23 122:18 131:12 27:21 34:6 65:24 97:6 122:2 124:23 153:10 154:9 61:19,24,25 62:11 135:4 137:25 86:6 originally 22:21 89:7 155:13 156:6 68:8 70:11 73:18 office's 33:4 **one-page** 74:18 93:25 needed 52:22,24 74:4 80:9 82:9 88:8 **officer** 2:16,16,17 3:1 one-sided 93:1 ought 34:1 69:13 139:11 147:15 88:12,15 92:1,9,18 ones 73:25 74:2 93:24 outcome 157:14 69:1 outdated 136:9 needs 7:24 15:20 96:1,7,8 102:25 officers 59:22 70:22 93:25 134:18,19 103:2 105:3,4 124:7 24:13 37:10 39:25 71:1,22 141:17 152:20,21 **outline** 148:25 149:4 45:9 47:5 90:10 127:5,7 128:23 **offices** 126:2 152:22 153:20 outside 17:1 35:25 129:2 130:22 official 61:12 106:8 138:4 145:22 **ongoing** 46:3 135:1 36:5 53:4 64:20,22 officials 10:4 onslaught 4:14 155:12 131:10 135:23 64:25 105:17 **neglect** 151:16 136:5 138:14,18 **oftentimes** 31:16,21 oopsy 90:8 109:15 111:24 negotiated 63:14 143:25 151:4,6 100:11 open 83:2 129:12 151:12 153:11 oh 37:8 77:4 92:5 64:18 numbered 92:25 131:20 154:4,11 **neither** 157:10 **numbers** 68:19 74:17 94:8 **opened** 29:3 outsource 155:25 nerve-wracking 74:19 76:17 77:2 **okay** 6:7 9:3,25 13:25 operating 90:21 156:1,9 80:9,16 91:21 14:2 25:14,16 26:15 operationally 141:8 outstanding 133:13 21:23 never 77:6 91:10,14 numerous 20:14 26:17 27:8,25 38:8 Operations 2:14,15 overall 16:2 85:3,8,16 30:5 32:7 44:3 102:12 107:17 39:5,7 40:19 43:18 86:1 114:11 124:21 0 **opining** 103:21 124:5 127:6 137:1 47:2 48:14 51:8 owed 117:9 128:5 objectives 149:13 new 13:7 23:6 82:19 52:14 56:17 58:13 **opinion** 104:3 owner 83:7 86:12 observation 116:25 opportunities 20:19 101:22,25 104:13 59:7,16 61:9,16 125:3,5 119:3 106:5 108:2,20 62:14,15 65:15 68:6 34:15 owner's 82:11 85:23 observations 82:20 117:1.10 136:11 opportunity 45:19 68:10 70:3,25 71:16 86:11 108:20 114:7 82:23 130:14 46:25 78:3 79:4 ownership 66:14,20 146:1,2 72:2,3,12,13,20,23 observed 4:17 news 153:17 72:25 73:14 74:3 122:10,14 66:23 **obsolete** 30:14 39:11 75:8,21 76:7,15 **opposed** 6:19 12:1,22 nice 46:19 P obtained 84:6 nine 74:24 95:15 79:7 80:20 81:17 17:21 19:5 20:24 obviously 19:24 **P.A** 3:4,5 37:12 52:3,12 80:6 152:5 82:9 88:7,8 94:5 **p.m** 1:12 156:23 20:22 21:5 36:19 **nominating** 12:4,6,9 98:5 102:5 104:22 81:20 89:23 123:6 46:6 78:24 89:7 package 15:20 12:14 106:19,24 107:10 128:16 131:4 101:20 104:11 page 19:16 25:17 **options** 13:15 **non-SMART** 133:3 112:9 117:17 121:23 140:6 148:1 26:17 56:18,21 57:3 noon 7:25 118:10 119:14 order 13:8,8 78:16

person 33:12 36:15 57:14,14,25 58:20 **pause** 136:5 80:18 81:17 92:23 position's 155:7 77:15 83:15.25 84:5 pay 133:8 155:12 63:10 64:1 99:6 121:2 127:2 128:13 **positions** 60:9 68:13 84:20 85:18 92:1,4 paying 29:9,11 71:9 101:22,25 105:17 128:22 135:17,19 68:15,23,24 69:13 92:18,19,20,23 107:22 122:1,4 105:19,20 109:3,24 135:25 142:20 69:16,17 71:25 73:1 93:11 97:17,17 payroll 21:1 133:13 111:11 154:22 73:24 77:1 131:21 personal 112:8 101:19 102:17 134:16 136:3 **Pledge** 4:6,9 131:21 105:8,25 106:24,25 plenty 54:20 pending 7:12 personally 102:16 **possible** 91:20 149:14 116:17 119:23 **penny** 146:17 111:25 143:9 **PMOR** 89:13,16 possibly 23:10 68:16 124:8 129:4 135:23 **people** 21:8 25:6 29:6 **persons** 29:23 63:19 pockets 21:11 posted 10:13 98:2 pertains 133:16 141:19 41:6 65:10 77:19 **point** 8:2 40:7,10 pot 51:7 pages 57:23 83:20 78:11,23 96:14 99:8 **phase** 89:8,9 96:15 41:17 47:23 50:5 potential 30:12 93:7 129:3 101:10 102:14 97:12,21,22 100:4 56:3 61:10 72:15 152:17 paid 70:17 122:3 106:5,6 110:14 **phases** 95:25 97:17 73:8 75:17 79:2 potentially 37:22 pairing 44:14 111:12 113:13 **phrase** 95:19 90:7,18 91:16 38:1,4 46:15 136:13 Palm 154:13 **Phyllis** 2:4 5:11 6:10 131:25 132:1 103:22 104:2 155:24 11:19,20 12:8 17:12 112:24 113:1 **PPO** 133:12 pandemic 78:6 135:11 137:24 paper 119:17 135:8 141:18 143:22 17:13 40:20 52:7 128:18 134:17 practice 124:5 practices 135:7 papers 55:24 65:16 144:3 148:14,17 79:17 85:21 104:10 136:13 139:18 65:23 66:14,15,20 155:13 128:10,20 130:24 140:11 147:19,22 **Pre-Construction** 66:23,24 68:1 people's 106:16 156:19 155:14 2:21 physical 35:24 preexisting 73:25 **paradigm** 108:16 percent 19:22 24:20 **pointed** 108:3 63:25 68:20 72:10 physically 153:8 **pointing** 74:7,8 74:20 **parents** 145:13 part 26:6 29:19 30:10 73:12 77:15,16,25 pick 27:18 69:14 points 14:25 29:13,17 **prefer** 80:4 32:17 35:10 36:4,7 90:25 94:8,21 115:4 129:6 139:10 **prepare** 15:18 47:11 102:5,15 policies 32:12 36:12 40:22 43:9 44:9 119:12,14,16 120:1 **picked** 68:22 69:20 **prepared** 37:2 45:2 36:14 42:22 43:25 69:19 70:5 72:1 49:21 60:17 65:2 120:2 123:14,14,16 148:5 **pickups** 35:17,20 44:4.10 82:15 103:1 67:4 68:2 70:19 123:24 124:3,4,8,12 preparing 62:9 71:10 73:7.18 79:24 124:22 125:19 **piece** 84:19 119:17 135:3.5 142:4 preponderance 71:11 126:3,14,15 127:23 **pieces** 45:16 86:18 88:22 103:20 153:25 78:20 104:25 105:1 147:17 **Pierre** 3:10 6:3 **policy** 9:19 10:12 **present** 5:12,14 14:22 107:17 132:16.21 percentage 68:22 **place** 27:16 28:6 31:6 18:14 30:6,13,20 29:21 70:1 139:2 134:25 146:23 77:15 84:22 89:6 43:5 73:11,19 85:10 32:16 33:5 34:10,12 presentation 37:2,12 147:1 148:1 116:4 96:3 103:2,8,15 34:23.24 35:10 36:2 37:17 38:15 39:15 **part-time** 105:21 **perfect** 102:12 106:18 120:7 151:6 36:5,16 37:23 38:12 39:24 40:4,9,11 particular 16:18 127:19 153:25 38:13,15,24,25 39:3 42:13 43:11 44:12 43:24 55:14 62:12 **perform** 53:5 57:9 **places** 30:17 155:22 40:17,22,22,24 41:1 45:3,4,17 47:11 63:21 64:1 92:10 83:18 103:24 **plan** 53:4 60:4 66:1 41:1,7,14 42:9,14 48:16 49:19 50:8,16 129:7 153:16 128:25 79:8 110:6 131:13 42:15,24,25 43:5,9 50:19 58:6 142:3 particularly 91:22 performance 55:16 131:14.19 132:14 43:9.24 44:1.2 presentations 59:14 presented 14:21 114:15 126:16 56:24 83:20,20,23 132:17 137:20 46:12,21 47:6 50:19 **parties** 117:14 83:24 84:6,17,19 147:21,25 148:8,15 65:18 119:6 121:4,9 32:21 37:18 38:12 97:5 98:23 107:1 148:20 149:4,21,22 46:6 100:19 138:8 157:11 122:11.13.20 108:19 109:21 150:5,8 partner 3:4 54:11 126:18 131:14 145:25 146:21,25 **planning** 16:20 33:25 134:19 presenting 24:17 55:10 **performed** 18:5,16 19:12 53:2 56:25 62:11 **policy's** 42:5 100:16 137:9 **parts** 36:7 party 157:12 82:21 84:9 **plans** 139:3 145:19 **popped** 64:13 preserving 60:2 passed 58:23 60:5 period 42:2 55:18 Plantation 99:17 population 24:21 pressure 108:6 80:10 60:3 63:7 90:3 99:5 **plate** 138:18 141:1 portables 28:21 prevailing 127:25 passing 59:2 periodic 82:9 **please** 17:10 37:17 117:21 **prevent** 51:16 path 50:1 periods 153:2 43:20 51:10,14 **position** 63:4 69:6 **previous** 13:9 16:3 52:10 61:17,23 73:5 pattern 109:7 **permits** 134:4 136:13 18:10 82:16 150:11

150:16 99:16,18 **proper** 29:25 30:1 111:22 122:19 previously 13:12 procurement 27:22 35:13 49:20 69:10 138:15 143:17 **price** 24:3 44:6 99:4,13 108:21 134:12 135:7 153:2 **primary** 53:11 145:19 109:23 133:7,8 principal 35:18 profession 138:3 properly 62:24 0 principals 140:1 professional 67:2 **property** 19:8,11 **print** 140:22 97:24 124:5 127:7 23:17 26:18 27:9,10 **printed** 92:25 professionals 45:23 30:14 34:8,13 39:11 100:23 108:7 **prior** 10:9 12:10 **Professions** 66:21 50:10 67:6 133:23 143:16,16 39:23 54:2 82:23,25 program 2:19 6:24 138:2 **QSEC** 98:2 99:7,8,9 86:24 103:17 35:3 78:22 82:10.11 proposal 82:15 99:19 104:12,20 129:21 85:3 86:2 87:11,16 proposed 13:1 **Qualification** 86:19 **priority** 144:10 145:3 87:19,25 88:4 89:13 148:19 150:8 qualified 59:25 PRITYKINA 2:10 98:12 99:12 101:5 **Protection** 8:9 proactive 33:19 131:25 155:23 105:21,23 108:12 **protocols** 106:6,9 145:2 108:19,19 109:5 **provide** 8:9,12,20,22 **probably** 36:25 43:10 **quarter's** 82:19 114:20 115:3 13:8 27:23 40:6 quarterly 140:16 48:18 56:10 65:3 127:12 132:21 76:21,24 80:23,23 **quarters** 100:8,14 73:14 92:2 110:2 133:2 143:17 113:5 143:2 146:8 **question** 10:6 14:19 **problem** 33:8.22 144:14 146:12 150:23.24 107:5,18,22 108:4 **programs** 53:21,25 150:25 153:11 121:16 125:13 60:2.15.17 79:5 154:3 144:22 152:8 88:2 106:14 107:19 **provided** 13:13 41:9 problems 37:5 108:14 129:10 74:17 76:6 81:5 **procedure** 27:10 34:7 134:2 108:13 130:3 40:16 103:21 146:1 providing 74:16 progress 140:4 136:14 146:11 146:3 153:25 progressing 142:3 128:12 135:14 procedures 32:12 prohibiting 68:1 **PSA** 97:25 138:1 146:14 53:17 82:16 103:2 **project** 21:2 40:22 **public** 4:21 9:4,6 10:4 150:19 106:5 78:19 82:20 83:14 23:21 55:16 66:2,15 **questions** 8:8,17,19 **proceed** 137:25 84:1 85:8 88:1,5,16 66:22,25 67:6,8 proceeding 137:16 88:18,21,23 89:8,10 113:19 145:6 157:5 145:17 90:9,15,16,22,22 **pull** 109:23 156:13 proceedings 4:1 27:7 91:11,15 95:4,7 **pulls** 97:18 97:14 99:21 108:19 **purchased** 23:25 24:2 157:7 83:10 101:10 **process** 20:7,10,17,18 114:10,12 115:8 24:3,9 38:2,3,3 104:19 105:10 20:20,24 21:1,3 117:22 119:13 purchases 22:15 27:16,21 29:15 128:2 141:20 **purpose** 47:25 53:11 30:20 31:6.14 34:5 projected 88:23 53:20 61:18.23 141:19 quick 49:11 66:17 41:12 42:8 43:2 **projects** 83:16,22 101:14 103:23 112:24 113:1 48:9,10,24 49:5 84:2,8,21 85:12 pursue 122:24 128:6 118:20 140:14 87:16 89:24,25 90:2 **pursued** 122:23 81:12 83:18 107:2 146:13 91:3 95:13 96:24 118:6 121:18,22 126:25 122:6 133:6 134:15 97:11 100:1,2,4,6 **pursuing** 115:18 135:22 134:16,18 135:9 101:6 102:19 123:1 quite 31:25 49:21 136:22 139:24 114:24 115:1,3 **push** 99:13,15 141:24 145:22 116:8,8 119:4 120:5 pushed 90:2 134:24 145:7 148:2,8 149:15,18 124:20 126:16 **put** 10:11 11:7 13:5 quorum 4:4 8:1,2 152:11 132:23 133:4 22:22 45:15 93:24 52:19,23 88:9 **processes** 10:1 21:7 **prone** 23:8.14 94:15.16 103:2.8 **procure** 97:22 99:10 pronounce 11:3 106:7 107:7 109:4

R **R** 1:23 157:4,19 **Radcliff** 2:12 5:19.19 putting 68:12 149:20 radios 24:2 **raise** 9:15 raised 39:13 90:18 **Q4** 98:13,17,18,23,24 raising 29:5 98:25 100:19.21.23 Ramblewood 116:15 116:18 118:3 **ramped** 78:23 ran 35:18 53:12 random 62:10 range 105:17 rank 154:23 rate 127:22,23 quarter 98:16 100:14 rates 115:2 re-baseline 97:10 re-complete 7:4 re-schedule 97:9,9 read 50:18 59:20 22:13 27:14 28:10 66:17 87:5 91:23 29:5,11 30:6 48:4 93:6 101:18 104:8 50:8 55:23 61:17 125:25 69:3 70:9 76:18,19 readable 91:21 77:11 80:8 85:20 **reading** 82:24 91:18 87:3 88:15 103:18 93:13 106:10 117:13,16 reads 66:16 ready 15:16 16:12 45:5 49:3 139:20 154:22 real 66:17 8:25 13:19 16:14 reality 90:20 94:11 29:20 31:2 54:10 realize 123:6 56:1 58:10 61:21 realized 98:25 65:4,9,10,11 77:7 really 15:24 23:22 30:15 35:4 37:10 46:17 49:12 87:11 113:3,4 129:10,13 89:16 94:9 98:14 102:13.17.21 104:7 135:22 150:1 151:10 realm 36:1 realtime 98:12 quickly 123:8 129:14 reason 22:8 54:17 62:16 reasonable 110:8 57:19 75:5 119:4,11 122:7 127:10 142:23 reasons 114:23 153:4 **Rebecca** 2:3 4:23 recall 23:4,25 141:1

				I
146:24	88:18	81:5 82:19,24 83:15	134:19	reviewed 18:13 19:18
recapture 114:1	regards 37:24 137:11	83:25 92:17 96:12	research 8:18	19:18 42:5 130:8,11
128:2	regular 33:15 134:1	98:17 100:8,10,18	resolution 58:16,23	130:17 132:12
receive 129:8	134:25	100:22 104:11,14	59:1,2,3,7,10 60:5	133:25 138:6 139:8
received 65:19 93:22	regulated 66:8	105:25 128:19	60:19 62:1	reviewing 42:9 62:3,5
95:9 96:25	regulates 67:13,17	129:4 132:7 133:2	resource 59:22 70:22	62:22
recession 126:23	Regulation 66:21	134:14 136:8,14,16	71:1	revise 43:8
recited 4:10	regulators 133:17	136:24 137:15	resources 2:15,16	revised 84:8,11
recognize 10:16 21:9	152:23	138:7 139:8,20	149:20 153:11	130:18
145:3 149:19	regulatory 133:19	141:2 144:23	154:11	revising 43:9
recommend 79:25	reiterate 58:18	145:23,24 146:11	respect 6:23 7:9,19	revision 42:15
124:6	rejected 96:25	146:21 147:9 157:7	8:8,19,25 46:11	revisions 108:23
recommendation	related 41:7 59:5	reported 23:21	52:25 132:13	RFP 88:17 129:1,7,22
98:3 105:3 106:4	87:11 129:5,16,20	138:22 155:8	respectfully 45:6 50:6	RFQ 86:19 88:17
reconciliation 119:7	129:25 135:5	Reporter 1:22,23 3:7	respond 32:19 54:10	129:22
record 9:15 66:2	relates 32:1 44:25	5:18,18 157:4,19	105:9 112:15,16	rid 28:14 33:16 34:16
111:22 113:17	45:8 57:15 67:12	REPORTER'S 157:1	113:3,5,13	34:17 46:13
157:8	123:15	reporting 1:23 3:7	response 5:4 6:15,20	ridiculous 119:22
recorded 9:17	relation 62:23	44:8 106:21 110:24	11:22 12:2,12,23	right 4:3 14:11 16:21
records 10:4 66:24	relative 127:24	132:11,19 135:2	17:6,17,22 18:20	17:7,24 18:3 19:15
67:4,5	157:12	reports 9:11 15:15	19:6 49:12,21 51:24	25:9,21 26:7,16,25
recover 120:23 121:5	remain 67:5	20:13 58:15,25 59:8	52:4,9,13 53:16	27:2 29:13,15 35:23
121:9	remaining 129:25	59:15 62:1 67:3	88:16 108:7 109:25	46:10,18,21 48:10
recovered 127:2,4	remember 99:17	97:7,14 100:16,18	110:16 111:7	49:4,15,15 64:3
recovering 141:25	126:12 131:18	126:9 134:10 139:3	112:18 113:6 131:5	72:2,23 82:2 87:8
recovery 2:17,18 3:1	141:20 142:14	141:14 145:18	139:6	92:6 95:14 98:16
3:2 114:21 115:13	147:6	156:13	responses 48:13 49:6	102:1,3,24 103:9
recruit 59:24	remind 9:9 155:2	representative 82:11	49:25 129:8 138:6	104:23 113:13
recruiting 155:16	reminder 7:7	83:7 89:16	139:9 145:20	123:23 124:20
refer 57:3 100:17	reminders 9:10	request 16:8 38:6	150:11	128:10 130:13
reference 37:22	removal 105:5	79:21 82:15 86:19	responsibilities 7:11	148:9 149:2 150:12
referenced 39:3	renovation 114:17	114:7 120:22 121:9	106:2	151:25
66:12 67:11	126:16	125:3 135:14 153:6	responsibility 78:10	rise 4:6
references 66:13	rep 108:20	requested 27:24	105:7,14	risk 3:5,6 24:14
129:6,21,23	repeat 19:24	57:23 65:21 68:14	responsible 36:15	134:19
referendum 53:2,6,7	repeatedly 90:19	80:13 143:3	136:14	risks 132:2 149:24
53:9,13 54:3 55:17	149:7	requesting 95:10	rest 91:6	road 40:7 96:6 110:2
58:22 59:6 63:2	repeating 103:16	requests 57:8 58:4	result 46:19 84:16	Robert 2:2 5:7 8:10
70:19 71:25 73:11	replace 35:2 36:8	106:16 125:5	108:17 127:1	role 33:5
73:12 74:21,21,25	replacement 35:8	require 24:10 79:1	resulted 84:15 129:23	roll 4:7,18
75:3,14 76:24 77:25	36:3,17 38:17 45:12	required 8:1 18:14	results 22:11 53:17	rolling 112:10
78:16	45:13,14 50:21	78:14 86:10 110:22	63:18 105:16	rolls 78:13
referendums 78:1	replaces 11:11	129:24 130:2	retired 155:5	roof 94:14,16 130:22
referred 62:1 94:3	replacing 36:7	131:14 133:11,21	retirement 132:1	roofing 90:9,11 91:14
referring 92:3	reply 112:4	149:23	retort 112:23	94:12 129:1,7
reflect 90:19 100:22	report 14:20,21	requirement 24:23	retrain 59:25	room 1:7 54:20
reflection 4:12	19:17 24:6 26:6	requirements 7:17	return 123:6,6	112:12,17 150:22
regarding 32:12	32:20 46:6 47:24	23:18 28:9 50:23	review 9:11 16:11	151:21
59:14 132:6,17	53:1 54:1,8,9 55:15	63:3 82:14 107:9	41:2 43:2 48:9 59:1	root 139:4
135:2	55:20 57:2 58:6	132:3 133:20	59:3 61:11 128:25	routinely 142:9,10
regardless 86:21	61:20 80:20,25 81:2	requires 23:19	129:2,4 134:11,12	RSM 3:5,6 82:5,10,13

82:21 83:5,6,11	98:9 101:22 108:7	156:19	senior 56:7 83:6	123:9,18 124:10
92:17 104:11	SBA 153:10	seconded 11:20 51:19	155:19	125:23,25 130:24
105:17 110:20	scale 64:5	51:20 120:12	sense 24:13 78:8	131:1 141:23
111:14 118:2	scarcity 54:18	seconding 120:25	146:9 154:25	150:19 151:6,25
128:25 129:3,11	scare 29:6	secondly 54:23	sent 10:8 61:17,20,21	152:8 153:3 155:18
137:23 139:8	scars 56:12	Secretary 2:11	65:17 139:11 151:2	156:19
145:24	schedule 16:4 18:1	section 66:23 84:24	151:4 154:18,19	she'll 7:13 94:9 117:4
rubric 129:19	43:25 45:12,13,15	85:19 92:5 105:16	separate 66:8	SHELLEY 2:21
rule 87:18	47:16 50:12 58:2	129:23 130:10	September 13:7,13	shocked 98:9
rules 120:7	69:7 80:14 83:20,24	151:18	13:14,17 15:6,11	shooter 143:23,24
run 51:22	97:5,18,21 134:4	sections 106:23	41:22 45:2,7 47:11	shooting 137:3 144:4
running 10:21 99:8	scheduled 43:8	129:18	48:18 49:3,14	144:8
124:19 131:15	schedules 66:24	secure 53:1 55:17	142:17 143:21	shootings 4:14
runway 79:4	80:21 97:6 139:25	99:14	144:12	shortly 82:22
	school 1:2 2:22,23	secured 90:5	serious 137:1	show 99:12,14 102:23
S	6:24 7:10 14:8	security 35:13 59:24	seriously 20:7	134:6
S 3:4,5 53:4,14 54:6	21:25 31:15 40:23	60:10 71:22,23	serves 74:23	showing 35:8 102:17
54:11 55:10 56:7	53:24 54:2 55:8,17	74:22,24 78:8	service 1:23 28:1	shows 77:15
57:13	59:21,23 60:12,13	see 4:21 19:17 22:9	32:3 59:19 60:24	sign 7:15 112:7
Sabin 11:11	60:16,25 61:6 68:25	22:14 24:7 25:23	services 2:20,21,22	signed 78:14 91:9
SAEMONE 2:20	69:4 70:22,24 71:1	32:11,13,15 42:6	2:24,24 3:6 27:23	significant 78:7 97:22
safe 68:25	71:21 73:9,10 78:17	52:17 53:16 55:6	57:2 64:23 67:2	signify 6:17 11:24
safety 53:24 60:9,14	78:21 97:3,3 99:18	56:14,20,21 63:2	97:25 130:8	12:20 17:19 19:3
68:11,17 69:5,15	102:21 115:15	74:11 79:2 82:6,24	set 21:20 45:7 74:16	51:25 81:18
70:24 71:22 73:2,10	122:11,23 131:14	85:15 93:17 96:14	100:2	silence 4:8,16
74:2 76:2,18 78:21	134:11 136:7,20	100:2,21 101:1	seven 74:25 75:2	silent 4:12
salaries 70:8	137:2,15,21 138:7	103:5,24 122:18	82:25 96:6 123:1	silos 48:16 51:17
salary 63:3,25 88:24	146:3 152:19 156:4	136:17,23 138:20	shaking 112:3	similar 8:19 104:9
sale 30:1	schools 18:5,18 21:21	140:22 143:10,15	SHAPIRO 2:22	138:1 150:10
Sam 2:18 83:9 102:13	22:4 28:22,25 29:6	143:20 144:6,11,12	share 22:17 116:15	151:11
106:12	59:23 60:3 70:23	148:19 149:4 154:4	shared 43:12	similarities 143:23
sample 62:10,12	71:2,12 99:1 117:24	154:23	Shaun 3:4 54:12	144:2
63:17,18 83:22	133:14,23	seeing 6:16 11:23	55:10	similarly 18:9
sampled 83:16 84:2,8	scope 30:7 31:3 32:17	12:13 17:18 74:6	Shaw 2:4 5:11,12	simple 77:12 93:8
84:21	57:2,4,7 64:23 65:1	93:20,20 95:16	6:10,10,11 10:7,8	122:5
samples 62:15	65:4 68:9 117:22	96:10 98:8 109:9	11:19,19,20 12:8	simply 21:4 30:21
SANDY 3:1	130:8 144:18 147:1	113:24 136:23	14:18,19,24 15:13	64:21
SAP 156:13	147:13	seek 108:23	16:15 17:12,12,13	single 115:8 146:17
saw 44:19 133:2	scopes 18:9	seen 28:21 33:21	18:23,25 25:15	146:23
136:8 145:19	scoring 129:17,18,19	84:25 102:12	34:19 35:12,23	singular 100:2
saying 6:17 11:24	scream 34:21	Seifer 2:11 6:5,5	36:21 38:22 39:22	sir 15:12 106:11
12:20 17:19 19:3	SE 1:8,24	select 19:9 100:5	39:23 40:18 41:15	110:19 136:2
23:16 43:7 44:16	seasoned 45:23	selecting 62:3,10	47:18,21 48:1 51:18	sit 20:8 28:5,6 33:21
47:9 51:25 63:22	seat 99:7	63:17	51:21 52:7 77:3,4,6	45:15 82:5,6 99:8
73:24 74:4 81:18	second 6:12,13 11:19	sell 25:3 30:23	77:10 79:17,17	sits 99:7
95:17 111:7 119:14	12:17,18 17:10,14	send 7:6 80:24 81:4	81:16 85:22 86:9	sitting 28:2,13 30:9
119:15 126:5 147:4	17:15 18:24,25 38:7	81:11 110:6 119:18	87:4 88:8,12,15	33:24 78:12 98:15
152:10 154:21	51:20 52:7 55:13	131:17 151:1	92:7,14 93:2,9	99:6 140:8 145:10
says 59:20 70:21,25	77:16 79:18,19	154:20	102:24 104:10,15	situation 31:18
71:21 72:12 75:19	104:18,20,22 105:1	sending 77:20,23	119:2,3,24 120:2,11	138:23
77:24 90:25 93:21	130:24 131:1	148:16 152:3,4	120:13,24 121:4	situations 132:5

	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
six 36:7 40:7 131:20	specialists 71:23	23:22 24:13,22	50:24 51:8,11,14	148:13
135:11 154:8	specific 58:9 60:8	26:17 29:4 30:19	52:23 111:19,20	Superintendent 2:14
skip 42:24	64:2 65:10 88:17	32:1 38:2,20 42:11	113:16 123:18	2:15 7:21 10:17
skipped 135:22	132:20	50:22 51:6,7,10,13	125:7,13,16,22	20:9 30:5 32:7
skipping 43:1	specifically 37:18	65:18 66:11 67:14	128:4 135:21,24	supplement 64:13
small 34:20 87:12	58:7 66:14 86:13	67:18,21 70:18	136:2 137:13	73:12,22
93:5 127:24	99:17 117:6	72:25 113:18 157:2	138:10,12 139:12	supplemental 74:19
smaller 78:20 85:14	specifications 107:6	157:5	139:22 140:9,10	80:21 81:6,9
102:19	127:20	stated 29:14	142:8,18,23 143:9	supplements 68:25
SMART 22:15 23:20	spend 122:25	statement 111:10	144:24 145:5 146:8	69:1 70:7 73:22
82:10 114:20 133:1	spending 32:23 76:24	statements 66:24	152:3 154:18	support 2:17,17,20
153:21	spent 78:1	126:9 133:15 136:4	155:24 156:1,20	2:21,21 3:1,2 25:25
snapshot 134:6	spite 50:2	states 126:18	stretch 144:11,12	69:16,24 70:11
SNG 53:18 59:5	spoke 45:17 126:12	stating 124:18	strictly 59:21 61:2,15	71:23 72:4,6,21
63:12,21 64:8	139:24	status 110:4 116:25	strive 137:6	73:18 74:10 79:5
solution 47:4,4	spot 8:17	statute 22:23 26:17	strong 96:4	80:9
solutions 46:22	square 28:8,20 29:8	30:19 65:18	structure 136:12	supporting 62:22
solved 37:4 46:18	33:19 90:11 94:13	statutes 29:4 31:24	struggled 131:22	supports 71:12,14
somebody 10:5 25:2	94:15,17	32:1 66:12 67:10	Student 2:17,17,24	supposed 32:24 39:14
30:24 31:22 64:10	squeezed 14:11	stay 30:17	2:24 3:1,1	39:18,20 43:4 63:24
109:22 111:9 112:6	SRO 70:11	stemming 91:13	students 21:25 71:3	107:1 111:17 134:5
112:17 148:9	SROs 70:10,13,17,24	stenographic 1:23	73:7,13	134:12
155:17	71:9 72:12,13	157:9	study 155:12	sure 9:11 10:10 16:17
someplace 154:12	staff 2:6,13 15:19	stenographically	stuff 28:12,22 29:5,10	20:23 22:2 29:23,25
somewhat 148:24	36:13 44:1,1 53:24	157:7	31:21 33:24 44:17	34:14 38:9 47:23
SOP 106:8 121:17	55:9 60:12,14,17	step 7:24 8:3 42:24	46:13,14 47:3 59:24	49:8,19 62:6,18
sorry 13:23 21:16	62:5 73:8,10 108:21	52:24 111:20 128:1	subject 111:23	64:11 65:20 66:1
38:22 39:4,7 48:23	109:7 153:6,12	steps 29:3 43:1 144:4	131:11	77:20 79:20 86:13
51:21 77:4 93:1,12	154:8,10,11,14	sticking 76:22 116:21	subjects 152:18	92:12 94:21 101:15
102:13 106:1	stage 13:9	sticks 24:5	submitted 67:3	102:6 103:9,12,16
116:17 125:8	stages 83:1	stipulated 58:15,25	submitting 128:19	111:4 119:9 121:16
130:22 135:21,24	stale 35:20	59:8	subsequent 7:5	123:12 135:6
138:16 142:22	standard 32:17 36:18	stomach 153:16	substantially 85:11	137:13 139:2,5
146:5 156:14	114:14 127:9 138:5	store 29:9,11	sufficient 48:18 85:7	143:19 150:5
sort 28:10 41:11	standards 115:6	storing 31:20	suggest 153:10	surely 33:12
58:24 107:22	125:12 126:10,13	stove 31:8	suggested 148:23	surface 133:20
sorted 147:16	127:25 132:10,10	straight 38:24 83:14	suggesting 40:20	surplus 26:18 27:9,18
sound 46:11	132:11 134:12	strategic 33:25	111:8 152:9	27:19,20 30:13
source 69:18	standpoint 24:14	strategizing 22:25	Suite 1:24	31:14 32:12 34:5
sourcing 109:24	32:11 33:25	35:12	summaries 95:6	39:11 44:13 77:17
space 29:7 31:19,19	stands 81:16	strategy 82:12 135:1	summarize 83:21	77:18
33:20 34:15 45:23	start 76:15 83:11	Strauss 2:5,15 5:13	152:15 154:24	surplused 26:13 31:7
spacing 13:8	84:5 94:7 100:3	5:14 6:12,13 7:24	summarizes 129:3	34:4,6
speak 91:5 100:3	134:17 137:21	11:15,17,17,18	summarizing 92:11	surprised 90:24
112:15 151:11	145:3	12:17,19 13:21,25	summary 25:24	119:4,11
speakers 4:21 9:4,6	started 35:15 84:4	17:14,15 21:15	56:18,19 57:1,14	surprises 119:5
speaking 9:14 48:19	97:6 118:6,14	22:12,13,21 23:24	154:20	surrounding 14:19
59:21 89:12 127:3	154:17	24:15 25:9,14 37:15	summer 7:7 21:2	sustainability 34:25
speaks 27:8 29:2	starting 99:21	37:16 38:19 39:4,7	44:10,24 116:19	switched 100:15
106:25	starts 83:25 106:25	40:16 41:25 42:11	137:10	syntax 130:1
Spec 2:12	state 22:23,23 23:6	43:15 45:25 46:10	sunshine 111:24	system 21:20 39:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I		I	ı
39:21 106:17	116:20	106:12 110:19	123:3 124:1 125:21	131:22 139:5
	teachers 53:24 59:25	113:20 119:1	128:4,7 129:12	141:11 143:4
T	60:13,18 68:11	130:13 131:8,9	136:5 138:18	144:16 145:12
tab 57:15	69:11	135:20,21 142:22	139:17,18 140:4	146:14 147:13,16
table 47:19 54:19	teaching 60:9,12	155:9 156:17	141:9,10 149:25	151:21,24 153:9,14
56:19 57:15,21	team 18:6 19:14,18	theft 23:8,14	150:2,21 153:3	154:9 155:15
104:15 116:24	44:5 113:7 129:1	thereof 157:9	154:5,17	156:15
TAC 41:4	135:5 137:23	thing 7:2 9:13 31:23	thinking 42:7 92:8	timeframe 9:10 15:22
take 4:11 8:17,20	technology 2:9 25:1	35:25 36:10 39:6	120:13	42:18 138:10,12
10:16 17:8 25:3	27:19 28:1 41:4	90:8 92:15 102:8	third 16:18,24 53:9	timeframes 7:20
26:11 27:20 31:22	45:13 132:15,16	113:22 130:12	156:20	timeline 43:3,19 88:6
32:13 36:14,16 40:3	149:8	things 14:10 22:21	thought 15:10 139:10	timely 136:17
40:13 43:2,4 89:20	tell 25:23 96:7 101:21	23:2,9,19,21 24:11	140:24 148:6	times 16:23 102:17
94:9 95:22 104:24	118:14 145:14	25:2,5 26:18,19	thoughts 110:23	113:13 114:13
105:15 110:11	telling 48:8 73:16	28:4,15 30:16 32:18	135:16	122:22 145:1
122:10 132:15	124:13 145:10	33:1,17,21 34:3,16	thousand 22:15,22	148:22
133:6 143:4 144:9	tend 75:24	41:2 75:13 89:3	threat 98:11 133:11	timing 16:9 48:9,11
153:12,14 156:5	tends 33:18	93:19 99:22,23	135:25 136:15	141:5 150:17
takeaway 101:4	tentative 131:11	100:12 101:16	137:14,17 141:16	Timothy 1:23 157:4
takeaways 83:21,23	TERESA 2:21	102:5 105:3,6	142:6 143:11,18	157:19
83:24	term 9:22 23:4	106:20 107:21,24	144:7,15 145:16	title 63:10,11 100:7
taken 7:5 10:6 20:7	terminated 117:8	109:9,10,12,15,19	three 40:7 68:10 83:2	146:14,19 147:1,3,7
110:9 128:1 144:4	termination 105:5	110:8 113:1 116:21	84:21 85:4,12	147:18 148:3
takes 95:23,24 96:15	terminology 89:5	120:19 130:15	three-tiered 38:21	today 7:13 8:11 10:24
139:5	terms 35:2,5 36:2	132:18 133:8,9,18	three-year 39:19	11:1 32:5 79:14
talk 34:23 36:15 37:9	42:7 59:13 64:17	136:16,25 140:21	threshold 19:21 20:3	80:15 98:18 120:5,6
66:3 69:22 79:1	69:7 76:25 100:25	141:1,3,4,5 142:10	22:15,22,24 23:18	122:16 128:3
84:20,24 94:20	101:4,17 107:6,15	143:7 148:12,18	23:22 24:17,20	149:11 152:22
102:25 125:1	108:1 109:9,21	149:9,21 150:3	115:9,12 123:19	today's 103:4
talked 37:10 67:10	111:1 121:5 130:15	151:9 153:5 154:18	124:14,18,19 125:9	tonight 111:18
96:20 114:12	test 59:13 62:11,19	154:21	125:15,17 126:3,8	118:17
115:22 116:2,7	62:21 63:6	think 9:19,22 10:2	126:19	tons 29:8
118:20 149:9,10	tested 62:17 63:18	16:19 24:8 25:2	thresholds 127:9	Tony 132:23
talking 35:1,24 36:1	64:19 65:7	27:16 36:17,19,23	throw 30:21	top 69:6
39:2 48:25 89:2 141:19 144:24,25	testing 62:9,13,15	36:24 37:8,9,24	Thursday 1:11 16:18	topic 36:24,24 37:8,9
150:1	63:1 64:22,24,25	39:5,23,24 40:10	16:24 136:21	37:12,17 44:12
Tallahassee 17:2	110:21 111:2	42:4,11,17 43:7	tie 69:14,14 73:22	92:16 136:10
tangents 9:13	tests 53:17	44:21,22 45:8,18	76:23 77:2	topics 140:15
tangents 9.13	Texas 143:24 144:1,5	46:3,5,24 47:5,12 49:22,24 50:4,6	tied 68:22 69:20	total 68:21 96:15 127:23
tardiness 10:20	text 91:23 thank 4:18,19,22 9:3	52:20 58:14,16	ties 58:24 69:6 Tim 3:7 5:18	totally 36:9 105:23
task 2:17,18,22 58:14	10:19,22 11:1 13:3	67:11 83:13 86:4	time 7:5 8:2,21 14:21	touch 15:1 84:2
58:16,19,24,24 59:9	13:25 17:4,25 19:10	87:6 91:24 93:2	15:17,24 33:2,3,4	129:14
59:16 60:13 61:2,18	21:17 22:4,5 25:14	94:14 95:21 96:13	34:20 43:20 46:7	track 39:17
61:19,24,24 62:2,10	25:16 33:7,9 36:21	98:6 99:3,6 102:4	50:3 55:13 63:7,16	track 39.17
62:11,14,19 65:10	37:8 39:12 43:21,23	102:11,15,16	67:20 78:25 87:16	tracking 115:24
68:8 106:12 107:13	45:25 51:11 52:16	102:11,13,10	88:18 90:3 97:12,23	training 6:24 135:5
108:10 109:6	54:21 55:3 78:2	106:3 110:23	101:7,21 107:4	trainings 7:6
tasks 58:18	79:7,10 81:24 82:1	115:10 116:8	109:13 110:5	transaction 62:7 63:1
taxpayers 78:10	83:12 87:1 88:7,14	118:19 119:15,17	112:21 126:12	63:21
teacher 63:8,9,23	89:19 93:9 105:12	120:3,12,15,16,20	127:16 128:8,21	transactions 61:20,25
		,,,		31.20,20
	1	1	•	•

62:4,8 vehicle 28:14 144:1 151:6 155:4 unmovable 15:3 138:20 139:20 transcript 157:8 type 36:18 45:10 unnecessary 41:16 **vendor** 27:18 140:6 142:16 144:6 62:23 85:13 127:11 108:11 **vendors** 107:22 146:17 147:11 transit 5:1 unprecedented **transmit** 18:23 47:15 **types** 24:10 113:3 vengeance 14:13 149:18 151:25 47:17 52:6 77:10 114:16 127:10 126:21 verbal 126:9 139:19 152:16 153:1,17,18 79:17 81:9 104:16 typically 8:17 83:4 unpredictable 132:2 142:18 154:3.6 118:24 120:9,21 151:15 **update** 38:14 40:22 **verbalized** 124:9,10 wanted 21:4,12 79:8 121:8 130:21 131:1 40:23 139:19 verbiage 62:22 93:3,7 86:17 113:17,22 U transmittal 129:14 140:14,23 142:18 126:1 145:15 149:16 **Uh-huh** 66:19 verified 78:6 transmitted 52:15 143:2,4,10 146:9,11 156:2 **ultimate** 49:22 79:11,14,22 81:5 **updated** 34:11 54:7 verify 58:25 63:23 wants 28:4 30:22 ultimately 13:17 71:9 72:22 106:17 transmitting 80:6 122:11 135:3 134:20 16:10 97:2 110:25 **updates** 58:8 139:13 140:2 Wanza 21:20 22:3,7 81:13 130:7 149:21 150:4 verifying 58:14 59:9 transparent 112:12 139:16 140:18,20 22:10 transportation 28:19 152:16 142:10 versus 41:18 72:11 **warehouse** 28:7,19 **unable** 155:16 **updating** 34:23 35:10 74:20 93:3 107:25 134:22 29:16 46:3 138:3 unaccounted 20:1 trash 28:15 36:14 37:23 105:2 122:3 warehouses 28:18 uncomfortable 112:1 129:24 Vice 2:2 9:7 44:18 travel 134:22 112:5 113:18 trends 102:16 upsetting 128:4 view 42:10 100:1 warehousing 27:23 uncovered 30:11 trick 30:24 **upwards** 126:14 Vignola 8:11 28:11 108:21 undercover 30:11 use 33:3 78:10 86:10 **Trimerge** 3:10 6:3 violence 4:14 wasn't 42:6 149:14 understand 16:22 **troubling** 138:13,14 146:18 153:10 **visited** 26:19 **waste** 42:1 34:22 37:19 75:8 true 90:12 125:21 154:2 Volunteer 8:9 watching 153:17 81:3 84:14 91:3 **useful** 110:13 111:10 vote 17:10 45:4 48:2 way 5:1 7:23 9:16 157:8 110:14 111:5,12 trued 89:25 **usual** 96:12 50:12 128:11,12 14:9 24:6 32:15 112:23 113:16 usually 130:9 151:20 **truing** 91:2 voters 53:14,21 45:10 109:8 119:12 124:1 139:13,15 utilized 53:12 **trump** 32:1 votes 88:14 126:16 137:25 149:25 153:3,7 trust 18:13 112:20 **voting** 128:18 ways 20:21 \mathbf{V} 155:10 144:22,23 146:17 **vowed** 127:6 **we'll** 7:3,17 8:3 11:7 vacancies 78:7,8 try 102:5 126:2 128:1 understanding 7:4 11:7 26:11 29:22 \mathbf{W} 43:16 58:21 59:4,13 vacant 155:7 137:6 32:20 84:5 111:18 60:16 65:17 72:7 vacation 139:25 wait 26:22 40:20 42:1 trying 29:12 30:16 116:9 118:23 86:3,6 88:2 96:16 vacuum 108:25 77:11 99:1 148:18 41:18 44:7 48:14,15 150:17 154:9 102:18 107:20 **vague** 148:25 149:2 waiting 34:19.19 48:16 68:18 75:7,8 we're 9:14 12:25 valid 95:17 walk 23:3 69:23 129:21 75:16,18,25 81:4 16:21 17:25 20:19 **understood** 62:6 87:5 valuable 25:6 49:23 **Walsh** 130:6 87:23 93:3 98:22 20:20 23:1,9 24:21 **undue** 41:16 valuables 23:4 **Wanda** 2:12 5:19 102:15 107:20 26:2 31:17 34:14,22 unearthed 127:17 value 23:2 27:11 want 4:7,20 9:9 10:16 109:14 110:14 36:6,24 41:12,23 unfair 109:17 30:23 31:17,21 33:1 15:8 20:5,23 21:8 120:1 141:24 145:5 46:21,22 47:20,21 **unfilled** 136:12 33:2 34:16 45:22 21:17 22:3,20,25 147:24 155:19 48:14,15,16 52:17 unforeseen 114:6 49:25 50:6 102:4 23:16 24:12 25:3 Tuesday 54:3 53:8 57:9 76:4,11 107:10 108:16 26:23 32:10 34:14 turn 54:19 66:1 125:5 76:12 77:20,23 unfortunately 23:23 109:18 121:25 38:5,9,11 40:8 **turning** 101:18 109:2 80:12 92:6 93:18,20 92:24 124:22,23 148:10 41:15,17 42:21 50:4 Twin 28:19 93:20 94:12 95:9 **unified** 45:16 variance 57:20 50:7 58:7 68:6 77:4 **two** 16:4 19:25 22:21 96:10 98:8,16,24 unit 44:9 57:25 125:19 79:20 84:3 94:6,21 29:13 46:25 50:25 103:16 107:20 United 3:7 variety 141:3 143:6 95:14 101:8 102:22 51:16 53:6 56:25 115:10,19 119:8,15 units 58:2 63:15 various 18:5 19:13,20 104:21 110:11 73:11 75:19 85:4,14 121:16 123:1,20 68:12 69:7 82:13 83:1 114:23 111:22 118:15 88:12,15 96:23,23 124:19 125:1,8 **unknown** 132:5 120:15 121:15 133:4 99:16,18 103:13 127:11 128:9.18 133:19 **VEDA** 2:17 123:19 135:16 140:17 142:25 129:12 133:18

134:7,11 135:6	words 61:12 129:11		100 119:16 123:22	2014 89:22 90:5
140:7 141:3 142:2,9	work 18:16 21:4	X	147:17	91:14 94:4,5,10,15
143:16 145:1,5,9	29:19 35:15 39:25	X 68:20 95:8 137:8	1002.1 131:14 135:4	96:4 101:23 109:16
147:17 148:16	40:2 41:16,17 42:4	Y	101 34:21	154:1
149:5 150:24 152:9	44:9,14 48:15 55:24	yeah 21:15 22:19	11 13:22,23,24 17:24	2015 97:7
153:18,20,21,23,24	65:16,23 66:14,15	30:3 36:23 72:3	18:4 106:25	2017 89:22 97:8 99:2
154:6 156:14	66:20,23,24 68:1	85:22 104:24	11:03 1:12	154:2
we've 23:21 35:15	75:24 106:3 108:21	111:20 118:11	11:30 7:21	2018 53:22 97:9 99:2
41:14 76:14 81:14	109:23 117:11	120:24 121:15	11. 36 7.21 119 66:12 67:11	2019 53:7,18 55:18
81:14 84:18 90:7	120:17 122:17	126:4 140:24	12 19:8 106:24	2019-2020 53:19
110:3 116:9 118:19	126:1,16 127:6,11	year 7:3,18 12:10	136:18	2020 53:8,8,19,19
122:5 128:8 131:21	127:11,15 133:12	13:2,6 16:16 29:9	12-month 136:23	63:8 97:10 101:12
131:25 134:3 136:7	133:12,15 134:11	53:9,10 63:24 69:2	12:50 7:23 10:24	2021 53:8 55:19 63:9
137:23 139:24,25	135:3,8 138:22,25	69:2 71:6 77:16,16	121 19:11	74:12 118:4
140:20 141:4	139:14 144:18	78:19 88:3 98:13	1250B 118:3,12	2022 1:11 6:8 96:16
142:10,11 148:21	145:17 146:7	108:20 111:17	12th 61:22	98:16,21 100:19
148:22,23 149:6,9	154:14 155:14	116:21 132:3	13 52:25 54:8	157:15
149:10 150:2	156:2,3	135:10 138:7	13th 13:10	2023 13:2
web 132:18	worked 56:8 102:14	144:17 146:4 150:3	14 71:23 82:9 105:8	21 53:19 69:3
website 58:15,25	107:23 116:2	152:2 153:1 154:1	105:25 130:22	21-22 53:10 98:13
59:14	workers 59:19 60:7	years 16:4,16 21:18	14th 9:24,25 10:9	22 107:16 108:8
week 15:21 32:6	working 32:4 37:6	34:11 35:6,8 39:17	15 56:10 128:23	138:7
99:16 122:10	44:4 51:17 75:22	53:6,7 56:10,25	129:2 130:22	226 133:23
154:14	76:4 106:7 115:15	60:3 67:18 74:14	16 57:23,25 72:10	22nd 109:25
weekend 106:15	115:19,22 116:5	76:25 96:6 99:20	131:10	23 116:17 146:4
weeks 4:15 32:7 37:4	122:5 125:9 127:12	100:5,12 122:23	163 97:23	25 154:21
99:16,18	141:3 153:8	123:1 140:13 147:7	17 57:23,25 100:25	25th 13:12
welcome 11:6 19:2	works 15:4 20:24	155:4	175,000 156:11	26 83:25 92:22,23
33:10	42:12,16,19 43:14	yesterday 7:1 41:3	177 26:1	93:11
well-known 86:5	110:3 139:16	71:24 74:17 76:9,10	18 19:12 34:11	265,000 28:20
went 41:5 57:16	workshop 38:13	yesterday's 71:17	100:25	26th 14:5
89:24 95:7	40:15,23 41:13 42:8	yield 134:9	18th 54:2	274.05 26:18 27:8
weren't 69:4 78:11	42:13 43:4,6,6,17	yielding 134:14	19 143:24	274.06 27:9 29:4 34:7
94:11 150:21	43:25 45:22		1950 46:15	34:13
West 154:13	workshops 41:2	Z	1957 28:2 31:8	285,000 28:8 33:19
white 45:12	world 103:4	zoo 99:5	1987 34:11	28th 11:13 13:4 53:22
wide 115:3	worth 35:21 115:17		1994 23:25 24:2,4	54:5 149:12
window 13:13	worthy 36:20,23 46:4		19th 54:4	29 71:22
windows 116:21	46:5 139:19 140:5	1	1st 55:18 142:24	2nd 4:4 6:8 98:18
winter 14:12	wouldn't 65:22 87:21	1 53:15 58:14,16,19	2	142:20
wise 112:22	92:1 98:24 145:13	58:24 59:9 129:4		3
withdraw 48:3	Wow 12:25	135:23	2 1:11 56:18 57:14,17	3 57:3 58:1 90:15,21
120:14	WRIGHT 1:7	1,000 22:24 25:12	57:21,22 58:24 59:16 60:13 65:12	30 119:13
witnessed 111:25	written 32:15 125:15	1,400 20:1	68:10 69:17 74:8	
112:2	125:17 126:18	1,600 21:25	90:14	30th 55:19 131:18 135:15,19 147:20
wondering 61:9	127:8	1.7 9:19 10:12	2,713,218 19:19	153:15,19 147:20
62:16 140:22	wrong 71:6 91:2	10 56:21 57:15,22	2:10 1:12 156:23	318 66:23
word 30:15 72:4 149:2	101:24 117:19 143:25 155:6	77:15 90:10 94:13	20 56:10 69:2 78:2	3202 30:6 34:12 39:3
wording 38:10,14,18	wrote 38:14,18 45:1	94:15,17	92:20	39:10 40:17 50:19
121:2	126:3	10.8 71:10,11	200 1:24	33 156:10
121.2	120.3	,	· · · · · · ·	
	I	I	<u> </u>	ı

			Page 178
22 20 27.10			
33-20 37:18	8		
3320 36:16 38:12,15	8 78:2 90:10,15		
39:5	80 154:14		
33301 1:24	8000 122:11		
35 18:4	82 71:23		
388 71:16,21 73:17	83 77:15		
3rd 1:8,24	8th 13:14 45:2,7		
	47:12 48:18 49:3		
4	47.12 40.10 49.3		
4 19:16 25:17 58:20	9		
69:5 71:22 83:15,20	900 25:20 59:23 71:3		
84:5,20 92:6,7 93:7			
130:10 135:23	92 77:16 116:8 119:3		
136:5 138:14	120:5		
4.2 72:7	96 98:1		
40 105:24	961 19:18		
400 78:23 133:24			
473 66:22			
473.318 66:13,21			
4th 13:12 142:21,22			
142:24,25 143:10			
154:23			
5			
5 19:16 25:17 61:18			
61:24 62:2,10,14			
68:8 83:21 85:18			
92:6,7 93:7 123:22			
123:25 130:10			
5,000 22:16,24 23:1			
23:17 24:7 25:11			
500 71:15			
540 73:24			
6			
6 57:15 61:19,25			
62:11,13,15,20 68:8			
83:21 92:6,7 93:8			
97:17 130:10,10			
6.9 69:16,25 72:6			
74:4,5,6			
60 72:11			
600 1:8			
633 1:24			
6th 13:10 157:15			
7			
7			
7 26:17 83:21 92:6			
93:8 141:19			
70 101:9			
72 77:25			
79 90:25 94:8,20			
	I		l